1	2Steven Haas ("Laser")	
2	Private Attorney General	
3	108 E Jewel Street/ Delmar, Delaware 19940	
4	Laser. Haas @ Yahoo.com	
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STAT	ES DISTRICT COURT
9	CENTRAL DIST	RICT OF CALIFORNIA
10) Case No.: 2:13-cv-7738 SVW (AVG)
11	Steven ("Laser") Haas)
12	"Pro se"	
13	108 E Jewel Street	
14	Delmar, DE 19940 Laser.Haas @ Yahoo.com	2ND AMENDED COMPLAINT
15) RACKETEERING CIVIL
16	Plaintiff,)
17	V.)
18	Willard Mitt Romney)
19	311 Dunemere Drive) JURY TRIAL DEMANDED
20	La Jolla, California	
21	Paul Traub	
22	C/O Rosner 824 Market St.)
23	Wilmington, DE 19801	
24 25	Bain Capital	
25 26	335 Bryant St Palo Alto, CA, 94301	
20 27		
27	John & Jane "Doe's" 1 thru 10	
20		Ś

1	Morris Nichols Arsht & Tunnel
2	11 th Floor
3	1201 N. Market Street Wilmington, DE 19801
4	
5	Greg Werkheiser
6	C/O MNAT 11 th Floor 1201 N. Market Street
7	Wilmington, DE 19801
8	
9	Barry Gold C/O Frederick Rosner
10	824 Market. Suite 810
11	Wilmington, DE 19801
12	Michael Glazer
13	CEO Stage Stores
14	10201 Main Street
15	Houston, Texas 77025
16	Colm F Connolly
17	Nemours Building
18	1007 N. Orange St
19	Wilmington, DE 19801
20	Goldman Sachs
21	2121 Avenue of the Stars Los Angeles, CA 90067
22	Los Aligeles, CA 50007
23	Johann Hamerski
24	P.O. Box 110371 Huffman Park Anchorage, Alaska 99511
25	
26	
27	Defendant(s)
28	·

I JURISDICTION - VENUE

Jurisdiction of this District is sound and proper under 18 U.S.C. §§ 1961, 1962 & 1964 and 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331, 1332, 1343, 1346, 1361 & 1367.

Process to compel all defendants to appear here under 18 U.S.C. § 1965 is correct as "*venue generally"* - as is permitted under 28 U.S.C. § 1391.

Defendant Mitt Romney lives in Southern California. Goldman Sachs and Bain Capital utilize offices in the State of California.

Barry Gold works for eToys in Irvine, California. Many victims, including our nations Presidential Election process, suffered due to Defendants statutory violations and exploitations substantial.

Litigant Demands a Trial by Jury to remedy how his business, along with many other victims were deliberately harmed by schemes and many felony violates = organized crimes. This instant Complaint seeks to resolve unmitigated damages treble, estimated to be \$100 million; above the fees and costs through a formal federal proceeding.

28

1

Plaintiff Steven Haas (more commonly known as "Laser" Haas) for its Complaint against defendant's does hereby allege Willard Mitt Romney ("Romney"), Paul Traub, Michael Glazer, Barry Gold, Morris Nichols Arsht & Tunnell ("MNAT") and MNAT's current partner Greg Werkheiser, along with former MNAT partner Colm Connolly (who also was the Delaware United States Attorney from August 2, 2001 until the time of his resignation in 2008), and also Johann Hamerski (a selfprofessed partner of Jack Abramoff), along with Goldman Sachs and Bain Capital, are engaged in criminality as organized Racketeers - violating the law as follows; III INTRODUCTION Litigant is sole, 100% owner of a the California Corporation known as Collateral Logistics, Inc.,

("CLI"); which was authorized by the bankruptcy court in Delaware, to be the fiduciary as "Liquidation Consultant" to "maximize returns at minimum expense" of the eToys bankruptcy (DE Bankr. 01-706 (2001)).

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1

II COMPLAINT

Plaintiff's business and career continues to be harmed by Defendants, who are *culpable* persons assaulting *interstate commerce* (and federal election processes too) by - <u>continuous</u> - *patterns* of *racketeering*.

Many troubling matters germane to this "Complaint" include racketeering via material adversity, federal venality, mayhem and issues related to homicides.

This instant case is necessary as defendants named herein are continuously violating United States Codes Title 18 \$\$ 1961 thru 1968; - disobedient of the Racketeer Influence & Corrupt Organizations ("RICO") Act of 1970.

Whereas there exists a plethora of "Prosecutorial Gaps" due to corruption and willful blindness. Complainant is therefore permitted by Law, to be a "Private Attorney General" and does so "prose". (Affirmation of civil rights, U.S. Supreme Court Sedima v Imrex Co., 473 U.S. 479 (1985)). Plaintiff submits this 2nd Amended RICO Complaint, having gained more knowledge about requisites, through pro se instructions. Litigant also just learned of NEW Local Rules that went into effect - December 2013.

1

Hoping the court realizes the scope and breadth of the issues at hand; and that the troubling matters go far beyond this case, litigant prays for this court's indulgence. Please be aware plaintiff isn't an attorney at law and didn't graduate from High School standardly. Great legal minds are needed to address nationally significant and important issues presented hereof and complainant believes adequate, good counsel can be obtained before the full jury trial begins. As issues of the Code & Rule of Law not being applied heretofore upon the named defendants hereof is now being remedied. In the meantime, plaintiff seeks to provide clearer pictures of the facts that adjudication upon the merits, has inexorably taken a back seat to the RICO's power, money & might makes right modus operandi.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

IV NAMING THE DEFENDANTS

Plaintiff names as "Defendant" Willard Mitt Romney ("Romney"), Paul Traub ("Traub"), Barry Gold, Michael Glazer ("Glazer"), Morris Nichols Arsht & Tunnell ("MNAT") and MNAT's current partner Greg Werkheiser

1	("Werkheiser"), along with former MNAT partner Colm	
2	Connolly ("Connolly") - {who also was the Delaware	
3 4	United States Attorney from August 2, 2001 until the	
5	time of his resignation in 2008}. Additionally there's,	
6	also Johann Hamerski (a self-professed partner of Jack	
7		
8	Abramoff), along with Goldman Sachs and Bain Capital;	
9	who are all named as "Defendant"(s) in this RICO case.	
10	V ORGANIZED CRIME EVENTS COMMON TO ALL COUNTS	
11		
12	The Learning Company	
13	In 1999, the entity known as 'The Learning Company'	
14	("TLCo") was owned by Defendant Romney and associated	
15		
16	parties.	
17	MNAT handled the merger of TLCo with Mattel.	
18	invit nandred ene merger of theo with natter.	
19	According to what has been publicized, the TLCo	
20	merger cost Mattel investors a \$3 Billion loss.	
21		
22	There's no known federal investigation and/or any	
23	prosecution of whom scammed who in the merger.	
24	Stage Stores of Houston, Texas	
25	Stage Stores of Houston, Texas	
26	Romney reportedly owned 800,000 (+) shares of the	
27	Stage Stores entity that had been formulated by merger	
28		
	of Palais Royal and Bealls Brothers Department Stores.	

Romney is said to have obtained the funding for the formulation of the Stage Stores entity from junk bond fraudster Michael Milken.

On September 2012 the Rolling Stone Magazine cover story of "Greed and Debt" (from journalist Matt Taibbi) reported on "The True Story of Mitt Romney and Bain Capital". It did detail the fact that the judge presiding over Milken's fraud case furtively benefited; because the justice's wife was Chairman of the Palais Royale stores.

Jack Bush of Dallas, Texas, a Bain Capital exec who roams around companies, also ran IdeaForest for Bain; and was a co-director for Romney at Stage Stores.

Michael Glazer, the Chief Executive Officer ("CEO") of Kay Bee Toys in 2000 became a co-director for Stage Stores; and more recently was promoted to be CEO.

Barry Gold is another executive roaming around the nation working with Jack Bush, mostly from one company to another that is in - or going into - bankruptcy.

Paul Traub was the owner of the Traub Bonacquist and Fox ("TBF") law firm that was hired for the Stage Stores case by the signature of Barry Gold. Traub's TBF failed, miserably, to disclose conflicts of interests in Stage Stores about Mr. Bush, Gold and Sussman.

Failures of attorneys at law to disclose conflicts of interests in bankruptcy, is usually felonious.

Bankruptcy Fraud statutes are a part of RICO felony violations per the Code of 18 U.S.C. & 1961 ("Predicate Acts").

Traub's State Stores "Supplemental" Bankruptcy Rule 2014/2016 Affidavit made a mockery of justice; serving as a practice run for the frauds perpetrated later in eToys.

Whistle-blower Dov Avni Kaminetzky owned \$4500.00 worth of Stage Stores stock and he was punished for his bringing various bad faith acts to the court's eye.

Dov Avni was underhandedly ordered to pay \$380,000 as a fine, with the U.S. Marshals sent after him.

To date there's no known federal investigation and/ or prosecution for the Stage Stores Bankruptcy Frauds. Kay Bee Toys

In mid-2000, with Romney and his cohorts now inside Mattel's inner circle as the result of the TLCo merger

(ownership of 12 million Mattel shares); Romney's Bain Capital then set out to acquire Kay Bee Toys (having a long term goal mindset upon Toys R Us).

Michael Glazer was the CEO of Kay Bee Toys ("Kay Bee") who - before 2004 - did pay himself \$18 million and Bain Capital \$83 million. Then Glazer filed the bankruptcy of Kay Bee (DE Bankr. 04-10120 {2004}).

MNAT represents Bain Capital of their \$83 million preferential treatment (probable fraudulent conveyance).

Traub and Barry Gold are also involved Kay Bee. TBF asked to be the prosecutor of Bain Capital and Michael Glazer. Paul Traub failed to inform the court on his many affiliations to the relevant parties.

Plaintiff pointed out the obvious crimes of Traub seeking to be the prosecutor of his associates; but the Delaware Department of Justice had the evidence of this Stricken & Expunged from the record. (*See the* Kay Bee *archived court* docket item ("D.I.") 2228).

There's no known federal investigation and/or any prosecution concerning the many conflict of interests shenanigans in the Kay Bee case.

1

These are RICO crimes to fleece Kay Bee of the \$100 million; and then get off 'Scot Free' via bankruptcy. eToys.com – The Massive Spree Efforts in Organized Crime

Also back in 1999, the entity known as eToys.com was taken through the initial public offering ("IPO") by the fiduciary Goldman Sachs (represented by MNAT).

In a New York Times OpEd article of March 2013 "Rigging the I.P.O. Game", journalist Joe Nocera details how Goldman Sachs assaulted eToys by stock fraud. Where the price per share of eToys skyrocketed to \$85; but the eToys.com entity received less than \$20 per share.

Resultant of the loss of (at least) of hundreds of millions of dollars due to Goldman Sachs betrayal of trust and Breach of Fiduciary Duty, did help push eToys into bankruptcy (with the case being filed by MNAT on March 7, 2001 (DE Bankr. 01-706)).

It was a typo, one day, by litigant that ferreted out MNAT's Goldman Sachs links. MNAT, working for Goldman Sachs, placed Finova into bankruptcy (DE Bankr. 01 - 705).

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

25

26

27

Failing to disclose many conflicts of interest, MNAT lied about its relationships with Mattel, Bain Capital, GECC and Goldman Sachs; doing so in order to become the Delaware Bankruptcy Court ("DEBKCt") firm approved to be the eToys "Debtor's" counsel.

In 2004/2005, due to the typo, *Smoking Gun* evidences were flushed out. MNAT was compelled to confess failure to disclose GECC & Goldman Sachs conflict of interests; but MNAT continues to lie about Bain Capital issues, to this very day.

Additionally, Traub's TBF firm lied about its links to Merrill Lynch, Playco, Ozer Group, Tom Petters, ADA, Goldman Sachs, Bain Capital, Romney, Glazer, Wells Fargo, Barry Gold and so much more. Doing so in order to become the DE BK Ct approved counsel for an Official Committee of Unsecured Creditors.

There were no secured Creditors; because Traub and Barry Gold arranged for Foothill Capital, a division of Wells Fargo, to become the only secured lender of eToys with a \$40 million loan in November 2000. Wells Fargo then transacted \$100 million prior to eToys bankruptcy.

1

This preferential treatment is also known as a John Gellene fraudulent conveyance; risking prison time.

In the case of *In re Bucyrus* 94-20786, John Gellene hid the \$35 million loan of Salovaaro (coincidently a Goldman Sachs former). Gellene hid serious conflicts of interests by lying via Bankruptcy Rule 2014/2016 Affidavits to the court presiding over the *Bucyrus* case.

Gellene's crimes resulted in prisontime, his firm lost both \$1.9 million in fees and \$50 million lawsuit. What the RICO Defendants have done here makes the Gellene & Chris Christie sagas look like child's play.

Plaintiff's CLI entity was approved by the DEBKCt to be Liquidation Consultant to handle the bankruptcy Chapter 11 "wind-down" of the eToys company.

Maximizing returns at minimum expense included the negotiations of mergers of eToys.com assets.

Sale of eToys to Bain/Kay Bee Fails Is Not "bona fide"

It was announced to the press and published by the Wall Street Journal that Bain Capital ("Bain") actually purchased nearly all eToys assets for \$5.4 million.

Plaintiff halted the bulk sale and compelled Bain to pay tens of millions of dollars for Bain's purchase of eToys brand new \$25 million in inventory, a plethora of domain names and the remaining furniture, fixtures & equipment ("FF&E").

Meanwhile, MNAT and Traub asked the United States Trustee ("UST") for permission to handpick their choice of an executive to run eToys; which they sought to halt the good faith sales efforts of plaintiff/CLI.

When the RICO Defendants were told no by the UST they simply ignored the authoritative federal watchdog agency's (bankruptcy police) forewarning (see eToys D.I. 2195, of February 15, 2005 that is also known as the "Disgorge Motion" against TBF for \$1.6 million).

Within the Disgorge Motion, in parts 18, 19 & 35, the UST's office testifies to conversation between the fed police and Paul Traub's firm about TBF's desire to pick eToys executives. Traub's TBF firm went ahead and nominated Barry [Glazer/Bain/Romney's associate] to be the post-bankruptcy petition eToys President/CEO.

Resultantly, Bain sold eToys to Bain/Kay Bee! 1 2 Now everybody inside the bankruptcy, including 3 Ellen Gordon of Xroads (the finance consultant of eToys 4 in charge of the cash accounts) were furtively working 5 6 together for the sake of the much more lucrative bosses 7 of Goldman Sachs, Wells Fargo, Bain & Romney. 8 9 Xroads also had failed to disclose its connections 10 to Goldman Sachs and Wells Fargo. 11 Insider dealing configurations are forbidden by 12 13 many Bankruptcy Codes & Rules of Law. 14 Congress designed the Law to assure a diametrically 15 16 opposed Debtor v Creditor; but the RICO ignored this. 17 Unfortunately, the schemes & artifices to defraud 18 were working so well (toys industry creditors becoming duplicitous) -19 20 that the racketeers believed they could pick the bones 21 clean; and did so flagrantly. A mountain of evidence 22 23 exists that is undeniable; as federal docket records. 24 Traub and Barry Gold also were working the Southern 25 District of New York ("SDNY") bankruptcy case belonging 26 27 to Goldman Sachs ("GSachs") of In re Cosmetics Plus 28 (SDNY Bankr 01-14471); and also failed to disclose it.

Litigant/CLI came to eToys around March 15, 2001; then Paul Traub and Barry Gold subsequently formed a company named Assets Disposition Advisors ("ADA").

Barry Gold's "Hiring Letter" was kept hidden until January 25, 2005. At that time the clandestine Hiring Letter of Barry Gold was brought forth defensively, revealing many efforts in deceit.

Akin to Traub's Stage Stores Supplemental Affidavit and the babbling, banter obfuscations within about "upon information and belief", Traub and MNAT did arrange for Barry Gold to become a CEO/ President of eToys as of May 21, 2001 (while MNAT/Traub/TBF deny that they had anything to do with the drafting of Barry Gold's Hiring Letter).

Having ADA now in the background, the Racketeers stipulate in the Hiring Letter that Barry Gold is "wind-down coordinator" of eToys as of May 21, 2001.

While Barry Gold and Ellen Gordon of Xroads were fabricating ways to oust plaintiff/CLI from the eToys estate, the federal police (UST) became a ghost. No arrests were made even as Defendants confessions arose!

1

2

3

VI RACKETEERING ASSAULTS PLAINTIFF'S BUSINESS

Obviously, the Defendants had a problem. Even with nearly their whole gang surrounding litigant and his CLI workers, their schemes & artifices to destroy the eToys public company and devour the federal estate was not succeeding as well as planned.

Defendants MNAT, Werkheiser, Barry Gold and Traub's TBF firm cajoled the Creditors Chairman and plaintiff that CLI could save eToys estate monies and time, if MNAT supplied plaintiff/CLI's paperwork to the DE BK Ct. Agreeing to this box in doomed plaintiff/CLI.

Hence the DE BK Ct approved both contracts for CLI, orders that CLI's paperwork would be submitted "with the assistance of Debtor's counsel" [RICO Defendant MNAT].

Litigant turned down and reported the RICO bribe offers to Department of Justice ("DOJ") in Delaware.

Thus, all the Defendants had to do to rob plaintiff /CLI entity from being properly compensated; was for MNAT to simply refuse to file a claim. But that plan to harm plaintiff's business also needed greater efforts.

1

2

3

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

MNAT furthered the RICO plots by putting forth a Motion before the DE BK Ct seeking permission to **Destroy** the eToys Books & Records. (Documented in the Public Access to Court Electronic Records ("PACER") eToys D.I. 300). One would be extremely hard pressed to find any other case where a court permits a new bankruptcy filer to abolish evidences in the very beginning. There were multiple motivations for this plot to destroy evidences in the eToys case. To succeed in Goldman Sachs scheme IPO fleece of eToys, cover ups were needed. The destruction of all evidences and emails germane, assisted Goldman Sachs to prosper in its "pump-n-dump" IPO stock fraud (also known

as a "*Spinning"* scheme).

There were cash accounts and inventories that were not declared on eToys bankruptcy schedules. Including millions of dollars in cash deposits that eToys VP's David Haddad and Dave Gatto had concealed concerning various eToys.com off shore deposits.

Failures to report assets during a bankruptcy case is almost always a foundation for prosecution.

Just prior to the bankruptcy of eToys, Pioneer Distributing and Liquidation World had engaged in large surreptitious transactions involving huge amounts of eToys inventory. <u>Those histories were obliterated</u>. Racketeers Nominate Each Other to Be the Prosecutor of Each Other

Barry Gold/MNAT, nominated TBF to prosecute Goldman Sachs. Hence Goldman Sachs is suing itself in the N.Y. Supreme Court ("NY Sup Ct") eToys.com case #601805/2002 (renamed ebcl when <u>Bain/Kay Bee stole the domain names</u>).

Obviously, in a licit world, Capone wouldn't be permitted to handpick a prosecutor of his own case. Efforts by the Defendants to Obstruct Justice in these case is manifold. The RICO Defendants realized that this plaintiff was finding *Smoking Gun* evidences in the NY Sup Ct case. Such as the proof of MNAT's Motion to Destroy Books & Records. So the Defendants simply placed the entire NY Sup Ct case under SEAL (*as is detailed in the* NY Times "Rigging the IPO Game" article).

1

2

MNAT has confessed it failed to disclose a conflict of interest about Goldman Sachs.

Once MNAT admitted this transgression, the Code and Rule of Law mandates that MNAT is to be disqualified; but the DE BK Ct iterated in its *Opinion* on the matter (on October 4, 2005) that it was too late to remove MNAT at that time, as the eToys case was nearly over.

This is an abuse of discretion (see ubiquitous adopted case precedent *In re Middleton Arms* {6th Cir 1994}). Obviously we are here in 2013 and, fallaciously,

the eToys bankruptcy case still open.

When litigant offered to provide "free" auditor to Europe; the Defendants refused! Instead, the Delaware DOJ, along with MNAT, Barry Gold and a Michael Fox partner of TBF, did tell complainant that what was going on Off Shore, was none of his business.

But the DE BK Ct itself is not alone in failing the public's trust. The refusal to remove MNAT is due, in part, to the fact that the Delaware DOJ always refuses to address MNAT issues.

Haas v Romney "2nd Amended Complaint" - January 30, 2014 - Page 20

Inside the UST's brief in the Third Circuit appeal 1 2 concerning the DE BK Ct's refusal to disgualify MNAT, 3 Traub/TBF and Barry Gold, the UST declares (in the 1st 4 footnote of their brief in 3rd Circuit case 07-2360); that the UST had not and will not address MNAT issues. It is as if, on bended knee before a RICO lord axe, the federal agents/agencies promise hands off on MNAT! Possibly and probably, eToys.com was placed into a bankruptcy intentionally, with the books being cooked to make eToys appear to be insolvent. Traub's TBF confessed to the DE BK CT about lying under oath 17 times, while admitted to consciously making a decision to let the falsehoods stay in place and deceive the court (UST *Disgorge Motion* item 18). This is a confession of perpetration of Fraud on the Court by Officers of the Court. And yet, the DE BK Ct, federal agents/agencies, did absolutely nothing. Bain Capital also desired to buy eToys as cheap as possible. Though there's nothing wrong with this logic as a business strategy; it became a Racketeering crime 28 the moment Romney/Glazer and the eToys attorneys failed

Haas v Romney "2nd Amended Complaint" - January 30, 2014 - Page 21

to inform the DE BK Ct and parties of interest of their direct connections to each other. <u>Defendants had rigged</u> the eToys federal case failures, for unjust enrichment!

Congress was prudent enough to consider the fact that insiders of the bankruptcy realm would nefariously seize federal estates as their own piggy bank.

As a remedial measure, the RICO Act includes Bankruptcy Fraud Sections && 152 through 156 as a part of the RICO Laws under 18 U.S.C. \$ 1961; which are named "Predicate Acts".

Each and every time the Defendants lied, schemed, acted and/or conspired/colluded to defraud eToys and to harm plaintiff's career, the Defendants were mounting RICO counts of RICO crimes one upon another.

There are more than thirty (30) laws broken here, with 300 + separate Defendant events.

Bankruptcy frauds include Section 152 Concealment of assets, false oaths and claims; and bribery.

Section 153 Embezzlement against estate is also a "Predicate Act".

Then there's also Section 154 Adverse Interest and conduct of officers.

This case has exceptional evidence/proof of many violations of the (apparently) never prosecuted before Section 155 Scheme to Fix Fees in cases under Title 11.

Also germane is the Knowing disregard of bankruptcy law/rule per Bankruptcy Fraud Section 156.

Traub, Barry Gold and MNAT have already confessed lying under oath at least thirty-three (33) times in the eToys case; but remain in control of their looting! Proof of their admittances are a permanent part of the record through their "Responses" of January 25, 2005, and subsequent "Depositions" permitted by the DE BK Ct on February 9, 2005 (held at the court house due to the fact of mayhem, abductions and death threats).

MNAT, Traub's TBF and Barry Gold's admittances, Responses and Depositions were entered into the public docket record, approved to become a part of evidence record, during the March 1, 2005 evidence hearing. (A D.I. 2228 transcript can been seen in the eToys case).

Haas v Romney "2nd Amended Complaint" - January 30, 2014 - Page 23

With many of the schemes & artifices to defraud 1 2 eToys in place the RICO Defendants began to arrange the 3 crushing of plaintiff's business. 4 Plots were hatched to make sure persons at eToys 5 6 warred against litigant/CLI. 7 MNAT, Traub/TBF and Barry Gold arranged for the 8 9 eToys employees to have their pay **doubled** during a 10 bankruptcy. 11 Obviously, as plaintiff/CLI staff would let go/fire 12 13 the "doubled" salaried employees; the inducement did 14 serve to make everyone at eToys adversarial with CLI. 15 16 It is also plain as the nose on your face that ADA 17 was formed to be a competitor of plaintiff's CLI. 18 19 MNAT being approved as the party to submit to the 20 DE BK Ct, plaintiff/CLI's requests for payments almost 21 22 guaranteed that there would never be compensation. And 23 without funding, litigant's business would demise. 24 As a matter of fact, the only paperwork MNAT did 25 26 submit on behalf of CLI, was a November 2001 item that 27 is no known as the "Haas Affidavit" (eToys D.I. 816). 28

MNAT failed to provide plaintiff with a copy of the 1 2 Haas Affidavit; which the Defendants erroneously informed 3 the DE BK Ct (after confessing of lying under oath), 4 5 that the Haas Affidavit was a "waiver" of plaintiff/CLI 6 rights to be compensated (an estimated \$3.7 million). 7 8 When litigant tried to inform the **DEBKCt** that the 9 Haas Affidavit is a forgery, the court rejected plaintiff 10 11 and has held to the absurd premise that Laser "Haas 12 Affidavit" is indeed a "waiver" of all rights. 13 It is absurd that Laser Haas can purportedly put in 14 15 a Haas Affidavit; but, then, Laser Haas is forbidden to tell 16 the DE BK Ct the Haas Affidavit is a falsification. 17 18 To this very day the DE BK Ct orders plaintiff to 19 be prevented from presenting proof to the contrary or 20 21 inform the DE BK Ct of any additional frauds; unless 22 the DE BK Ct first grants permission. 23 It is as if logic and decency have jumped off the 24 25 entire realm of federal justice in Delaware, when it 26 comes to issues of Goldman Sachs, Bain Capital, MNAT, 27 28 Romney and Traub.

In an eToys transcript of a March 18, 2009 hearing, some anonymous party provided into the docket record in 2012, a detail that DE BK Ct is on the record stating that "Mr. Haas, I'm not going to hear you" and then the same court concludes that "If there's nothing else, I'm going to get back to Tweeter". Such is the priorities of the eToys DE BK Ct! **DEFENDANTS BENEFIT - THOUGH THEIR ROLES MAY CHANGE** TLCo lost Mattel investors a reported \$3 Billion; and there's no known proper investigation. Even the media failed to report this Romney issue in 2012. MNAT therefore is linked with Romney and associates to the TLCo deal, along with Mattel Toys. In Stage Stores that was started by specious funds

and an obvious issue of federal bench underhandedness, Traub's TBF, with Glazer, Romney and Barry Gold did act in bad faith and **retaliated** against victim/witnesses.

Additionally, there's many issues of public stock manipulation and the FDIC Charter for Stage Stores credit card holders via Granite Bank. Compounded by the fact TBF lied, in Stage Stores, about conflicts.

With the failure concerning Traub's TBF firm by the Stage Stores bankruptcy court to disgorge/take away fees and disqualify/remove from the case; thus Traub's TBF getting off 'Scot Free' embolden the Racketeers to do larger schemes of grand larceny in expeditious fashion. Such as the fact that Liquidity Solutions is listed as the "Co-Debtor" of Stage Stores.

Once Barry Gold was illegally placed into eToys (after the UST/Police forewarned the parties not to do that specific crime) then Liquidity Solutions and its cohort Madison Liquidity began to acquire eToys creditors' claims.

There's nothing against anyone buying up claims items in a bankruptcy case; but connected parties are required by law to disclose their inside links and are forbidden by law to profit a single penny.

Congress provided a remedy for any preferential treatments of one creditor over another, vis-à-vis the ability to push such bad faith transactions to the back of the line and/or expunged them entirely under the Bankruptcy Codes and Rules **510(c)** "Equitable Subordination". However, for such measures to be applied, a good faith party must request application of that law.

Regrettably, there are no good faith parties left in fiduciary duty positions over Stage Stores, Kay Bee and/ or eToys. There's only Romney's RICO Gang!

Traub, MNAT, Glazer and Barry Gold knew that they gained much more lucrative/future case works benefit by making Goldman Sachs, Bain and Romney happy. So the various Defendants sold out their court approved clients for the sake of secret patrons.

Attorneys at law of the MNAT firm were granted a BAR Card upon a sworn oath to represent all clients to the best ability and to maintain the highest ethical conduct possible.

MNAT is court approved to represent the interests of eToys as a client; but has failed (miserably) in any effort to protect the eToys estate from fraud.

Michael Glazer was at Stage Stores as a director, Barry Gold did work underneath Romney and Glazer as the director's assistant who hired Traub's TBF firm. During the same periods of time, Glazer, Traub and Barry Gold

Haas v Romney "2nd Amended Complaint" - January 30, 2014 - Page 28

were at eToys and Kay Bee Toys, pretending to be opponents of each other while robbing those estates.

Barry Gold and Paul Traub, with Xroads, MNAT and Frederick Rosner, are all collusively betraying their DE BK Ct approved clients interest profusely.

Traub's TBF firm became eToys Creditors Counsel; and MNAT was the eToys Debtor. They are required by Law to be diametrically opposed to each other; instead of secretly working with each other - getting unjust gain.

MNAT and Traub's TBF arranged for Barry Gold to be *illegally* inserted into eToys to usurp plaintiff and his CLI entity. Such guaranteed the RICO schemes success.

With the parties' ruse prosperous and going forward, then MNAT, Barry Gold and Traub's TBF simply circled their RICO wagons to protect each other & went to war against plaintiff and his efforts in businesses.

Greg Werkheiser of MNAT continued to lie to the DE BK Ct during the more recent December 4, 2012 hearing in eToys; brought about by plaintiff's Motion therein. MNAT erroneously stipulated that there was nothing new for the DE BK Ct to look.

Haas v Romney "2nd Amended Complaint" - January 30, 2014 - Page 29

1

However, what continues to be at issue is the fact 1 2 that MNAT, Traub and Barry Gold all are equal to Bain! 3 At that December 2012 hearing, Mark Kenney, the UST 4 trial attorney, sat still in abject silence. 5 6 Even if the DE BK Ct holds to the absurd premise 7 that the court "can't" hear of fraud issues until the 8 9 court gives a victim permission to speak; there's no 10 such latitude that may be claimed by the UST's office. 11 MNAT, Barry Gold and Traub all have "undisclosed" 12 13 links to Romney/ Bain and Glazer (hence Kay Bee). 14 Resultantly, sales of eToys assets to Bain/Kay Bee 15 16 (that the UST must protect) - fail the bona fide test. 17 Though plaintiff need not document the fact that 18 19 any sales prices were reduced and could simply proffer 20 the ironclad evidence that MNAT (while benefiting from 21 22 *Perjury*) did request and receive the DE BK Ct's okay to 23 destroy eToys books & records. The fact of the matter 24 remains is that there is proof of sales price reduction 25 26 by the Racketeer cohorts. Specifically the issue of the 27 eToys.com domain name sales price reduction. 28

BabyCenter.com was sold to Johnson & Johnson prior to the eToys bankruptcy for \$10 million.

While there are more issues about the BabyCenter transaction that needs discovery at trial (such as Nancy A Valente working for Johnson & Johnson and the Registered Agent who formed Asset Disposition Advisors for Barry Gold & Paul Traub is also named Nancy A Valente). The fact of the matter remains that plaintiff/ CLI had sold eToys.com domain names to Bain Capital/Kay Bee for \$10 million also.

Defendants MNAT/Werkheiser, Barry Gold and Traub kept making up cheeky excuses, one after another, to reduce the prices of eToys.com domain name assets to Bain/Kay Bee to the much smaller amount of \$3 million.

There's also clear and convincing evidence of how Romney/Bain was able to get back the tens of millions of dollars that plaintiff's business was able to compel Bain/Kay Bee to pay for eToys bankruptcy assets. MNAT and Traub/TBF arranged for Barry Gold to

charge of all eToys cash accounts and disbursements.

become the eToys bankruptcy PLAN Administrator in

In Barry Gold's confirmed "PLAN" Administrator's 1 Declaration (eToys D.I. 1312), Gold stipulates that the PLAN was negotiated in "extensive" arm's length and good faith negotiations between Debtor and Creditors. This "extensive" arm's length contention is just as ludicrous as the premise plaintiff "waived" CLI's fees. Barry Gold's Declaration was submitted in the fall of 2002 - after they had successfully tossed out this plaintiff and his CLI at the end of 2001. They simply believed they couldn't get caught; and that their nefarious seizing of the entire eToys estate from within and without - was protected everywhere. Furthermore, Romney/Bain devotees' drafted added language to the eToys confirmed PLAN. It stipulated the PLAN Administrator could settle all the eToys claims (*including those claims acquired by* Liquidity Solutions/ Madison Liquidity) that were less than \$1 million actual cash; and that there was no need to get DE BK CT approval. All that Administrator (Barry Gold) needed was the

approval of the Creditors (his partner Paul Traub).

Each and every time a payment was made to those "undisclosed" connected claims, a crime transpired.

Every time that Barry Gold failed to prosecute MNAT and/or Paul Traub for their confessions to lying under oath, Barry Gold betrayed client's (eToys/shareholder). MNAT failed to protect its client (eToys) and seek Traub/TBF and/or Barry Gold and Xroads disqualification that would result in many millions of dollars returned. Traub's TBF also failed its client (the Creditors) each and every time it refused to seek to disqualify

and/or disgorge MNAT and Barry Gold.

TBF's clients weren't just the creditors solely from the toy wholesaler industry.

Each and every time that MNAT, Traub/TBF and Barry Gold lied to the DE BK Ct and premised the ridiculous notion that plaintiff and/or his CLI entity "waived" its rights to be compensated and/or lied to make sure there were no equity committee; this constituted Racketeering Act violations by Intimidation of Victim/ Witness, Perjury, Obstruction of Justice and incessant Retaliation!

Haas v Romney "2nd Amended Complaint" - January 30, 2014 - Page 33

Compounding all of these considerable crimes even further, is the fact that the eToys shareholders had asked for their own counsel and committee, as allowed by the Bankruptcy Codes & Rules. Without disclosing their connections to Bain and/or

Goldman Sachs, RICO Defendants MNAT, Werkheiser, Traub (via his TBF firm and local counsels) and Barry Gold consistently objected to any proper protections for the eToys equity holders.

Defendants lied to the DE BK Ct and stipulated that "they" [the Racketeers] were all that was needed to protect the interests of the eToys equity holders.

As clear proof that was the last thing on the RICO gang's mindset, eToys shareholder Robert Alber did ask both Barry Gold and Paul Traub, <u>on the stand</u>, in 2002, about the connections of Barry Gold and Traub, during the DE BK Ct October/November 2002 hearings to obtain approval to make Barry Gold eToys PLAN Administrator. Everyone in the room already knew about the fact that Barry Gold worked for Paul Traub's TBF firm; but

they all stayed in abject silence as Barry Gold and Paul Traub lied about their connections to each other.

Then, to make sure Goldman Sachs would never lose the lawsuit of eToys (ebc1) v Goldman Sachs in the NY Sup Ct; MNAT and Barry Gold nominated Paul Traub's TBF to be the firm to prosecute Goldman Sachs.

Hence, Goldman Sachs sued Goldman Sachs, Bain/Kay Bee sells eToys to Bain/Kay Bee and MNAT defends Bain while Traub seeks to prosecute Bain/Glazer.

Roles may change; but they're schemes all the same. Now that Romney lost the election and is trying so desperately to get back into the politics game (seeking to get his wife, children and/or brother to run for political office); MNAT, Traub and Barry Gold are doing more crimes in the open - in a rush to cover it all up!

Just recently, MNAT signed Barry Gold's approval of settling eToys lawsuit against Goldman Sachs for only \$7.5 million; <u>but MNAT can't sign anything to do with</u> <u>Goldman Sachs</u>. At the same time, MNAT can't sign Barry Gold's approval *of giving some of that money to Traub*!

Haas v Romney "2nd Amended Complaint" - January 30, 2014 - Page 35

Nor can Barry Gold sign any agreement with Traub; 1 2 as Gold's PLAN Administrator agreement, approved by the 3 DE BK Ct, stipulates that the Administrator can't have 4 Transactions with Related Persons. 5 6 You can't get a more incestuous relationship than 7 those prevailing inside the eToys case (extensively). 8 9 Is there any doubt - whatsoever - that IF this 10 plaintiff (or anyone else of good faith) were to be placed in 11 12 the eToys controlling chair, after Barry Gold removal; 13 that the settlement with Goldman Sachs would be for a 14 15 much larger monies (Tens to Hundreds of millions)? 16 Especially given the fact of the vast evidence of 17 Goldman Sachs, is in essence rigging the case of having 18 19 its own counsel handpick who is suing Goldman Sachs! 20 Compounded even further by the additional fact that 21 22 MNAT Confessed lying under oath already and furtively 23 destroyed eToys Books and Records while doing Perjury! 24 Especially, given the fact that - by the way - the 25 26 settlements would most likely be paid from the culprits 27 insurance companies (won't risk trials after confessions)! 28

Each and every appeal case in the District Court 1 2 and Circuit Court cases of MNAT, Barry Gold and Traub's 3 TBF failing to disclose their deceits, perjury and/or 4 connections with many retaliation acts; are additional 5 6 crimes of **Conspiracy**, Perjury, **Obstruction**, State Frauds, 7 Scheme to Fix Fees, Bribery, Intimidation of Victims/Witnesses, many 8 9 SEC Frauds, and tons of Bankruptcy Frauds! 10 Furthermore, each and every single time that the 11 12 parties mailed and/or emailed their lies, deceits, 13 payments, to parties, the court and others; such were 14 15 acts/counts of Mail and Wire Frauds. 16 In the similar manner that the parties defrauded 17 the eToys estate, the Racketeers also defrauded the Kay 18 19 Bee case (DE Bankr 04-10120). 20 Whereas, Michael Glazer as CEO of Kay Bee did pay 21 22 himself \$18 million and Bain Capital \$83 million before 23 filing bankruptcy of Kay Bee. 24 MNAT represents Bain in that issue, Barry Gold is 25 26 working Kay Bee via ADA; and Traub asked to be the one 27 to prosecute Glazer and Bain. 28

Doing so while <u>TBF was purportedly being punished</u> for the eToys deceits vis-à-vis the *Disgorge Motion*.

When this plaintiff put forth a Motion under the law of 18 U.S.C. & 4 MisPrision of a Felony, into the first Kay Bee bankruptcy case (#04-10120); the DE DOJ came (once again) to the rescue of the Racketeers.

Mark Kenney successfully motioned to the DE BK Ct to Strike/Expunge the evidences by this plaintiff from the court docket record.

Attached to this litigant's filing in the Kay Bee case, was the sworn affidavit of the former Chairman of the eToys Creditors Committee testifying to the fact that Paul Traub/TBF deceived their own client about the issues of Barry Gold.

What is also amazing is the fact that eToys and Kay Bee were in bankruptcy multiple times; and that they still wound back to Bain under the Toys R Us name (each time with Traub's guidance as Creditors counsel).

Meanwhile, the original 2001 eToys case and 2004 Kay Bee case are both still open (protecting schemes)!

28

1

2

3

4

5

To this very day the parties continue to make sure 1 that the eToys estate doesn't properly compensate this plaintiff and/or his CLI business. Defendants actions destroyed this litigant's works 6 and career, especially in the toys industry. Doing so because Romney/Bain had the long term goal in politics; and Bain wanting Toys R Us. 10 Had plaintiff not had his business harmed by the 11 Racketeering Defendants, then Bain may have possibly 12 13 been compelled to pay tens/hundreds of millions more 14 dollars for Kay Bee, FAO Schwartz, eToys, etc. 15 16 This material adverse harm of many victims and this 17 plaintiff's business can be rectified by reinstating 18 litigant back into his chair in eToys and tossing out 19 20 the bandit usurper Barry Gold. 21 Permitting the powers that be to handpick anyone 22 23 else would be surreptitious at best. 24 No one else is up to speed on all the points of 25 contention; and this would also help resolve the fact 26 27 that plaintiff and the eToys shareholders are akin in 28 harm - but separate in pursuits of remedy.

Haas v Romney "2nd Amended Complaint" - January 30, 2014 - Page 39

VII FEDERAL CORRUPTION

Plaintiff has come to learn much about the Code and Rule of Law pertaining to this case. If the Law would simply be paramount and applied, asit should be, then this case would end and justice could be accomplished.

Unfortunately, one of the reasons the Law has waned in arresting the consummate bad faith profuse in this case, is due to the fact that there are rogue elements in various federal agencies, who do not take their oath seriously (that of protecting the Constitution of the United States from enemies foreign & Domestic).

There are at least half a dozen public servants who have betrayed the public's trust in this case.

Including, but not limited to, Region 3 UST Roberta DeAngelis and her trial attorney Mark Kenney.

Plus former US Attorney Colm Connolly.

The justice over the eToys bankruptcy case would have much explaining to do, outside of the "Deal"aware realm of justice. But judges are immune from their bad faith rulings and maybe can blame the UST in this case.

Deputy Director of the Executive Office of United States Trustees ("EOUST") - Lawrence Friedman - and his successor Clifford White III; look extremely bad here.

Then there's the Los Angeles, California United States Attorneys Tom O'Brien and Debra Yang.

On December 22, 2004 EOUST Director Friedman did replace Acting Region 3 Trustee Roberta DeAngelis with a new UST who was touted to be an experienced person concerning fraud prosecutions.

Significant of this timing is the fact that the eToys Emergency Hearing on Fraud was December 22, 2004. On January 25, 2005, resultant of the Emergency Hearing remarks of Assistant UST Frank Perch that TBF had apparently failed to disclose serious conflicts of interest concerning Barry Gold; the DE BK Ct compelled the parties to answer the various allegations.

MNAT's January 25, 2005 "Response" to the plaintiff is eToys D.I. 2173; and it contains admittances.

Traub's TBF "Response" is eToys D.I. 2171 and also contains confessions (concerning Barry Gold).

Barry Gold's "Response" is provided by counsel. It is eToys D.I. 2169 and contains important confession and evidence pieces of the puzzle.

Including in Barry Gold's "Response" to defend Gold concerning his lies under oath, was the quaint attached exhibit, specifically Barry Gold's "Hiring Letter" and the confirmed PLAN Administrators "Declaration".

Plaintiff, now armed with confessions/ solid proof that Barry Gold was a crooked eToys executive, still was prevented from being compensated for litigant/CLI's compensation that would have transpired during the February 4, 2005 hearing on plaintiff/CLI issues.

One surely can't accept a check from bad faith executives who admitted to their lies and fraud; may as well have just simply taken the bribe back in 2001!

MNAT, Barry Gold, Paul Traub and Michael Fox (one of the partners of TBF) were all **deposed** on February 9, 2005 at the DE BK Ct building (*because of threats upon plaintiff and eToys shareholder by parties, including Defendant* Johann Hamerski death threat to Mr. Alber).

1	These "Depositions" provided additional tidbits of
2	evidences. Such as Michael Fox lying about the fact
3	
4	that Susan Balaschak (TBF's partner in Houston, TX) had
5	never met and/or worked with Barry Gold prior to eToys.
6 7	Additionally, MNAT admitted Goldman Sachs issues.
8	Paul Traub denied that his TBF firm did the actual
9	drafting of Barry Gold's Hiring Letter.
10 11	Barry Gold confessed that he worked with Jack Bush
12	on multiple occasions and Wells Fargo.
13	Many more issues about the confessions will be
14 15	detailed during the course of the trial of this case.
16	As a result of all this evidence of the fracturing
17 18	of the Bankruptcy Code & Rules of Law, the Assistant
19	UST Frank Perch put forth the UST's Disgorge Motion on
20 21	February 15, 2005 (eToys D.I. 2195).
22	It cannot be iterated enough, until justice does
23 24	arrive - that the Disgorge Motion provides UST testimony,
25	in part 18, detailing the fact Traub's TBF firm knew of
26 27	exposure of their lies from the <i>Bonus Stores</i> case; and that
28	a mindful decision was made to do fraud on court.

Instead of supplicating a supplemental Rule 2014/2016 1 2 Affidavit - as required by Law - to disclose the failure 3 to inform the DE BK CT of Barry Gold/TBF many conflicts 4 5 of interests. Traub via TBF made a conscious decision 6 to let the falsity remain intact before the DE BK Ct 7 8 chief justice; and confessed this in TBF's Response. 9 This is a cemented confession of Fraud upon the 10 Court by an officer of the court! 11 12 As detailed in the Disgorge Motion parts 19 & 35, TBF 13 was forewarned against replacing eToys executives with 14 15 anyone connected to the retained professionals of the 16 eToys bankruptcy case. 17 Doing insider transactions like that is Against the 18 19 Law (Bankruptcy Sections 101(14) & 327(a)) ! 20 However, Traub/TBF, MNAT, Werkheiser and Barry Gold 21 22 had big plans and weren't going to allow a mere Asst. 23 UST's forewarning to thwart their schemes. 24 25 By 2004, when plaintiff had finally ferreted out 26 the Smoking Gun proof from the Bonus Stores case (the ADA 27 28 Affidavit that had vanity stationary details of both Barry Gold and Paul Traub as

partners); Romney was by then Governor of Massachusetts 1 2 and the former MNAT partner (Colm Connolly) had been 3 arranged to become the head federal prosecutor with 4 5 jurisdiction over the various cases in Delaware.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

26

27

28

Prior to this time, for many years, Delaware UST Trial counsel Mark Kenney had already assisted the bad faith parties on multiple occasions.

Concerning the case of **Bonus Stores**, the UST objected to Barry Gold/ Traub's ADA issues; but you can't see those items now. Surreptitiously PACER states that the UST Objections are "not available".

One of the reasons for this extensive effort in cover up is the fact that, when plaintiff informed Mark Kenney about the bribes, Mr. Kenney was duplicitous.

UST Trial Attorney Mark Kenney stipulated to this plaintiff that he wasn't a lawyer and that plaintiff simply didn't understand the complexities.

Mark Kenney said the offer by Defendants of the 25 \$850,000 in sales price reduction for plaintiff to have part of the eToys case estate - wasn't really a bribe.

And the offers for litigant to work other cases with them was because everyone respected this litigant and his expertise.

Mark Kenney then further stipulated that plaintiff need not worry. If complainant wanted to confirm that the deal was a legitimate dealing, he should simply accept the offer and then bring the sealed transaction to Mark Kenney's office for approval. **Nice Try!**

Plaintiff's own counsel of Heiman emails Traub's TBF Threat to Plaintiff

In 2004, plaintiff's counsel for CLI (Henry Heiman of Heiman, Aber Goldust & Baker) emailed Traub's threat from partner Susan Balaschak to this plaintiff.

Warning that complainant was to "back off" or else. Threats of Retaliation included warnings that CLI would not get paid, TBF would destroy plaintiff's business/career and worse would transpire.

As is obvious all this has already occurred. When litigant forwarded the email threat to UST Trial attorney Mark Kenney, he lost his temper and said "We took care of Barry Gold and Paul Traub issues in the Bonus Sales case"!

	*** . 7 . 8
1	<u>Viola!</u>
2	What Mark Kenney wasn't aware of is the fact that
3 4	plaintiff was in contact with adversaries of Paul Traub
5	and his cohorts and had begun to learn how to research
6 7	cases in the PACER system.
8	Also, starting around mid-2001, PACER had began to
9	put (previously hidden) docket items, up online.
10 11	Meanwhile, litigants was trying to find someone to
12	help that knew what really was going on with Traub.
13 14	Whereas plaintiff had found one of Traub's former
15	partners to help in the fight (Stephen Mayka was an associate of
16 17	the Traub Bonacquist & Yellen firm – who left Traub because they believed his
18	shenanigans would one day land them in jail).
19	Mr. Mayka informed plaintiff that Barry Gold had
20 21	been working with Traub and his law firm for a very
22	long time – and that litigant should look into bonus.
23 24	Other competitors also instructed plaintiff to look
25	at "bonus". But none of them - until the lapse linguae
26 27	of Mark Kenney - had informed this pursuer of justice
28	that the "bonus" meant a case named "Bonus Stores".

Haas v Romney " 2^{nd} Amended Complaint" - January 30, 2014 - Page 47

1

Prior to Mark Kenney's *faux pas*, litigant searched far and wide for a **bonus** commission or other such deal.

Once plaintiff looked at the *Bonus Stores* case on PACER (DE Bankr. 03-12284) litigant learned that the UST's office did indeed address issues of Barry Gold and Paul Traub in the *Bonus Stores* case.

Therein plaintiff found the *Smoking Gun* (affidavit inside the *Bonus Stores* case with Paul Traub and Barry Gold named as co-principals); which is the trigger piece of evidence that started to bring down the bad faith parties and compel the "Responses".

This is why Traub and Barry Gold simply had to confess they lied under oath in the eToys case.

Traub's TBF had submitted many monthly, interim, first and final fee applications (at least 17).

Each and every one, as is a requirement of the Bankruptcy Code & Rules, came along with a Bankruptcy Rule 2014/2016 Affidavit and Traub's TBF stipulating (falsely) over and over again - that there were no [Barry Gold] issues and/or ANY [Bain/Glazer] conflicts of interest.

Defendants simply couldn't overcome their own 1 2 contradictory affidavits with their stationary names 3 thereof, detailing the fact that Barry Gold and Paul 4 Traub were partners in ADA. 5 6 Around the same time, plaintiff discovered that 7 MNAT lied about Goldman Sachs (due to a typo). Finova 8 9 case was 01-705 and eToys was 01-706. 10 MNAT represents Goldman Sachs in Finova; and, by 11 the looks of things, handed in the case filings by the 12 13 same person at the same time. 14 But MNAT had sworn up and down in the eToys case 15 16 that the firm was not connected to Goldman Sachs. 17 To this very day though MNAT (*has now*) confessed the 18 Goldman Sachs issue, MNAT continues to hide its Bain 19 20 and Mattel connections; because both are disqualifying 21 facts and proof of felony crimes. 22 23 In the same fashion of deception to the DE BK Ct 24 and parties of interests, Barry Gold continues to lie 25 and conceal his connections to Wells Fargo, Glazer, 26 27 Bain, Romney, Cosmetics Plus/Goldman Sachs and Kay Bee. 28

Paul Traub wins the prize for the most failures to disclose conflicts of interest.

3	Traub had failed to disclose his association with
4	
5	Merrill Lynch, Michael Glazer, Romney/Bain associates
6 7	(such as Jack Bush), Stage Stores/ Liquidity Solutions
8	/Madison Liquidity, Gordon Brothers, also Cosmetics
9	Plus/Goldman Sachs, Playco/Toys International/Ozer
10 11	Group, Wells Fargo/Foothill Capital and Barry Gold.
12	Furthermore, there's the additional crime of the
13	eToys dealings with Fingerhut (more on this below).
14 15	Foothill Capital/Wells Fargo are, in the exact,
16	John Gellene type frauds, of over \$100 million.
17 18	Xroads LLC has undisclosed connections to Wells
19	Fargo too; and Goldman Sachs also.
20 21	Ronald Sussman, the attorney for Traub's TBF firm
22	in defending the eToys conflicts issues, also has a
23	spouse who is a key executive at Xroads.
24 25	As is noted in Traub's TBF Stage Stores Supplement,
26	his TBF firm failed to disclose Ronald Sussman.
27	Henry Heiman and all subsequent attorneys hired for
28	CLI flatly (<i>unlawfully</i>) refused to inform the DE BK Ct

Haas v Romney ``2nd Amended Complaint" - January 30, 2014 - Page 50

and/or UST's office of any ensuing proofs of Perjury,
Fraud, Scheme to Fix Fees and Retaliation.

Meanwhile, the DE UST's office keeps assisting the perpetrators to succeed in organized crimes.

As a matter of fact, on February 24, 2005, Mark Kenney actually made an enigmatic effort to <u>obstruct</u> <u>justice</u> by putting forth a purported "Stipulation to Settle" Traub's/TBF Disgorge Motion.

Resultantly, the only decent federal person (Asst. UST Frank Perch) was compelled to resign.

Plaintiff was also in direct contact with the DOJ Deputy Director Lawrence Friedman who was the head administrator of the EOUST in Washington, D.C.

Director Friedman emailed a promise to plaintiff on February 25, 2005 that his staff was on top of the case; and would deal with it appropriately.

Lawrence Friedman's promises, at the time, appeared to be sincere, with reactions consequential.

But plaintiff saw that a clause within Mark Kenney "Stipulation to Settle" (eToys D.I. 2201) made a furtive deal/

promise that the UST/Police would no longer do their eToys job (there was something greater to hide).

Specifically, the Stipulation to Settle of Traub/ TBF Disgorge Motion stated that;

"WHEREAS the United States Trustee shall not seek to compel TBF to make additional disclosures"

Upon plaintiff's efforts to seek out what it was that was so important to hide, where the UST's office would go upon the open record with a flagrant promise to breach the UST's one of few primary fiduciary duties as Police; the Kay Bee \$100 million fraud was found.

While Traub's TBF is purportedly being punished in the eToys case, for failures to disclose conflicts of interests. MNAT, Barry Gold and Traub's TBF firm are engaged in another plethora of lies, conspiracies, plot to defraud the Kay Bee bankruptcy estate too.

Obviously, this was an up from Bribery attempts in the eToys case; of plaintiff being offered \$850,000. As Glazer paid himself \$18 million dollars and Bain \$83 million before Glazer filed bankruptcy of Kay Bee. Unfortunately, Romney's stalwarts knew they could get away with \$100 million Kay Bee case fraud; because they had the Ace in the Hole of Colm Connolly (the former partner of the MNAT law firm – who was now the U.S. Attorney – that plaintiff did not know about until 2007).

Upon plaintiff bringing these additional facts to the attention of EOUST Director Lawrence Friedman, he chose discretion over valor and resigned. (Since then Friedman joined the dark side in off-shore tax scams with Bader Company).

All these serious events transpired when proof of another crime arose during the March 1, 2005 evidence hearing in the eToys case (Transcript D.I. 2228).

Within the eToys case January 25, 2005 Barry Gold "Response" is Mr. Gold's Hiring Letter.

This previous hidden Gold/eToys engagement letter does reveal the facts that Gold was paid \$40,000.00 per month upon being placed inside eToys (after being forewarned by the UST's office - not to do that very crime). It also provides that Barry Gold can received a "bonus" compensation package at the end of the eToys case. This may also explain why the eToys case was kept open for more than a decade. (Though it is also plausible that the parties wanted to control the case to make sure it didn't harm Romney's election chances).

During the March 1, 2005 evidence hearings, Traub was directly deposed, on the stand, by the DE BK Ct, of the issues of Barry Gold.

At that time, Traub confessed that his TBF firm did pay Barry Gold four (4) separate payments of \$30,000 each, from January 2001 and ending May 2001 (once Barry Gold was unlawfully inserted into eToys as CEO).

Therefore, Traub's TBF firm was relieved of the burden of paying \$30,000.00 at a time to Barry Gold.

Additionally, Mr. Gold received an extra \$10,000 at a time (with the other promise of a "bonus" at the end of the eToys case {success of their plots/ploys}).

Apparently there has never - ever - been any prosecutions of the 11 U.S.C. & 155 Scheme to Fix Fee statute (even after the Janet Reno Reform Act of 1994 made such a priority). The eToys/Kay Bee cases should be prosecuted.

1

There is no easier a case to prosecute in this 1 2 case; except for the confessions to lying under oath. 3 Fee Fixing statute is a Class A Misdemeanor; but it 4 is punishable by a year in prison. 5 6 Also, the Scheme to Fix Fees Statute is part of the 7 lists of RICO "predicate acts". 8 9 Additionally, this crime was done so many times, in 10 so many ways, by, Perjury, Obstruction and Conspiracy. 11 12 There's also a flip of the statute as pertains to 13 this plaintiff. Whereas, part of the scheme to fix fees 14 was the unjust enrichment of the bad faith parties and 15 16 the grand larceny/retaliation against plaintiff/CLI. 17 Also within Barry Gold's Hiring Letter are other proofs 18 19 of many acts of Perjury and deceits. 20 It has been the contention of MNAT, Werkheiser, 21 22 Traub/ TBF (and his self-professed local counsel 23 Frederick Rosner), along with Mr. Gold that Defendants 24 never - ever - considered having Barry Gold apply to 25 26 the DE BK Ct as a Professional Person under Bankruptcy 27 Code 327(a). This too, is an erroneous contention. 28

Akin to the Bonus Stores Affidavit controverting 1 2 Traub/TBF lies, Barry gold's **Hiring Letter** itself directly 3 invalidates the claim of never considering Barry Gold's 4 5 need to apply to the DE BK Ct. 6 Gold's Hiring Letter contains three (3) clauses. Clause 7 (i) is of Barry Gold being approved by the DE BK Ct. 8 9 Clause (ii) concerns eToys obtaining Directors & 10 Officers ("D&O") insurance to cover Barry Gold. 11 12 With the final clause (iii) being that of the DE BK 13 Ct's approving the new D&O policy to cover Barry Gold. 14 As clear and convicting as this evidence is of bad 15 16 faith deceit (when you bear in mind the additional fact that the parties 17 were forewarned NOT to replace eToys executives with anyone connected to the 18 19 retained professionals) the [Hiring Letter] also provides even 20 further proof of planned bad faith intent. 21 22 Whereas additional language within the 2 page Gold 23 Hiring Letter stipulates that once Barry Gold is satisfied 24 25 and "waived the condition in clause (i), then you [Gold] shall be appointed as 26 President and Chief Executive officer" as of May 2001; then he can 27 28 become the eToys President/CEO.

What is germane about this "waiver" of "clause (i)" is the fact that "clause (i)" is Barry Gold becoming a "Wind Down Coordinator" (double of the tasks of CLI) and it states Gold shall retain the position until "(i)" – "the approval of your [Barry Gold] employment as an officer of the [eToys] Company by order of the U.S. Bankruptcy Court for the District of Delaware".

Thus, we have proof that Barry Gold did consider being approved by the DE BK Ct; but made a conscious choice not to seek that approval.

Condemning the Defendants efforts in obfuscating excuses even further is the fact that this "clause (i)" was done when the UST forewarned the racketeers not to do the very act they then conspired to do in secret.

Any 1st year law student would "get this" and file a proper brief for the Law professor to grade.

This isn't principled issues of falsity complex. There are only 21 United States Trustee's in the country. These parties are the best of the best that our nation can muster; and the specific parties in this case are purported 'experts' of this subject matter. Roberta DeAngelis went before Congress in 2004 as the UST's expert on reigning in wayward professional persons; and handling fraud.

Unfortunately, after EOUST Director Friedman had resigned, the subsequent Director (Clifford White III) promoted Roberta DeAngelis to the post of Acting EOUST General Counsel.

Hence, plaintiff was sending items to the GC of the EOUST and was asking Roberta DeAngelis to investigate her own failures to perform.

When a visiting justice assisted the destruction of this plaintiff's business, due to *exparte* conversations with UST parties and Mark Kenney; plaintiff appealed the prejudice to the DE Federal District Court.

At that time, as if to head off plaintiff's appeal to the Federal District Court in Delaware, taking more than six (6) months to address the issue, the DE BK Ct did publish its "*Opinion*" of October 4, 2005.

In that **Opinion**, the DE BK Ct abuses its authority multiple times; granting astonishing leniency.

1

2

On pages 15 & 16 of the **Opinion** (eToys D.I. 2319) the 1 2 DE BK Ct (then Chief Justice) stipulates that it would 3 be wrong to punish a plaintiff and reward conflicted 4 5 attorneys; and then the court castigates this litigant 6 while simultaneously letting conflicted attorneys get 7 away with intentional/post-forewarning-fraud on the court. 8 9 It is all so bizarre, inexplicable and absurd! 10 Additionally, the **Opinion** stipulates that there was 11 12 no proof of Perjury (in spite of the confessions to 33 13 times of lying under oath to the court). 14 15 Then the DE BK Ct concludes in its 2005 **Opinion** that 16 it is now too late to disqualify MNAT. 17 Here we are in 2013 and eToys case is still open 18 19 with the same bad faith issues of fraud on court being 20 unaddressed and Perjury/Fraud continuous! 21 22 Both the UST's Disgorge Motion and the DE BK Ct's 23 **Opinion** affirm the ubiquitous case brought forth by the 24 25 U.S. Sup. Ct of In re Hazel Atlas Glass v Hartford Empire (1944) of 26 Court ruling there's NO statute of limitations when a 27 28 fraud is perpetrated upon the court by its officers!

Continuing in bad faith the DE BK Ct, on December 1 2 1, 2005, held a hearing about - whether or not - the court 3 would allow the appeals of this plaintiff and of eToys 4 5 shareholder Robert Alber to go forward. 6 Plaintiff also put forth Motions to seek recusal of 7 the obvious - biased - justice and for review of the 8 9 many failures to act of the UST. 10 Both motions couldn't be legitimately addressed if 11 12 the "protected" racketeers were to remain successful. 13 And, so, the UST and DE BK Ct ignored the Motions. 14 Robert Alber was secretly driving across the USA 15 16 from California to the DE BK Ct, to attend the hearing 17 on December 1, 2005. 18 Plaintiff was, at the same time threatened again, 19 20 so litigant contacted the FBI in Baltimore, MD; who did 21 cause the US Marshals to be present for the December 1, 22 23 2005 hearings incongruous. 24 As a result of the unusual presence of the Marshals 25 and no indication why they were there, the sham hearing 26 27 with attempts to thwart the appeal, were somewhat taken 28 aback - and the appeals were permitted to progress.

In October 2006, the District Court Justice (KAJ) 1 2 who presided over those appeals, commanded a telephone 3 conference on October 16, 2006. 4 The court took the additional, unusual step of 5 6 ordering every counsel, to be present for the hearing. 7 Then the DE District Court informed Traub, MNAT and 8 9 the others that they were in trouble; and that the 10 Court was going to give eToys shareholder Robert Alber 11 additional time to produce an Amended briefing. 12 13 A few weeks later *that* justice was promoted OFF the 14 case to the United States Third Circuit Court. 15 16 Then, Defendants asked a Magistrate Justice, who is 17 forbidden by Law to be involved with bankruptcy cases, 18 to get involved anyway. 19 20 As a matter of fact - there was an order issued 21 stating the Magistrate taking over justice KAJ's cases 22 23 would not be allowed to get involved in bankruptcy 24 issues and appeals. 25 What is even more peculiar, is the fact that Barry 26 27 Gold's counsel's letter stated he was aware that the 28 Magistrate Justice was forbidden to handle the eToys

"bankruptcy" appeal case; but they went ahead seeking the Magistrate to stop Robert Alber.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

During this time, eToys shareholder Robert Alber was being harassed by MNAT's cohort Johann Hamerski (more on this at trial).

As a result of the constant barrage of bad faith acts by Johann Hamerski, eToys shareholder Robert Alber has had nervous breakdowns and his health declined so much, Alber underwent brain surgery as a byproduct.

In spite of this hardship (even though Judge KAJ said he would allow eToys shareholder Robert Alber even longer time – specifically due to his health issues – and that Order granting relief that additional time could be granted was prior to the brain surgery) the Magistrate Justice held a hearing that was beyond Constitutional authority and did so without Robert Alber being present.

Then the Magistrate "mailed" an order that it was not legally allowed to do, Ordering Robert Alber to answer within 10 days (by January 18, 2007).

Fiendish attempts succeeded in delaying Robert
Alber answering until the mailing being dated the 19th.

In spite of the fact that the Magistrate Judge 1 2 could never issue the order and the compounding issue (relief) that the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure ("Fed.R.Civ.P") permit additional days when a court holds a hearing and "mails" the order. No one in the DE federal system of justice was going to let this part of the law get in the way of the leniency upon the RICO Defendants; and the total ostracizing of insignificant plaintiff's such as Robert Alber and Laser Haas. 12 13 When a subsequent District Court Justice ordered 14 that Robert Alber's appeal was dismissed, as a result 15 16 of the sham proceedings, the issue was timely appealed 17 to the Third Circuit (case# 07-2360). 18 During this time, apparent G-dsends befell this 19 20 plaintiff, who discovered that all the time litigant 21 was sending the case information to the DE US Attorney 22 23 and the General Counsel of the EOUST; what was really 24 going on is that Roberta DeAngelis (the December 22, 25 2004 removed Region 3 Trustee) had been - secretly 26 27 promoted to the post of Acting General Counsel of the 28 EOUST (there's no published account of the high level promotion upon the

Haas v Romney "2nd Amended Complaint" - January 30, 2014 - Page 63

3 4 5

UST's Press Releases website until later in 2007 – after this plaintiff started 1 2 screaming and yelling at every Romney, rogue element, public servant stalwart he 3 could). And that U.S. Attorney Colm Connolly was in fact 4 5 a former partner of the MNAT law firm. Hence, plaintiff was asking Roberta DeAngelis to investigate her own failures to perform; and, at the same time, asking U.S. Attorney Colm Connolly to investigate and/or prosecute his form partners at MNAT and that firm's clients! Mark Kenney, Roberta DeAngelis, Assistant UST Andy Vara (also an expert on Professionals per 327(a)) and Roberta DeAngelis's cohort Mr. Sutko all four signed the UST's brief to the 3rd Circuit of Robert Alber's appeal. Plaintiff need not delve into the massive deceits, obfuscations and bold breaches of fiduciary duties of the EOUST and its Wilmington, DE personal Asst. UST Andy Vara and trial attorney Mark Kenney.

In the very first footnote of the UST's Third Circuit appeals brief of case 07-2360, is the proper sum up of the significant problems in the case.

As the very 1st footnote of the UST's brief (that 1 2 took four (4) of the most experienced experts to 3 address 'prose' Robert Alber) states the fact that the 4 5 problem in a nutshell that "the United States Trustee had not 6 and will not address the MNAT issues"! 7 To this very day, there never has been any type of 8 9 Disgorge Motion and/or Stipulation to Settle concerning the MNAT 10 confessions of lying under oath fifteen (15) times. 11 12 When plaintiff subsequently reported proofs of the 13 obvious issues of breach of fiduciary duty, willful 14 15 blindness and federal corruption acts of having direct 16 links to "targets" of a fed investigation, to the Los 17 Angeles Public Corruption Task Force and US Attorney Tom O'Brien 18 19 as being the head prosecutor there. Instead of putting 20 a stop to the manifest injustice, enigmatically the 21 22 Public Corruption Task Force was SHUT DOWN ! 23 As if all of that betrayal of the public's trust 24 25 was not enough, the Los Angeles Times March 2008 story 26 "Shake-up roils federal prosecutors" details the fact that career 27 28 federal prosecutors were actually threatened to keep their mouths shut Or ELSE !

What is absurd about all this, as well as extremely 1 2 frightening; if the Racketeers can get away with this 3 much in the open - how much more harm is done secretly? 4 With so many issues of federal corruption obvious, 5 6 it *shocks the conscience* that there's no intervention! 7 VIII FAILURES TO PROSECUTE SPREADS RICO ACROSS THE NATION 8 9 As is to be expected, if grand larcenists can get 10 away 'Scot Free' in all that they do. Then the next step 11 12 is to increase the size, scope and breadth of organized 13 crimes to get away with as much as the Defendants can. 14 15 Paul Traub was involved, one way or another with 16 many of national scams. Including, but not limited to, 17 Enron, Adelphia, Levitz, Okun 1031 Tax Group and Kmart. 18 19 Concerning the cases of fraudster Marc Dreier, Paul 20 Traub became a partner of Dreier LLP after Robert Alber 21 22 found proof that Traub's TBF firm had been Revoked by 23 the Sec. of State in NY (eToys transcript D.I. 2228). 24 25 Additionally, Traub has been named as "controller" of 26 the Tom Petters Ponzi by federal receiver Douglas 27 Kelley (who has a scandalous history also). 28

Traub/Petters Ponzi had acquired many national 1 companies, including UBid, Sun Country Airlines, also Fingerhut and Polaroid. Thane Ritchie's Capital Management Company loaned hundreds of millions of dollars to Tom Petters with the Polaroid asset to secure the monies. But Traub was never going to permit Thane Ritchie to have Polaroid. A scheme was hatched where a mock sale would occur; and Traub would win back that prize! Another issue apropos to both eToys and Tom Petters Ponzi is that of Fingerhut. Back in 2001, eToys was in litigation against the Fingerhut entity; which occurred because Fingerhut was

blamed (in part) for eToys demise. Apparently Fingerhut screwed up many of the Christmas orders of eToys.

But MNAT, Traub and Barry Gold settled the eToys v Fingerhut case; while Traub/Petters used Ponzi monies to acquire Fingerhut (whose home office address, until 2007, was listed as 655 Third Ave, NY, NY - Traub's law office headquarters).

Haas v Romney " 2^{nd} Amended Complaint" - January 30, 2014 - Page 67

Just prior to the FBI raid, Traub went to Minn. and a new finance/re-arrangement of ownership of Fingerhut occurred. A new loan of \$50 million came to Fingerht via our ever evolving Goldman Sachs & Bain Capital!

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

As a result of the back door dealings and Romney's cohort Traub being involved, Fingerhut was never seized by the Feds.

Even though Polaroid was seized by Douglas Kelley, the skullduggery launched itself to a whole new level that makes the Chris Christie giving former US Attorney General John Ashcroft and US Attorney Debra Yang a \$50 million dollar NO BID Deferred Prosecution Agreement ("DPA") - indeed, appear to be - child's play.

Traub knew he went through hell; because of his getting caught for lying under oath in eToys. So, in the Tom Petters Ponzi case a decision was made to break laws and ethics rules openly. There's simply no one able to challenge the federal corruption but the feds! Having established how much they could get away by their venality with Colm Connolly in Delaware, many bizarre deals were done behind closed doors that made

Haas v Romney " 2^{nd} Amended Complaint" - January 30, 2014 - Page 68

the "good ole boys" networks in Minnesota get rich from the Tom Petters/Paul Traub Ponzi case.

Such as the fact that Douglas Kelley was originally Tom Petters law firm; and then switched sides to become the Federal Receiver to stop Thane Ritchie.

When Traub/Petters had defaulted upon Polaroid's loan obligations, the Illinois federal court granted Ritchie's Capital Management an Illinois Fed Receiver named Joe Procida.

Upon Mr. Procida's arrival in Minnesota to do the task of performing the federal court ordered duty, the Petters attorney Douglas Kelley told him no.

Then, Douglas Kelley simply hopped onto the other side of the fence of fiduciary duties and was named as the (Iguess you call it "new") Federal Receiver over the Minn. Tom Petters (Paul Traub) Ponzi case.

To put this in more simple, picturesque framework, could Al Capone be allowed to arrange for Frank Nitti to be Capone's attorney one day; and then be receiver over the feds seizure of Capone's assets the next?

You can in a RICO Romney world!

1

Everyone knew that this was hogwash. It was abuse 1 2 of Ethics standard, against the Law & all common sense. 3 However, even something bigger was now being tested 4 that also made was going to spin justice upside down on 5 6 its head; and make an open statement of "who cares"! 7 What do you call a former head federal prosecutor 8 9 who gets \$50 million from a target of investigation, in 10 order to guarantee no prosecution? 11 This RICO had a new, even more sinister and very 12 13 convoluted scheme to put to public bribery to the test. 14 Whereas the judge (a Magistrate who also has extensive history 15 16 with Doug Kelley) simply legislated from the bench and gave 17 her crony Douglas Kelley purported blanket protection 18 19 from his obvious conflict of interests efforts. 20 The court's in Minnesota call it "judicial immunity"! 21 22 All reviewers of these facts have to bear in mind 23 that this was during the era of corrupt Colm Connolly, 24 Chris Christie DPA's and shutting down the Los Angeles 25 26 Public Corruption Task Force; while stating that there 27 were NO public corruption cases to work. 28

Apparently, in contemporary DOJ environment it is 1 2 no longer considered bribery when a prosecutor is paid 3 to forgo prosecutions. 4 Nor is the integrity of the judicial process to be 5 6 sacrosanct - any longer. 7 Stiffing the likes of Thane Ritchie is no small 8 9 feat of accomplishment. 10 Thane is the actual son of THE Scott Armstrong and 11 G-dson of THE Bob Woodward who brought down Richard 12 13 Nixon. 14 That didn't stop the Racketeering Defendants from 15 16 taking hundreds of millions from Thane Ritchie. 17 Even with Thane's loans being only several months 18 before the actual FBI raid of Petters companies. 19 20 After Douglas Kelley, armed with his "judicial immunity" 21 powers did seize the Polaroid assets; other details of 22 23 many more conflicts of interest arose. 24 Turns out that Receiver Douglas Kelley utilized the 25 Lindquist & Vennum ("L&V") law firm to handle some of 26 27 the Receiver's filings. 28

1

What is at issue is the fact that L&V also did represent Paul Traub/Tom Petters partner/associate named Michael O'Shaughnessy.

Mr. O'Shaughnessy knew in advance that the Ponzi was going to collapse and Polaroid would be in serious trouble. So he wrote contract "*ipso facto*" terms to unjustly reward Michael O'Shaughnessy.

At the same time (apparently) Douglas Kelley spoke out as if he were still part of the Minnesota DOJ's unit (more on this in just a moment). Mr. Kelley did make Mary Jeffries Polaroid's CEO after he came out publicly stating she wasn't a target of the fed issues. Other associates of Paul Traub's who also seemed to get away 'Scot Free', included David Baer, Tom Hays, Camille Chee-Awai and many others.

Playing musical chairs with executives (who must know too much to be arrested) didn't stop the new age racketeers from pushing the limits of perverting the Code & Rule of law every chance they could by "*judicial immunity*" and other absurdities. Douglas Kelley even became bankruptcy trustee over some of Petters cases.

This too, is a violation of Ethics, Professional 1 2 Code of Conduct, Bankruptcy Code & Rule of Laws and all 3 common sense. The American Bar Association stipulated 4 on its website that - once a bankruptcy case is filed -5 6 the federal receiver becomes moot. 7 Whereas a Federal Receiver is also an examiner of 8 9 facts and stipulated point blank in the Bankruptcy Code 10 & Rules of Law "An Examiner Can NOT be Trustee"! 11 12 You simply can't have a party examining the issues 13 of whether or not transactions are kosher; to be the 14 same party profiting from that decision. It's an open, 15 16 flagrant and blatant obvious conflict of interest. 17 Furthermore, there are many reasons why "Deferred 18 Prosecution Agreements" can't be made by authority of 19 20 the very prosecutor involved in the case. 21 First off, it opens up a whole bag of worms when 22 23 you give carte blanche motivations to a U.S. Attorney 24 to start looking for parties to prosecute that can be 25 compelled to pay millions of dollars in fines, instead 26 27 of being found guilty. Sort of like the SEC telling 28 Mark Cuban it's okay; then seeking to prosecute him.

Haas v Romney " 2^{nd} Amended Complaint" - January 30, 2014 - Page 73

Secondly, our nation simply can't allow federal 1 2 agents to be involved in a process where a "Deferred 3 Prosecution Agreement" can be made and then same federal prosecutor decide where the monies go from the DPA. It is obfuscation of BRIBERY issues as *modus operandi*! 8 Nor can you have attorneys for those targeted by 9 federal investigations to become he federal receiver 10 thereof. 11 Picture how bad it would have been if Mitt Romney would have become POTUS, handpicked the "friendly" U.S. Attorney some parties were purportedly paying vast millions of dollars to benefit from. United States Attorney General Colm Connolly! Or how about the vastly experienced, misunderstood, "received" EOUST Director Paul Traub. (As they've purportedly done nothing wrong) ! 22 Are we to have federal receivers seizing all the 23 assets of targets, depriving them of any money for a 24 25 proper defense; then put them (OKUN) in jail 100 yrs? 26 To placate Ritchie and any other victim, an auction 27 was held of Polaroid that was corrupted by the RICO. 28

Whereas Polaroid was sold in a quasi-legal auction while in bankruptcy.

Plaintiff alleges that it was not a proper auction; for many reasons. Including the fact Polaroid was sold to the 2nd highest bidders - Hilco and Gordon Brothers. Both Hilco and Gordon Brothers just so happen to be Paul Traub's clients.

Upon the success of that plot to defraud victims a second time by the sham auction of selling the billions in worth of Polaroid for \$83 million. Douglas Kelley's piggybank grows larger and Gordon Brothers announced \$2 billion in new licensing deals shortly after the sale. Then, as if enough mud isn't rubbed into the face of the victims - over and over again - Paul Traub is openly made co-principal of Gordon Brothers.

This, of course, is really no big deal; because of the many other secrets going on at the Minnesota DOJ's office, including the fact that J. Lackner's brother -Marty Lackner - also just happened to be a partner in the Traub/Petters Ponzi.

28

1

2

Unfortunately, plaintiff won't be able to depose Marty Lackner and get the files Marty purportedly had on the inner workings of the case.

In 2009, Marty Lackner was *suicided*! Apparently, this plaintiff is the only person who knew that Marty Lackner and J. Lackner were brothers. The significance being that Marty was working in the Traub/Petters Ponzi feeder fund of Lancelot.

At the same time, J. Lackner was Assistant U.S. Attorney in Minnesota; and prior head of Criminal Div.

Plaintiff could go into other bizarro facts of how Larry Reynolds laundered \$12 Billion for the Traub/ Petters Ponzi while living in Las Vegas; while also being investigated for a long time by the IRS, SEC and FDIC.

How plaintiff knows of the investigations, is the fact that Larry Reynolds sat in the same office area (but in different company) as litigant, during the eToys saga. For all we know, this is how Larry dealt himself into the Traub/Petters Ponzi dealings - was his ability to spy upon plaintiff's paperwork.

But that's not the real kicker about Larry Reynolds 1 2 as his real name is Larry Reservitz and he was able to launder \$12 BILLION for the Traub/Petters Ponzi while being under investigation of all those federal agencies 5 6 and doing such money laundering in Las Vegas. 7 Plus, Larry "Reservitz" Reynolds had the dual names 8 9 as a party of WISTEC (Witness Protection Program). 10 The Minnesota DOJ never properly recused themselves 11 from the case, due to the J. Lackner link. 12 13 Plaintiff even tried to get these issues looked 14 into and brought out by Tom Petters in his Motion to 15 16 the federal court to get his sentenced reduced. 17 Surely, had the jury in the Petters case known that 18 Paul Traub had been named "controller", that Traub's 19 20 other cohort/crony Larry Reynold's was getting away 21 with all types of skullduggery while in WISTEC. Doing 22 23 so when the Minnesota U.S. Attorney's office had direct 24 links to the Ponzi scheme; and Petters own attorney had 25 become the federal Receiver. Isn't it possible that the 26 27 verdict of Tom Petters be reduced far lower than the 50 28 years he actually received?

Haas v Romney "2nd Amended Complaint" - January 30, 2014 - Page 77

Given how Twilight Zone all these Facts make this 1 RICO case actually out to be, is there any point to this plaintiff going into the other details that it took litigant more than 2 years to hound Minnesota DOJ to get Frank Vennes arrested? Or how Rothstein in Florida is connected to the 8 9 Traub/ Petters Ponzi scandal (via Discala). 10 Romney's son is also linked to the Stanford fraud 11 in Texas; but that will - in all likelihodd - never be addressed. 12 13 Bruce Prevost and David Harrold were partners with 14 Frank Vennes in the Petters/Traub Ponzi and have since 15 16 pleaded quilty, with their sentences now supplied. 17 But Steve Cammack who owned Palm Beach Links 18 Capital that was worked by Prevost and Harrold, never 19 20 has been mentioned (though plaintiff has informed one agency after 21 22 another about the Cammack crimes). 23 Bill Cawley of Dallas, Texas helped Steve Cammack 24 set up Palm Beach Links Capital of Dallas, Florida to 25 26 work the Tom Petters Ponzi by setting up Palm Beach 27 specifically as a feeder fund via Frank Vennes. 28

Haas v Romney "2nd Amended Complaint" - January 30, 2014 - Page 78

4 5

2 6

3

Cawley put in \$50 million for Palm Beach; but 1 2 Cammack gave him back a \$52 million loan and also made 3 Bill Cawley one who took manager fees from the funds. 4 Cammack is being connected/protected on 2 fronts as 5 6 he has evidence of the frauds and is not just connected 7 in Texas, Minnesota and Florida. 8 9 Steve Cammack's other kicker is he worked Finova 10 that was owned by Goldman Sachs (the bankruptcy case that was 11 12 being handled by MNAT). 13 Parties contacted plaintiff and informed litigant 14 that Cammack is now trying to set up new programs. 15 16 It is also specious how the feds have down played 17 how big the Traub/Petters Ponzi scheme was. 18 19 Douglas Kelley and DOJ personnel in Minnesota have 20 stated - over and over again - that the Petters Ponzi 21 is a \$3.7 billion fraud. 22 23 And yet, Michael Catain claims he laundered over 24 \$10 billion. 25 26 Larry Reynolds (real name "Reservitz") has 27 testified he laundered \$12 billion. 28

Plus Mr. Stobner, a trustee in one of the Petters 1 2 bankruptcy cases, has gone upon the open docket record 3 stipulating that the [Traub] Petters Ponzi was more 4 than a \$40 billion scheme. 5 6 However, when you have the head of the Criminal 7 Division (J. Lackner) whose own brother (Marty Lackner) 8 9 is involved in the frauds and winds up "Suicided". It then 10 is more prudent to make the organized crimes smaller. 11 12 Many mysteries that have never been addressed do 13 include how Polaroid was sold for \$83 million and wound 14 up with \$2 Billion in license deals shortly thereafter. 15 16 Does it really matter? Who cares about victims who 17 are just suckers? The working class who lost their life 18 savings and/or mortgaged their homes to make money from 19 20 the schemes are all shysters too - Correct? 21 Like the Nuns who received a gift of \$250,000 to 22 23 install a handicap elevator; and were then faced with 24 the mocking of justice Receiver Douglas Kelley come 25 calling to clawback that Petters Ponzi money. After all 26 27 - the good ole boy Doug Kelley is just doing his job! 28

Meanwhile, the RICO stalwarts as purported keepers 1 2 of justice, continue to crack themselves up behind the 3 scenes on just how perverse they can fracture a system. 4 Doug Kelley and cohorts gained tens of millions in 5 6 Receiver, Legal and audit fees from the Ponzi. 7 But that's not enough for Douglas Kelley, who went 8 9 to federal court to get a Mandatory Victims Restitution 10 Act ("MVRA") - expunded from being applied. 11 Such is the way of the world when the unimportant 12 13 Congress and Constitution failed to get those terms 14 correct of "Mandatory", instead of "Maybe". 15 16 Then, to make everyone feel all warm and fuzzy that 17 the victims weren't being totally ripped off a 2nd time; Gary Hansen, who 18 19 was Vennes's "judicially immune" receiver, permitted Frank 20 Vennes to handpick which specific pay backs occur. 21 Including Frank Vennes's right hand guy Charles 22 23 Chase of Chase Holdings getting \$1.9 million. 24 And no one better complain; because the Minnesota 25 26 courts also approved that, since the MVRA was no longer 27 mandatory, the US Attorney's gets only \$15 million. 28

What the excuse was for this ludicrous abuse of due process, is that the whole thing is just too complex.

However, if one wants to really look at the rash complexities of a Ponzi scheme and issues presented on the recompense of victims. If, as is already set in stone in the Petters [Traub as controller] Ponzi case that \$3.7 Billion was scammed away and there is to be no Mandatory Restitution to the Victims. Then what in the blazes are there any need to have a dang Federal Receiver for in the 1st place?

Why stop Thane Ritchie's legitimate seizure of the Polaroid assets (same as a car loan not being paid and he car being repo'd) only so some "good ole boys" club can gets tens of millions (maybe hundreds of millions or even more) in fees gutting the victims a 2nd time?

If you took the ghost of Al Capone, mixed it in with Dutch Schultz, added in Bugsy Siegel and put all of them on LSD; they could never - EVER - in their best days, contrive all the crimes, perversions of justice and outright corruption that this Racketeering Gang is able to get away with - OPENLY.

Haas v Romney " 2^{nd} Amended Complaint" - January 30, 2014 - Page 82

Due to the gross negligence and massive willful 1 2 blindness in the extreme, by the federal watchdog 3 agencies and public servants therein, there's simply no 4 chance of justice. Destruction of the publics' faith in 5 6 the integrity of federal processes, is now a standard! 7 Now, if the reviewers of this case think that the 8 9 bad faith parties have had enough of unjust enrichment 10 and appetites are satisfied; how come RICO boss Romney 11 then sought to run for President of the United States? 12 13 Romney continues to seek to find a way he can deal 14 himself back into the politico high stakes arena (with his 15 16 wife, kids, brother etc., exploring their chances for high office elections). 17 Larry Reynolds does 10 years in prison, Mike 18 19 Catain 10 years, Marc Dreier 20 years, Tom Petters 50 20 years and Okun 100 years (Traub worked the Okun case like eToys, 21 22 where he was counsel for Okun 1031 Tax Group and his "former" partner 23 (Michael Fox) was the attorney for the Trustee/Receiver). But the real 24 25 fraudsters, corrupters of federal agents/agencies, as 26 always, continue to get away 'Scot Free'. 27 It is as if America has gone back to the dark ages! 28

1

Due to the expediency of the unjust enrichments, Bain is now a partner with Goldman Sachs in various ventures and has acquired vast corporate holdings. Bain has even bought Clear Channel Communications, Toys R Us and is expanding its empire around the world.

It is easy to do gobble ups of corporate entities around the country, public and private, when there is no one who would dare say what you are doing is wrong.

Dunkin Donuts, Burger King, Sports Authority, HCA, Burlington Coat Factory, Kay Bee, Guitar Centers, Stage Stores, Toys R Us with FAO Schwartz, eToys and even the Boston Celtics.

Money can't buy you love; but it can purchase about everything else, including undue power and influence.

Meantime the crimes continue in the Petters case, Kay Bee and eToys. Even after Douglas Kelley named Paul Traub as the "*controller"* of Tom Petters Ponzi.

Here we are 2 years later after a stunning, public revelation and <u>still there's no arrest of Traub</u>.

However, all of sudden, we are now in a Chris Christie bridge-gate loom large world of justice.

Perhaps the public angst about federal venality will consider the possibility that all these facts are true (as mountainous as they are) IF Christie can????. Whereas the evidence speaks for itself - if someone will just take the time, look upon it & add it up. One can only hope and pray that justice comes.

It is a miracle that, thus far, this plaintiff is still alive and this RICO case is still open; as the PACER docket is checked each day - with much anxiety.

RICO ASSOCIATIONS IN FACT – BANKRUPTCY RINGS IX

Fortunately, due to the hubris of the Defendants and their belief that Defendant Mitt Romney would be the inevitable POTUS; they left evidence trails vast, overwhelming and irrefutable (*frequently federal archives*).

There are many different factions to this RICO case; including, but not limited to, politico efforts (that are apparently not as closed ended as litigant wishes such were).

Also there are many factions/styles of federal corruption parts becoming incestuous and systemic throughout the federal justice system (and also appears to be so in the State system of justice in Michigan {more on this later at
trial}).

Be that all as it may, there's definitely one type of grouping of the Racketeering Defendants that this plaintiff can readily provide proof of its existence; and that is what Congress and the Third Circuit has acknowledge as a "Bankruptcy Ring" of perpetrators.

Unlike Capone running Chicago; this RICO gang has set its eyes upon national targets and more.

Whereas plaintiff alleges the "Defendants" have indirectly and/or directly gained *unjust enrichment* as a result of Racketeering through multifaceted schemes and various "*associations in fact*".

In 1981, in the case of United States v. Turkette, 452 U.S. 576 the United States Supreme Court concluded that the members of an "association in fact" enterprise must associate together for a common purpose of engaging in a particular "course of conduct".

As is a pattern of this RICO, legitimate businesses assaulted hereby, often wind up in bankruptcy.

1

Congress was aware bad faith attorneys at law, who 1 2 did specialize in bankruptcy court cases, might be able 3 to nefariously seize federal estates assets as their 4 very own piggy bank by hiding their connections. 5 6 To arrest conflict of interest ("Conflict") issues 7 of attorneys at law engaging in veiled agendas and/or 8 9 self-dealing in bankruptcy cases that is a Breach of 10 Fiduciary Duty to detriment of clients; Congress changed 11 12 the Bankruptcy Codes & Rules of LAW compelling full disclosure 13 of any and all potential "conflicts of interests". 14 15 Whereas, law firms are required to file Bankruptcy 16 Section 327(a) Application as a Professional Person; in order to get 17 approval of bankruptcy justices. 18 19 Additionally, the candidate must state that they 20 are Bankruptcy Section 101(14) Disinterested Person; and then 21 22 the applicant must submit a Bankruptcy Rule 2014 Affidavit. 23 Bankruptcy Rule Affidavits are a check-n-balance 24 25 measure as bankruptcy courts don't have time to ferret 26 out the validity of applicants self-policing remarks; 27 but can slam a harsh hammer of justice when the parties 28

conceal their associations by falsely stating such does not exist - <u>Under Penalty of Perjury</u>!

Defendants in this instant case almost always choose to fail to disclose their Conflict of Interest issues to anyone for the sake of unjust enrichment.

Currently, Defendants are, even to this very day, continuously lying under oath, <u>at the direct detriment</u> <u>of court approved clients</u>, in Breach of their Fiduciary Duty for the sake of (*at the barest of minimums*) of enriching Romney, Goldman Sachs and Bain Capital.

In its decision of <u>In re Arkansas</u> 798 F.2d 645; the 3rd Circuit details Congressional reflections that the "--legislative history makes clear the 1978 [Bankruptcy] Code was designed to eliminate the abuses and detrimental practices that had been found to prevail. Among such practices was the cronyism of the "*bankruptcy ring*" and attorney control of bankruptcy cases. In fact, the House Report noted that '[i]n practice ... the bankruptcy system operates more for the benefit of attorneys than for the benefit of creditors.' H.R. No. 595, 95th Cong., 2d Sess. 92, reprinted in 1978 U.S. Code Cong. & Ad. News 5787, 5963, 6053". Once again the bad faith practice of a "*Bankruptcy Ring*" has popped up!

Haas v Romney "2nd Amended Complaint" - January 30, 2014 - Page 88

Obviously, Congress was well aware that there was a 1 2 problematic potential for riggings of the Bankruptcy 3 system. Thus the Code & Rule of Law was changed to 4 prevent such Conflicts and such can be Racketeering! 5 6 The Law making arm of our nation's government has 7 (prudently) built-in the Bankruptcy Fraud statutes §§ 152 thru 8 9 and including Section 156 into the RICO Act. 10 Whereas much of the Bankruptcy Fraud statutes are 11 12 also made a part of RICO as felonies violations under 13 the Code of 18 USC § 1961 "predicate acts". 14 Additionally, beyond the federal "predicate act" 15 16 violations; many states felonies transpired also. This 17 "Bankruptcy Ring" modus operandi is a regular pattern of 18 19 this RICO. 20 ADDITIONAL BACKGROUND OF THE RACKETEERS COMING TOGETHER Х 21 22 It is guite possible that there are several running 23 amok cells of the RICO going around the country as 24 25 separate platoons of scammers. Then, they bounce into 26 each other - from time to time - in a feeding frenzy to 27 siphon out as much money as quickly as possible. 28

This would explain the perfect storm of organized crimes concerning Stage Stores, Kay Bee and eToys.

MNAT performs its functions for Goldman Sachs and Bain Capital in various mergers and so forth.

Paul Traub and Barry Gold are a different squad of perpetrators benefiting the same legitimate entities of Goldman Sachs and Bain; but doing so illegally.

Rogue elements in the DOJ, such as Mark Kenney, Roberta DeAngelis, and the former DOJ Douglas Kelley who can make any perverse deal in Minnesota occur that he so desires.

Reportedly former US Attorney General John Ashcroft is upon the open record to the Hague Global Forum on Corruption condemning corrupt federal judges colluding with high ranking members of the UST's office.

Similarly former US Attorney Debra Yang was the head of the President's Corporate Fraud Task Force to handle cases like Enron and WorldCom through the Los Angeles United States Attorney's office.

Then John Ashcroft and Debra Yang get a \$50 million No BID DPA contract handed to them by Chris Christie.

Haas v Romney "2nd Amended Complaint" - January 30, 2014 - Page 90

1

All of a sudden, all remarks of John Ashcroft about 1 2 the issues vanishes from the web - nearly entirely. As a matter of fact, Romney's stalwarts are no so 4 confident that they claim Ashcroft never made remarks 5 6 about the issues at all. As if that point of contention 7 is a good thing to lecture about! 8 9 Meanwhile, as one might by now guess, things come 10 full circle. 11 Romney = Bain = Clear Channel Communications = Red 12 13 McCombs who is also the head of Blackwater. Where none 14 other than John Ashcroft is now employed. 15 16 Outside of the obvious issue that this plaintiff is 17 trying to bring down one of the most important persons 18 in the country who coincidently can have conversations 19 20 with mercenaries. There's other "issues" apropos too! 21 Colm Connolly was an MNAT partner who did become 22 23 the Delaware United States Attorney on August 2, 2001, 24 when Romney claims to have become retroactive. Beyond 25 issues of J. Lackner there's questions on how the heck 26 27 the RICO is so powerful that it arranged for justices 28 to be promoted off the cases to higher courts.

Haas v Romney "2nd Amended Complaint" - January 30, 2014 - Page 91

POTUS wannabe Mitt Romney is a person of national prominence who is the son of George Romney (the real foreign born person who ran against former President Richard Nixon in a quest for George to become the President of the United States).

Akin to his father George becoming the Governor of Michigan - Mitt became the Governor of Massachusetts.

Mitt also followed his father's ways as a purported successful businessman. George was President/ Chairman of American Motors and also became the Secretary of Housing and Urban Development who introduced America to the first government 1970 program of mortgage backed securities.

Romney is the CEO and reported 100% owner/ founder of Bain Capital. There's no proof before the country that Romney has ever given up ownership of Bain.

Purportedly, Romney firstly funded Bain Capital by Salvadoran émigré purportedly linked to "death squads". Romney Owns Stage Stores by Mike Milken Fraud Seed Monies

While the banter about the origins of initial funds for Bain Capital may need additional discovery, for the

1

sake of clarity apropos. There remains little debate about where Romney obtained his monies to fund Stage Stores mergers.

Whereas funding for Stage Stores arose from junk bond fraudster Michael Milken; but remained intact due to surreptitious judicial vice.

Stage Stores funding was permitted to remain in place, even though the justice presiding over the Milken case had a wife who was Chairman of Palais Royale retail stores that was acquired by Stage Stores.

Kay Bee Toys Case Fraud

Michael Glazer, while still at Stage Stores paid himself \$18 million and Bain \$83 million; before Glazer filed for the initial bankruptcy of Kay Bee Toys.

It is also a fact that the eToys and Kay Bee have been in bankruptcy multiple times.

But they always wound back up under Bain Capital; this time as sub holdings of the Toys R Us entity.

Romney, Traub, Barry Gold and Glazer - All Worked Together At Stage Stores

As reported by the Securities Exchange Commission ("SEC") Romney owned 800,000 (+) shores of Stage Stores

back in 2000/2001. At that time Jack Bush and Michael 1 2 Glazer were co-director's at Stage Stores. Defendant Barry Gold was Stage Stores director's assistant who hired the TBF law firm of 655 Third Ave., 6 New York, N.Y. 7 Susan Balaschak, a TBF partner, resided in Houston, Texas where Stage Stores corporate offices are. MNAT Handled Romney's Merger of The Learning Company with Mattel In 1999, Romney and associated parties owned the entity The Learning Company/TLCo. Defendant Morris Nichols Arsht & Tunnell ("MNAT") was the firm who merged TLCo with Mattel in 1999. Reportedly, Mattel investors lost \$3 Billion as a result of one of the worst corporate mergers ever. There's no reported federal investigation of whom scammed who by the Mattel/TLCo merger. The bleeding in the millions was so profuse that Mattel gave away TLCo for free to Gores Technology Group. Goldman Sachs is IPO Agent for eToys Goldman Sachs is represented by the MNAT law firm in Delaware. Also in 1999, Goldman Sachs took eToys

Haas v Romney "2nd Amended Complaint" - January 30, 2014 - Page 94

public. Bain Capital/Romney issues are also represented by MNAT in Delaware.

Goldman Sachs took eToys through its initial public offering ("IPO") where the stock soared to \$85. But, eToys only received less than \$20 in a classic pump-ndump/Spinning securities fraud deal.

In less than two (2) years, doing hundreds of millions in annual sales, MNAT still filed bankruptcy of eToys on March 7, 2001 (DE Bankr. 01-706).

MNAT Confessed Concealing Goldman Sachs Conflict

In 2004, litigant found *Smoking Gun* proof that MNAT had failed to disclose the Goldman Sachs conflict. MNAT confessed this lie in early 2005; but the MNAT

didn't even bother to state the amount MNAT would pay!

law firm was given a slap on wrist fine as the DE BK Ct

Romney/Bain/Glazer/Kay Bee Set Out to Acquired eToys

With cash flows running better for Romney and Bain Capital ("Bain") in 2000, once the bankruptcy of Stage Stores was filed; Bain turned its sights upon more conquests and set out and acquired Kay Bee.

1

1

In mid-2000, Kay Bee Toys was acquired from the Consolidated Company that owned Big Lots, by a Bain down payment and promise to pay later (a pattern of the RICO). Mr. Glazer was the CEO of Kay Bee at the time. Here's a neat little tidbit. Big Lots was duped by the RICO also; as MNAT was actually hired by Big Lots to get back the monies from Bain/Kay Bee.

I kid you not!

As cheap as possible, Bain (with CEO Romney) via Kay Bee (with CEO Glazer) then seeks to buy eToys.com which is top dog in online toy industry retail sales.

MNAT lies/conceals to this very day, about its connections to Mattel, TLCo, Romney, Bain and possibly even eToys - in order to become and remain the DE BK Ct approved counsel for eToys.

Traub's TBF Confessed Concealing Barry Gold Conflict of Interest

Paul Traub's firm of Traub Bonacquist & Fox ("TBF") lied about links to Goldman Sachs, Glazer/Romney/Bain, Merrill Lynch, Foothill/ Wells Fargo and Barry Gold, in order to become the DE BK Ct approved counsel for the eToys "Official Committee of Unsecured Creditors".

In 2005, Traub's TBF confessed failing to disclose the conflict of interest issues of Barry Gold.

This was due to the fact that plaintiff ferreted out Affidavits in the *Bonus Stores* bankruptcy case that stipulates on the vanity stationary "Barry Gold and Paul Traub" are co-principals of Assets Disposition Advisors ("ADA").

Research of ADA reveals it is a Delaware entity formed in April 2001; a month before Barry Gold was reportedly inserted inside eToys as a post-bankruptcy petition President/CEO of eToys.

Once MNAT was an attorney on the eToys Debtor's side and Traub's TBF was a counsel on eToys Unsecured Creditors side and Barry Gold was now in place to totally help seize the entire eToys public company and bankruptcy estate as their own piggy bank; then the racketeers set out to make sure plaintiff and his CLI entity were destroyed.

Now if it seems that this is all redundant and - in fact the reviewer "gets it" - then shouldn't there be a summary judgment and/or directed verdict?

Haas v Romney "2nd Amended Complaint" - January 30, 2014 - Page 97

1

2

1	One MNAT Pathway to Becoming Romney/Bain Capital Counsel
2 3	Summa Corporation was formed as the holding arm for
3 4	Howard Hughes assets.
5	MNAT has posted upon its MNAT.com website, the fact
6 7	that the firm represented Howard Hughes aircraft from
8	1960 to 1980.
9	Franklin William ("Bill") Gay ran Summa Corp.
10 11	Bill Gay's brother-in-law is the purported dope
12	physician of Howard Hughes.
13 14	Bob Gay is Bill Gay's son.
15	It is a well-kept secret that MNAT switched sides
16	of the fence upon the demise of Howard Hughes and then
17 18	represented the Mormon Church's claim on the estate and
19	will of Howard Hughes.
20 21	Bob Gay was a managing director of Bain Capital for
22	Mitt Romney since inception, until 2005. Once plaintiff
23	had detailed the crimes, Bob Gay resigned and went to
24 25	Romney's competitor - the Huntsman Gay entity.
26	Colm Connolly was an MNAT Partner Who Became a Corrupt U.S. Attorney
27 28	Prior to 1999, Colm Connolly was the Assistant
	United States Attorney in Delaware; who had previously

clerked for Third Circuit Senior Justice Walter K. Stapleton.

Previously, Justice Stapleton was a MNAT partner.

In 1999, Colm Connolly became a partner of the MNAT law firm and remained there until August 2001.

Greg Werkheiser is also a partner of MNAT, who did clerk for Third Circuit Judge Jane R Roth.

Judge Kent A Jordan, who was on the eToys case and actually warned MNAT, Traub and Barry Gold that they were in peril during an October 16, 2006 telephonic hearing. Then a whirlwind of events transpired.

District Court Judge Kent A Jordan also stated he would give eToys shareholder Robert Alber more time to write additional papers on the fraud.

Approximately 3 weeks later, Justice Kent A Jordan was promoted to fill Justice Jane R Roth's vacancy.

The Third Circuit subsequently ruled in case 07-2360, that the Federal Rules of Appellate Procedure don't apply to the eToys "bankruptcy" case.

Appealed were issues of the fact that MNAT, TBF of Traub's and Barry Gold confessed lying under oath, more

Haas v Romney " 2^{nd} Amended Complaint" - January 30, 2014 - Page 99

1	than thirty-three (33) times by false Bankruptcy Rule
2 3	2014/2016 Affidavits to the DE BK Ct; but the "Deal"aware
4	realm of federal justice says that's no big deal.
5	Conflict of interest crimes are paramount!
6 7	As per Bankruptcy Code 327(a), any failure by an
8	attorney at law, who is approved by the court, who did
9 10	<pre>not disclose a "conflict of interest" ("Conflict");</pre>
11	must be disqualified from the case!
12	Ubiquitously adopted throughout the Circuits, is
13 14	the case of <u>In re Middleton Arms</u> that is affirmed by
15	the U.S. Supreme Courts and acknowledged by the 9th
16 17	Circuit, (recently) in its Anwar decision; which
18	certified the case of In re Middleton Arms L.P. 934
19 20	F.2d 723, 725 (6th Cir. 1991) "bankruptcy courts cannot use
21	equitable principles to disregard clear and unambiguous statutory language".
22	Enigmatically, the DE BK Ct and the Department of
23 24	Justice ("DOJ") in Delaware has refused to seek the
25	disqualification and/ or investigation/prosecution of
26 27	Barry Gold, MNAT and Traub's TBF firm (plus Traub's
28	local counsel Rosner).

This is, in part, due to the fact that US Attorney 1 Colm Connolly, for his entire seven (7) years as chief 3 federal prosecutor, declined to investigate and/or 4 arrest his former partners at MNAT and its clients. Public Corruption Task Force is Shut Down & Prosecutors Are Threatened 7 Can this subject be mentioned to often? Plaintiff 8 didn't learn of Connolly's betrayal of the Public's trust until 2007. Litigant then informed the Public Corruption Task Force in Los Angeles of corruption with a clocked/time stamped 18 U.S.C. \$ 3057(a) Complaint. Subsequently, in March 2008, the Public Corruption Task Force was **Shut Down** and **prosecutors threatened**. The only

media outlet to report this story was the Los Angeles Times "Shake-up roils federal prosecutors".

Senator Feinstein sent an Official Letter to then Acting U.S. Attorney General Mukasey; after the DOJ and US Attorney gave the media a report that there were no public corruption cases to prosecute and the dismantle of the unit was to make the DOJ more efficient. Doing so when THE largest corruption case was on their desk!

28

2

5

6

9

No response by the DOJ to Senator Feinstein has ever been publicized.

Colm Connolly's issue alone, is deserving of its own, separate, full-fledged federal investigation.

Just how many crimes and cover ups is enough to get arrests? Or when is there TOO MUCH - that No Arrest BE!

XI ISSUES OF MAYHEM AND HOMICIDES

Referring back to the Lackner brother debacle that is discussed above. In June of 2012, the Fed Receiver (Douglas Kelley) of the Tom Petters Ponzi did state that Paul Traub possessed considerable control of Tom Petters Ponzi; and that Traub promoted Tom Petters as a skilled business man.

Though Federal Receiver Douglas Kelley points out the fact that Traub know and or willingly ignored the frauds going on to get paid (at least) millions of dollars in fees; Traub still hasn't been arrested. Douglas Kelley also details the fact Paul Traub and his Traub Bonacquist & Fox, LLP firm was a New York based law firm specializing in bankruptcies and issues of business reorganizations.

Doug Kelley doesn't mention this plaintiff by his name; but the Federal Receiver just states that "There [NY] Traub represented creditors in the eToys.com bankruptcy".

In 2005, his [Traub's] representation came under scrutiny when the U.S. Trustee and another party accused his law firm of a conflict of interest, nondisclosure of certain business relationships, and other misconduct. Then Fed Receiver Kelley points out how the DE BK Ct stated that - in the future, the failures to disclose "the serious conflicts" [of interest] present in Traub's [eToys] case - would lead to more sanctions.

Federal Receiver Douglas Kelley then also mentions more of eToys, how Traub became a partner and co-chair of Marc Dreier's firm of Dreier LLP. Continuing with details that Marc Dreier also turned into a fraudster after becoming a partner with Paul Traub.

Tom Petters Receiver then details the fact that Traub and Barry Gold were partners in Asset Disposition Advisors ("ADA"). Then Mr. Kelley details the fact that Traub ramped up his dealings with Petters in May 2005.

1

What is coincidental about that particular time is the fact that Traub's TBF was purportedly being brought to justice by the February 15, 2005 Disgorge Motion that was then speciously made moot by the UST Stipulate to Settle of February 24, 2005. When plaintiff dug for the reason the Stipulation to Settle went on the record stating the UST "would not seek to compel TBF to make any additional disclosures", then the Kay Bee case \$100 Million in fraud was discovered and reported by this plaintiff.

Instead of Traub being arrested and the crimes being brought to a halt, UST Mark Kenney did the RICO's job and asked that the DE BK Ct strike & expunge the evidence of this plaintiff. One item stricken was the affidavit of the eToys Creditors Chairman testimony that Traub lied and deceived his client (Creditors).

Along with Federal Receiver Douglas Kelley's notes of the eToys case (*In re eToys, Inc., 331 {Bkrtcy. D. Del. 2005}) of the DE BK Ct warning Paul Traub would be in trouble for failure to disclose "serious" conflicts issues; a new contract was drawn in May 2005.

Speciously, this is after RICO Defendant Traub has been protected by the DOJ's UST's office in Delaware; and DOJ Deputy Director, Lawrence Friedman of EOUST, did resign at the end of April 2005.

However (possibly to retaliate against plaintiff for attending the Minnesota hearing of Douglas Kelley "expunging" the MVRA and this litigant telling Federal Receiver Douglas Kelley, outside the Minnesota Court that plaintiff was going to kick Doug Kelley's arse for doing the 'Second Fraud') - Federal Receiver Douglas Kelley fails to mention the fact that Paul Traub and Tom Petters were partners since 1999 ("PT Partners"); and that Fingerhut was never seized by Fed Receiver Doug Kelley.

Plaintiff was informed by two parties of Paul Traub flying into Minnesota just prior to the FBI raid of the Petters Ponzi and re-arrange of Fingerhut ownerships as a result of \$50 million cash infusion by Goldman Sachs and Bain Capital. The parties doing the informing was Marty Lackner's contingency and one other; but Marty became a suicide and the other person left the country!

1

2

Why the other person ran to another nation, is the fact that Marty Lackner's death was not going to be reported by the main stream media; and Marty Lackner's brother "J. Lackner" was the Minnesota Assistant U.S. Attorney (former head of the Criminal Division). Where does on go when high ranking members of the DOJ are involved & the person's brother winds up dead? Johann Hamerski cajoled eToys equity holder Robert Alber to give him ½ of his stock via a surreptitious land deal trade in Kingman, Arizona. Almost from inception of their meet Johann Hamerski 15 was a RICO henchmen out to destroy Robert Alber. MNAT has sneakily being kept informed of litigation by Johann Hamerski against Robert Alber (where Alber found a stapled memo/letter from MNAT on the inside of Alber v Hamerski Arizona State Court case folder). 23 Clear and convincing of Johann Hamerski having ulterior motivations, Johann traded the land to Alber for eToys stock; but Hamerski never went into the DE BK Ct proceedings to press his rights of recompense. 28

Haas v Romney "2nd Amended Complaint" - January 30, 2014 - Page 106

5

3 4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

25

26

27

2

Hamerski Boasted of Jack Abramoff Partnership and Threatened Alber

Johann Hamerski boasted about his connections to Jack Abramoff long before Jack was convicted & jailed.

Congressional archives detail the fact that Jack Abramoff sought to improperly seize the Region 3 U.S. Trustee's office for his own benefit; by handpicking a person to guarantee Abramoff's law firm billings.

Robert Alber was offered a bribe by Johann Hamerski and turned it down. Johann had reportedly told Alber that "people like you who turn down bribes wake up dead".

When Jack Abramoff was released early from prison in 2010, Johann Hamerski ramped up his assaults once again upon Robert Alber; and then Alber was physically attacked by career criminal Michael Sesseyoff.

Robert Alber, in self-defense, had to shoot and kill Michael Sesseyoff in July 2010.

As if it is not enough that nervous breakdowns and brain surgery occurred, Johann Hamerski *purportedly* has kept in constant contact bugging Arizona officials to get Robert Alber incarcerated.

Unfortunately, the scheme to destroy eToys equity holder Robert Alber has succeeded. Robert has all but given up and remains bed ridden 85% of the day.

One of the main reasons for Robert Alber's state of being is the fact of betrayal of trust. Gary Ramsey was an actual co-owner of a Kingman, Arizona home together with Alber; but turned out to be a scheming cohort.

Alber's January 18, 2007 brief for the Delaware District Court wound up being time-stamped on the 19th; because Gary Ramsey said he couldn't find the place to send out that response (though Ramsey had lived there for many years).

When Jack Abramoff was released early from Prison, Gary Ramsey, mysteriously walked out from the Kingman, Arizona house he co-owned with Robert Alber and simply vanished into thin air (destroying Ramsey's credit rating).

Then Alber was assaulted by Michael Sesseyoff! John "Jack" Wheeler Homicide is Enigmatic

On New Years Eve 2010, John "Jack" Wheeler was found dead in a Delaware dump due to blunt force trauma.

Haas v Romney "2nd Amended Complaint" - January 30, 2014 - Page 108

Harry A., a well-respected Delmarva business man 1 2 and lifelong friend of plaintiff (who also died prior to New 3 Years Eve 2010) was working to solve the crimes of Romney 4 5 and Colm Connolly. 6 Meetings were to be (hopefully) arranged with the 2 7 prominent "Jack"s in Delaware; before Harry A's demise. 8 9 But they never occurred and we will never know what 10 proofs Wheeler discovered (Jack's house was ransacked). 11 12 Video evidence documents the fact that Jack Wheeler 13 walked into the Nemours Building in Wilmington, DE -14 15 before he was found dead. 16 Coincidently, Colm Connolly and the DE DOJ's US 17 Attorney's office is housed in the Nemours Building. 18 19 After plaintiff put out a blog seeking answers to 20 the untimely demise of Jack Wheeler, Colm Connolly then 21 22 comes out as the Wheeler's family counsel offering a 23 reward for the information to go to Colm Connolly. 24 Though Connolly no longer holds any official public 25 26 office, he acted as spokesperson for local authorities, 27 as Connolly stated that "we believe the killer has left the state". 28

If plaintiff had - (*instead*) - informed investigators that Capone arranged for Nitti to become prosecutor and that Nitti then corruptively buried all investigations and/or arrests of Capone / "Bankruptcy Ring" gang; where would the authorities first look for the murderer of Jack Wheeler?

Although we may never know who made it occur, the facts simply glare too much to cavalierly be ignored. Plaintiff was emailed threats by his own counsel, in the fall of 2004 - that if he didn't "back off" from his pursuits, plaintiff/CLI wouldn't be compensated in eToys, litigant's career would be destroyed; and much worse would transpire.

Litigant then obtained another counsel (Michael Weiss) instead of Henry Heiman.

In a short period of time, Michael Weiss began to act strangely and changed the initial contingency style retainer agreement, demanding a cash payment post haste. When litigant called Michael Weiss to meet him at his law firm and provide the monies requested - Mr.

Haas v Romney "2nd Amended Complaint" - January 30, 2014 - Page 110

1

Weiss speciously had security prevent this plaintiff from entering the premises and giving the cash payment.

Michael Weiss put in his motion to withdraw as a scheme was possibly initiated by Larry Reynolds (who had sat a few feet away from litigant during the eToys affairs); and was in part assisted by Gary Wetter.

In a life changing ordeal, on October 31, 2004 (plaintiff's birthday), his daughter was abducted.

Plaintiff was then warned, "People who chase ghosts - usually become one".

Though this pursuer of justice did see the return of his daughter (after having to go through ordeals such as waiting at a Las Vegas hospital - to identify a matching person deceased); since then said child has never fully recovered.

Due to the kidnapping, plaintiff has stayed away from family and friends as much as possible; and has never seen, nor held, his many grandchildren since. The existence of crimes and facts are the truth and presence of Crimes and Facts. Mountains of compounding evidences piling on one another - <u>still with no arrest</u>!

1

2

Nationally known names should not deter justice from doing the correct pursuit and halting of things!

What is most important here is the lack of any federal authority who is willing to tackle the RICO!

XII STATUTE OF LIMITATIONS ESCAPES SHOULD'T APPLY TO THIS RICO

Regardless of how long ago such issues as the TLCo deal transpired. Or, whether or not, any of the mayhem and/or homicides issues result in a conviction of the "associated" parties. The Racketeers should not be allowed to escape the (purported) long arm of the law, due to any Statute of Limitations ("SOL").

First of all the Kay Bee and eToys bankruptcy cases are still open. The continuity of the crimes and the federal venality remains an enigma to this very day.

Heretofore the racketeers had too much to hide and hand perpetrated too many crimes to risk losing the controlling venue of Delaware.

Therefore the RICO Defendants simply made sure the original Kay Bee and eToys cases to remain open (hence controlling the outcome via their *corrupt* RICO venue).

Haas v Romney "2nd Amended Complaint" - January 30, 2014 - Page 112

However, all this furtive logic was based upon the haughtier belief Mitt Romney was going to be the next President of the United States and handpick his own "friendly" U.S. Attorney General parties were reported to be paying vast tens of millions of dollars to gain the benefit of.

But Romney Didn't Make it!

Immediately after Mitt Romney's POTUS election loss - his biggest supporter (Sheldon Adelson) - reportedly plead guilty to ("FCPA") Foreign Corrupt Practices Act violations.

Many of the Defendants are all guilty of breaking the law in massive fashion as much (probably more) than Mr. Adelson; and Traub/TBF, MNAT and Barry Gold have already confessed, in part, to their crimes.

But the RICO parties desire (and need make sure) that Stage Stores, Kay Bee and eToys cases boundless acts of lies, fraud and obvious issues of federal corruption remained swept under the rug.

That benefit to the Defendants is both profusely implied; and - in many instances - often expressed.

Defendants are obvious "culpable" parties who have "corrupted" legitimate interstate commerce efforts by "patterns" of "racketeering" over a protracted period of time.

Additionally, the Defendants have enjoyed benefits of their crimes success due - in part - to destruction totally, of this plaintiff's career and business.

Even after Romney losing the election, MNAT, TBF and Barry Gold demonstrate the continued strength and power and undue influence of the RICO. Showing no signs or cares about being held accountable for culpability.

In December 2012, Romney's stalwarts of Werkheiser, Barry Gold and Frederick Rosner (Traub's TBF local counsel in Delaware) once again continued to lie to the DE BK Ct by stating there were no issues to look at.

The contention that there's nothing else to address - is a bold face lie!

Whereas, the DE BK Ct in its opinion of October 4, 2005, stipulated at the bottom of page 51 and start of page 52 that; "in the future, however, the failure of an officer of a debtor to disclose such relationships will subject that officer to review". But who is to take the DE BK Ct authority at its word about anything?

It's a fact that DE BK Ct read the UST Disgorge Motion that testifies the parties were forewarned not to do crimes that they went ahead and did anyway - secretly. Additionally, this very serious violation of the law was made extensively heinous and egregious as there is also a confession by Traub's TBF firm that it KNEW of the fact that it could get caught from the Bonus Stores affidavit of ADA. And yet, TBF admitted in its January 25, 2005 Response that the firm still made a conscious decision to continue to allow the lie to the DE BK Ct - to remain in place - <u>thus perpetrating a</u> continuous Fraud on the Court.

There's NO greater sin for an attorney at law, than that of betrayal of a client's trust; especially doing so via Perjury directly at the court itself!

Traub's TBF firm was also of Revoked status; and this fact was made a permanent part of the evidence record per the permission of the DE BK Ct, during the March 1, 2005 evidence hearing (eToys D.I. 2228).

Haas v Romney "2nd Amended Complaint" - January 30, 2014 - Page 115

During the same March 1, 2005 hearing, Paul Traub was directly examined by the DE BK Ct, on the stand, about issues of payments by TBF to Barry Gold.

Traub's admitted that TBF (the eToys DE BK Ct approved Creditors' counsel) paid Barry Gold (the subsequent eToys "Debtor" President/CEO) four (4) separate payments of \$30,000 each, from January 2001 and ceasing in May 2001. Giving clear and convincing proof, as a permanent part of the public docket record, that Barry Gold was a paid personnel of the TBF law firm.

These "payments" occurred immediately before Barry Gold was then made to become the sole 100%, totally autonomous, bankruptcy authority over eToys.

Whereas those payments to Barry Gold didn't cease upon Traub's TBF firm illicitly inserting Mr. Gold inside eToys as a post-bankruptcy petition President and CEO of the Debtor. Instead, Traub's TBF firm was relieved of burden of paying Barry Gold \$30,000. While Barry Gold's Hiring Letter details the fact that he the parties burdened eToys to pay \$40,000 at a time. It simply goes against a preponderance of the Code and Rules of Bankruptcy Law to have the Debtor and the Creditor basically be one and the same person.

But that doesn't matter in this "Deal"aware federal system of justice.

Nor does the DE BK Ct want to hear about any fraud issues from a whistleblower (because the court has to get back to the extremely important "Tweeter" workings).

Even though there are Motions after Motions by both this plaintiff and the eToys shareholder concerning the fact that Barry Gold and Paul Traub were deposed on the stand in October and November 2002; and that they did lie and deny connections to each other. The DE BK Ct (arguably the No. 1 bankruptcy court of large fee cases in the entire country) says it doesn't want to hear about it!

The DE BK Ct (a party who was - for a time - the Chief Justice) stated it must 1st grant permission to a whistleblower to inform the court that there are frauds perpetrated directly upon the court. Apparently under the absurd premise that if the RICO already stole CLI's money - plaintiff is now moot!

Even after some Defendants confessed lying under oath; the DE BK Ct holds to the premise that they are to be [FULLY] believed when they put forth a forgery stating this tell-tale party altruistically tossed out a year's pay (est. \$3.7 million) with no quid pro quo! It must be a point that the quid pro quo is a victim <u>is gifted to</u> be part of the greatest organized crime sprees.

Defendants seeking a permanent retaliation against plaintiff as a victim/ witness and whistleblower, is apparently no big deal to the DE BK Ct, as the Circuit Court said complainant is a mere truck driver/security guard disgruntled that he wasn't paid enough money.

Besides, the one and same Circuit Court has great wisdom and has stated the that the Federal Rules of Appellate Procedure do not apply to District Court bankruptcy appeals (as stated on page 7 of the Third Circuit Court's PER CURIAM Opinion of January 30, 2008 in case 07-2360). Of course, this doesn't mean anything really, as eToys shareholder Alber is "prose" and the opine of the Circuit is NOT [really] PRECEDENTIAL! Obviously they really don't want to hear about all of this; because it's all too dang ugly.

Additionally, the DE BK Ct stated in its Opinion of October 4, 2005 (eToys D.I. 2319), on page 51, that "No rule existed at that time requiring an officer of the debtor to disclose any relationship in a case".

It must be no consequence to the DE BK Ct that the RICO Defendants all arranged that Barry Gold - DID, in fact - <u>apply to the DE BK Ct to be an approved party as the</u> Confirmed PLAN Administrator.

But hey, all that doesn't really matter because Barry Gold testified in his Declaration, sworn to UNDER PENALTY OF PERJURY - that the "Debtor" and "Creditors" of eToys had "extensive" arm's length/good faith negotiations of "their" eToys PLAN.

Problem is the confirmed plan is supposed to be a *bona fide* effort of decent people negotiating what is in the best interest of their court approved clients. But the RICO Defendants really mean the <u>Plan to</u> line the pockets of themselves & their secret clients!

Haas v Romney "2nd Amended Complaint" - January 30, 2014 - Page 119

1

1

Can the DE BK Ct in its great wisdom/ jurisprudence - be bothered with any of that who planned what stuff.

The DE BK Ct must feel the Delaware District Court **PRECEDENT** case of *In re First Merchants* and the appeals decision of His Honor Farnan <u>must have expired prior to</u> <u>October 4, 2005</u>. The First Merchants case details who is a party required to apply per section **327(a)**,(see *In re First Merchants Acceptance Corp.*, 1997 WL 873551 at *2, 3 (D. Del. Dec. 15, 1997). *Evidently*, this Precedential case doesn't apply to DE BK Ct cases with Romney/ Bain/ MNAT, Glazer/ Traub and/or Barry Gold.

It doesn't matter that the crimes of the RICO Obstructing Justice continue to occur [assisting POTUS hopes] in October 2012, and to this very day; including insider dealings of massive proportions.

Nor does it matter that the Kay Bee Toys payment by Michael Glazer of \$18 million to himself and Bain of \$83 million before Glazer filed bankruptcy of Kay Bee Toys; occurred by this one and same conflicted group. MNAT represents Bain Capital in that matter; and

Traub's TBF firm actually had the unmitigated gall (while

simultaneously[purportedly] being punished for conflicts stunts in eToys) to ask the DE BK Ct over the Kay Bee initial bankruptcy case (DE Bankr. 04-10120); that TBF be the party to prosecute Glazer and Bain Capital.

Arguably, if you grant the DE BK Ct presiding over eToys, all the perverse logic in the world; it doesn't give the federal police [UST] the same outflows.

Inexplicably and intolerably, the UST entity has tossed the Law and its fiduciary duty away to protect the integrity of the judicial process; and refuses to do any good faith workings in the Kay Bee/ eToys cases.

These bad faith workings of the purported watchdogs of the UST agency, in MN, DE and D.C., isn't limited to FAO Schwartz, Kay Bee and eToys cases. Nor is it the only time such willful blindness has transpired.

In a separate, but exactly on-point case specific, a justice visiting to assist the DE BK Ct (NON TWEETER) overload of cases, did accuse the Department of Justice in Wilmington, Delaware, U.S. Trustee's office - of aiding and abetting the Tersigni fraud for over a year!

1

2

3

In the Associated Press article of October 2007, 1 2 titled "Judge: Justice Dept. Silence Aided Fraud" Her Honor Judith 3 Fitzgerald noted that vast dollars was vanishing over 4 5 more than a year in the Tersigni saga; and that the 6 DOJ's UST's office failed to inform her about it. 7 Her Honor stated: "there was a fraud on this court, and the 8 9 Department of Justice participated". 10 This was when check/balance of the issues was that 11 12 of the GC of the EOUST investigating (by trusted public 13 servant and expert on the matters - Roberta DeAngelis). 14 15 Justice Fitzgerald stipulated that "the Justice Dept. is 16 bound by ethical rules that require attorneys who suspect fraud in the court 17 18 proceedings, to call it to the attention of the presiding justice". 19 Specifically, 18 U.S.C. & 3057(a) commands judges to 20 21 report crimes of trustees and/or justices; and the UST 22 is likewise commanded by 28 U.S.C. & 586(a)(3)(F). 23 24 Her Honor concluded apropos that "What on earth is going 25 on in the Department of Justice"? And that same question applies 26 27 to the instant cases hereof. 28

Traub's TBF firm and MNAT (as well as Xroads LLC) were instructed against playing games with executives.

Specifically, in the eToys Disgorge Motion, D.I. 2195, of February 15, 2005, Assistant US Trustee Frank Perch stipulated (in parts 19 and reiterated in closing in part 35) that;

"In the context of TBF's experience, the multiple connections between TBF and Gold, and the facts surrounding Gold's employment, TBF's failure to disclose any of its three distinct connections with Gold is difficult to understand as inadvertent rather than deliberate. TBF's partners are experienced bankruptcy practitioners who have filed retention applications in a number of cases in Delaware and other judicial districts. They are not strangers to the court or the retention process, nor are the strangers to the comprehensive and ongoing relationships analysis that any professional must perform when it seeks to be employed by a trustee or official committee in a bankruptcy case".

Disgorge Motion Part 19 continues by accusation that: "More significantly, TBF was specially aware in this matter, from discussions with the Office of the United States Trustee, of the UST's concern about replacing corporate officers with individuals related to any of the retained professionals in the case".

Then the UST's Disgorge Motion cites the fact that Traub's TBF Objection of January 25, 2005, at part 10, confessed this forewarning fact.

Without being cognizant of the one-hundred (100) plus additional felony violations now known, the UST Disgorge Motion also stipulated that;

"Finally, Gold's employment by the Debtor was not something that just happened without TBF's involvement and caught them by surprise; rather, TBF on behalf of the Committee recommended Gold to Debtor". Then, in part 35 of the Disgorge Motion, the one decent public servant [Perch] (again - purportedly without the evidences of the 100 other statutory violations) concluded that Traub's TBF actions were a perpetration of Fraud on the Court.

As is established in the Region 3 UST's successful efforts in the Third Circuit case of <u>U.S. Trustee v</u> <u>Price Waterhouse</u> (3rd Cir. 93-3337) 19 F.3d 138 (1994) that did certify the ubiquitous consensus of the Circuits (affirmed by the U.S. Supreme Court) of the

Haas v Romney " 2^{nd} Amended Complaint" - January 30, 2014 - Page 124

In the Price Waterhouse case the UST successfully sought to disqualify parties that obviously weren't true "Disinterested Persons".

MNAT, Traub & Barry Gold have (secret) interests all over the place. Especially in the Kay Bee and eToys (as well as Goldman Sachs NY Supreme Court case, Petters Ponzi Fingerhut and more).

Ironically, as was recently successfully by the UST in the DE BK Ct case of *In re Revstone Industries, LLC*, *et al.*, (DE Bankr. 12-13262); the Region 3 UST (Roberta DeAngelis) argued the long ago established case of *In re First Merchants Acceptance Corp.*, 1997 WL 873551 at *2, 3 (D. Del. Dec. 15, 1997). Whereas His Honor Dist. Court Justice C.J. Farnan did establish the "*qualitative*" and "*quantitative*" tests for evaluating whether an entity/person is a "Professional" under 11 U.S.C. \$ 327(a). Maybe it is a hopscotch thingy. Whereas Precedents apply to cases of the DE BK Ct's choosing; but then don't apply to another by a Price is Right gig!

It is also noteworthy that Region 3 UST Roberta DeAngelis argued in the **Revstone** case about the issues heretofore discussed. Ms. DeAngelis actually cited the germane case of *In re Arkansas Co., Inc.,* 798 F.2d at 650.

That's the one and same case of *In re Arkansas* that establishes the fact the Third Circuit and Congress are well aware of *"Bankruptcy Rings"* of attorneys seizing federal estates for themselves - nefariously.

As iterated in the UST's eToys Disgorge Motion, in part 29, referencing of *In re Hazel Atlas Glass v Hartford Empire Co.*, 322 U.S. 238, 64 S.Ct. 997 (1994), it does state poignantly that;

<u>``[T] ampering with the administration of justice in the manner</u>
<u>indisputably show here</u> [counsel fraudulently created evidence and
introduced it at trial] involves far more than an injury to a single litigant. It
is a wrong against the institutions set up to protect and safeguard the
public. Institutions in which fraud cannot complacently be tolerated

Haas v Romney "2nd Amended Complaint" - January 30, 2014 - Page 126

consistently with the good order of society. <u>Surely it cannot be that the</u> <u>preservation of the integrity of the judicial process must always await upon</u> <u>the diligence of litigants</u>. The public welfare demands that the agencies of public justice be not so <u>impotent</u> that they <u>must always be mute</u> and <u>helpless victims of deception and fraud</u>". (emphasis added) But that is what is transpiring here. Not only are the DE BK Ct and other Delaware Valley federal courts awaiting upon (some super diligence) of litigants; the same courts are persistently preventing plaintiffs from being "granted permission" to act diligently.

The integrity of the judicial process is moot as the DE BK CT becomes impotent around the RICO!

Defendants will likely seek to have this case be expunged due to claims of SOL; because they can't have all these crimes be addressed openly. They are guilty as sin. They can't even answer this Complaint!

But, the fact still remains crimes are transpiring! Many violations, on multiple counts, multiple times, in multiple venues occurred (each and every appeal in the DE District Court, Third Circuit Court in Pennsylvania and NY Supreme court). Including vast conspiracies of Grand Larceny, Bribery, Collusion, False Oaths/Declarations, Adverse Interests of Officers, Intimidation of Victim/Witness, Color of Law Civil Rights Violates, Corruption, Mail/Wire Frauds, Obstruction, Retaliation and Schemes to Fix Fees in violation of 18 U.S.C. \$155 Fee Fixing.

All of these crimes are violations that are a part of the RICO "predicate act" statutes under 18 U.S.C. \$ 1961!

Furthermore, MNAT (Werkheiser MNAT's main schemer), with Traub and Gold as Romney/Bain Capital stalwarts; did arrange to reduce prices of the goods plaintiff/ CLI sold to Bain Capital/ Kay Bee.

MNAT, Traub and Barry Gold all being connected to Bain (=Romney) that equals Kay Bee, equals Glazer = Stage Stores/ Romney and Barry Gold, Paul Traub working there at the same time that the eToys case goings on. These are issues that have never - EVER - have been addressed by the court. Thus it is a bold face lie by MNAT to the DE BK Ct that there's nothing new!

This is Collusion to Defraud a bankruptcy estate to protect conflicts of interest; and the Defendants did

Haas v Romney ``2nd Amended Complaint" - January 30, 2014 - Page 128

so by supplication of (at least) 33 (thirty-three) erroneous Bankruptcy Rule 2014/2016 oaths.

Each and every time that any Defendant informed the DE BK Ct that the eToys shareholders did not need a counsel and/or Committee status (as permitted by the Code); because they (MNAT, Traub/TBF and Barry Gold as RICO co-conspirators) claimed that they were protecting the interests of eToys shareholders. Thus there are so many more crimes was being perpetrated.

When, all the time, it was a plan for MNAT, Traub and Barry Gold to assure Goldman Sachs IPO fraud was successful; and the eToys public company destroyed.

An issue made morose (as the *Disgorge Motion* points out) because Barry Gold is (in essence) also an officer of the public company of eToys (the stock is trading today on off-price sheets for \$.02 per share).

Hence, Barry Gold had a fiduciary duty to the eToys public company to make it whole; but the Defendants nixed any good faith bids for the eToys.com entity that had spent an estimated \$80 million in developing.

1

MNAT, Traub and Barry Gold currently working the 1 2 bankruptcy cases, sat in abject silence to protect the 3 RICO. They should be void from the case "ab initio"; 4 because they are clearly bad faith parties who can 5 6 never be trusted to protect their client's interests. 7 Of the original eToys case, the DE BK Ct approved 8 9 CLI contracts that guaranteed legal fees. 10 Why is it that both CLI contracts INDEMNIFY CLI and 11 its officers, assigns, etc., from willful misconduct/negligence 12 13 (absence of the term "gross" is an actual CLI contract 14 detail); with the fact that the opposing parties have 15 16 already confessed to lying under oath 33 times. 17 And, yet, not one counsel representing CLI – addressed these issues! 18 19 You can't blame all subsequent attorneys at law for 20 saying no; because it is evident the law doesn't apply! 21 22 This complainant always had counsel from the eToys 23 case inception. The DE BK Ct 2 CLI Retention Orders did 24 command that CLI was to submit its paperwork for pay 25 26 processing - "with the assistance of debtor's counsel" [MNAT]; which 27 is arguably an obvious scheme form the outset. 28

24

25

26

27

28

1

2

It wasn't until after MNAT, Traub and Barry Gold confessions were made an official part of the evidence record during the March 1, 2005 evidence hearing; where CLI counsel Brad Brook of Santa Monica, CA did then withdraw and abandon plaintiff/CLI.

Doing so after Brad Brook made the common sense remark, in rescheduling CLI's February 4, 2005 "Claims" hearing for payment processing. Where Brad Brook said the DE BK Ct isn't going to let those who confessed lying under oath and doing intentional fraud - to be able to write any more checks.

Brad Brook and his local counsel in Delaware (the Bayard Firm) reschedule CLI's claim hearing until after the March 1, 2005 evidence hearing.

Then, with all the evidences made a permanent part of the public docket record. Including the January 25, 2005 Responses of MNAT, Barry Gold & Traub's TBF. Plus the additional admittances during the Depositions of February 9, 2005; and the testimony of the UST's in its Disgorge Motion of the forewarning. With the DE BK Ct dexamination of Traub confessing on the stand. Brad

Haas v Romney "2nd Amended Complaint" - January 30, 2014 - Page 131

Brook then decided (after purportedly making a trip off shore to discuss the settlement of the case) that it would simply be easier to abandon his client (plaintiff/CLI).

Though we could probably never compel Brad Brook to divulge what he said in Aruba and/or Bermuda. A new and different factual tidbit did arise - that's telltale.

Barry Gold worked with Bain issues and its cohort firm Back Bay Capital.

In the Kay Bee initial bankruptcy case (04-10120) that MNAT represents Bain of the \$83 million and Paul Traub asked to be the one to prosecute Bain \$83 million and Glazer's \$18 million preferential treatments (most likely fraudulent conveyances). The one and same case that both Traub and Barry Gold also double dipped by their ADA entity. Back Bay Capital was also involved.

But Brad Brook never disclosed this tidbit to this plaintiff. Mr. Brook actually blackmailed plaintiff that Brook wouldn't return litigant's computer or files unless a signature was placed upon a waiver of conflict of interest issues (that didn't reveal Back Bay).

Haas v Romney "2nd Amended Complaint" - January 30, 2014 - Page 132

MNAT's failure to disclose links to Goldman Sachs when eToys had major litigations issues against same; was the defining moment of "*corrupting*" legitimate interstate commerce of MNAT and eToys both.

Goldman Sachs and its counsel of Sullivan and Cromwell were made well aware of the shenanigans.

As a matter of fact, Sullivan and Cromwell made obvious efforts to assure the NY Supreme Court case of eToys (ebc1) v Goldman Sachs would fail.

Though the litigation involved serious issues that could set precedents devastating to Goldman Sachs, on items of Securities, IPO fiduciary duties; Sullivan and Cromwell chose to utilize an environmental attorney named Jeremy Bates.

Unfortunately for Goldman Sachs and their law firms (where we should always be cognizant of the fact that MNAT furtively nominated Traub to prosecute Goldman Sachs); Jeremy Bates of Sullivan and Cromwell (*initially*) turned out to be a real go getter and went after the issues of Paul Traub and Barry Gold diligently, for the client's sake.

6 Jeremy Bates of his job; and then something really 7 strange happened. 8 9 Paul Traub was well aware that the plot was to make 10 sure that Goldman Sachs never really suffered any loss; 11 so he arranged for co-counsels of the Pomerantz firm 12 13 and Wachtel & Masyr. 14 Mr. Elman was the counsel most active with the 15 16 placing of items in the NY Supreme Court case of eToys 17 v Goldman Sachs (# 601805/2002). 18 Now Howard Elman formed a new firm and Jeremy Bates 19 20 is Mr. Elman's partner. 21 Two good faith Justices' who warned Traub's firm 22 23 about not being kosher, were promoted off the case, one 24 in NY Supreme Court and the other in Fed District Ct. 25 Thereafter, the entire NY Supreme Court case of 26 27 eToys (ebc1) v Goldman Sachs was placed Under SEAL! 28 Haas v Romney "2nd Amended Complaint" - January 30, 2014 - Page 134

It was Jeremey Bates (unaware of the great schemes)

Sad to say though, Sullivan and Cromwell relieved

who did ferret out the MNAT Destruction of eToys Books

1

2

3

4

5

& Record items.

Destruction of Evidence by MNAT

MNAT worried of being discovered by plaintiff; and
of Goldman Sachs link being ferreted out. So MNAT, Paul
Traub and Barry Gold devised a scheme to permanently
assure the Obstruction of justice by Destroying the Evidence!
Plaintiff was never served with the Motion by MNAT
that asked the DE BK Ct for permission to Destroy eToys
Books & Records in the case. (eToys D.I. 300).
This effort in Obstruction of Justice was readily
achieved due to the fact that neither Barry Gold, nor
Traub's TBF and/or the US Trustee bothered to object.
With the Kay Bee and eToys bankruptcy cases still
open and Romney losing the POTUS election, Defendants
now have a conundrum of how to get away with all this.
Having done hundreds of crime acts already, the
Defendants figure they may as well continue (with the
hope that the Department of Justice will be too afraid
to reveal its own [DOJ] failure to perform). Thus MNAT,
Traub and Barry Gold announced that they are settling
the eToys (ebc1) litigation with Goldman Sachs.

1

Whereas hundreds of millions of dollars in fraud and profuse acts of Perjury (many confessed) are now being tossed aside, including the scheme of MNAT's destroying of the evidences; so that Goldman Sachs can settle the unfathomable crime spree for a mere \$7 million. And, since they are doing this openly, MNAT and Barry Gold agreed to give Traub more stolen money.

Compounding all this is the Colm Connolly federal corruption issues. The UST's office in Delaware and roaming despot Roberta DeAngelis issues. Further made morose by the Marty Lackner/J. Lackner issues; and the Shut Down of the Public Corruption Task Force.

There are publicized reports out of NY Disciplinary persons that Judges chambers were actually being tapped and taped. While Judges are being promoted OFF the case (when they did effort to do some justice).

Furthermore, District Court judges promoted off the case and Magistrate judges doing what the law does not permit them to do. Such as Magistrate justice's handle of bankruptcy matters to make eToys shareholder Robert Alber disappear; and the MN justices expunging the MVRA

Haas v Romney "2nd Amended Complaint" - January 30, 2014 - Page 136

- while giving Petters attorney turned federal receiver over the Ponzi full "judicial immunity".

As is a precedent by the Hazel Atlas (Supra) case, the standard is that there's NO statute of limitations for fraud on the court, when such is perpetrated upon the court by officers approved to practice before it. And in this case, Traub admitted it was intentional. Issues of statute of limitations are put forth as a measure concerning lack of due diligence of a party. No one can legitimately state that this litigant has been remiss in his efforts to achieve justice. Furthermore, given the plethora of breaches of fiduciary duties by roque (at least we hope they are) public servants to do their job. Including the fact that the Racketeers arranged for one of their own to be the head federal prosecutor over the very jurisdiction of many of these cases. Surely justice can't be "permanently" blind and barred in such a case as this one !

Therefore, plaintiff would pray that the court dismiss any incongruous banter that those who have

Haas v Romney "2nd Amended Complaint" - January 30, 2014 - Page 137

1

assaulted the Constitution of the United States so profusely, shouldn't be allowed to snake an escape from culpability/ accountability - perversely.

In the interest of justice, no matter how inept this plaintiff is in pleading this case, the issues at hand are so consequential to the good order of society and the public's faith in the integrity of the judicial process. Whereas it simply can't be allowed that the Defendants can keep getting away totally 'Scot Free'.

Especially due to any incongruous arguments that they have gotten away with it all thus far. They're still getting away with openly doing crimes even now!

XIII COMPLIANCE WITH FED.R.CIV.P 9(b)

For a racketeering complaint to be successful in alleging Mail and/or Wire Fraud, proof must be specific and/or particular. It's required that one compliant with Fed.R.Civ.P9(b) (and plaintiff can readily do so). As both a blessing and a curse, this instant case has an evidence trail of both quality sublime and huge quantity that plaintiff can readily comply with 9(b).

1

2

Whereas, Defendants have been breaking the law openly (almost religiously) and continuously. Thus there remains an evidence trail substantial and much of it is time stamped in court records and fed archives. Whereas, many of the times that the Defendants have fractured the law with False Oaths/Declarations and/or deceived the courts and/or the parties of interests, including this plaintiff. The Defendants have done so during formal proceedings. Each mailed and/or wired and/or call, has specific dates and times of filings, responses, telephonic so on and so forth; of Defendants breaking laws [clocked]. This includes each and every time a Scheme to Fix Fees violations occurred, where Defendants Barry Gold,

MNAT (many times Greg Werkheiser thereof) and/or Paul Traub would submit erroneous bankruptcy Rule 2014/ 2016 Affidavits to the Court seeking payments.

Additionally, each and every time MNAT, Barry Gold, Traub, Werkheiser and/or their associates and/or assign parties put forth filings by mail and/or email to the various parties of interest (such as Creditors who were

1

2

3

4

5

not duplicitous like the U.S. Post Office, landlords, tax authorities, SEC, eToys shareholders and plaintiff) such was particular and/or specific time that fraud by Mail and/or Wire occurred.

Furthermore, each and every time that Defendants were a part of benefiting from, direct and/or indirect, of the ludicrous premise that plaintiff had "waived" fees, compensations, commissions and/or expenses; this was also Mail and/or Wire Fraud vis-à-vis Obstruction of Justice and/or Retaliation of Victim/Witnesses.

This also includes crimes perpetrated of fraud under oath, by mail, wire and email means documentable.

Additionally, this Complaint is armed with many confessions that are already part of federal court docket records. As such they are incontrovertible; even though such admittances also have "omissions" issues.

Like the detail that MNAT/Werkheiser have admitted to the MNAT's firm failure to disclose conflicts of interest about GECC and Goldman Sachs; but MNAT and/or other Defendants of this RICO do continue often to lie, cheat, steal and gain much unjust enrichment in the

Haas v Romney "2nd Amended Complaint" - January 30, 2014 - Page 140

failures to disclose Mattel and/or links to Bain (which also means MNAT/Werkheiser are connected to Barry Gold and/or Paul Traub - who worked with Bain/Glazer).

In similar fashion, each time Barry Gold and/or Traub and/or Traub's firms of TBF and/or Dreier LLP and /or Epstein Becker and Green and/or self-professed local counsel of Traub/TBF "Frederick Rosner" (who has traveled around to a plethora of local firms in DE, in an effort to "conflict" convolute the cases). Whereas in each and/or every one singularly and/or collectively that any Defendants did perpetrate a lie, cheat, steal or deceit (failures to disclose) was Mail/Wire Fraud.

This includes, but is not limited to, each and all separate and/or collective times that Defendant Johann Hamerski went after eToys shareholder Robert Alber in Kingman, AZ to intimidate and/or retaliated against Robert Alber in measures/methods to obstruct justice and legitimate pursuits of Robert Alber as an eToys equity holder. Including those times the Defendants objected in writing, emails and telephonic hearings to make sure no equity committees were formulated.

Haas v Romney "2nd Amended Complaint" - January 30, 2014 - Page 141

Compounding these issues are specific dates/times (all of which can readily be ferreted out during the discovery process at trial), as the Defendants continue to be [UNLAWFULLY] in their DE BK CT approved positions as "officers of the courts" of various estates. This specifically documents the when, where, who, how, why of the DE BK Ct, the California Courts (during

such cases as that of eToys and Kilroy Reality, AOL and/or Fingerhut litigations etc.,) and/or the Delaware District Federal Court during appeals and/ or the Third Circuit Court and/or the NY Supreme Court.

Whereas various RICO Defendants directly and/or indirectly benefited from continuous lies, deceits, False Oaths/Declarations and/or Affidavits, omissions, under Penalty of Perjury for the pursuits of unjust enrichment in those various courts.

Plaintiff alleges that each and every individual RICO Defendants may claim that they were unaware of the fact that Colm Connolly was a former partner of MNAT and/or that Connolly was promoted to the position of United States Attorney who concealed the fact that he

Haas v Romney "2nd Amended Complaint" - January 30, 2014 - Page 142

(thus his office as head federal prosecutor); but Colm had the ties to "targets" of a investigations federal.

Also, the RICO Defendants are all benefiting direct and/or indirect from the corruption and/or the Marc Dreier frauds and/or the Tom Petters/Paul Traub Ponzi schemes.

With the additional caveat that - though plaintiff may never find any cash benefits or such kind that did motivate the rogue federal agents of Roberta DeAngelis and/or Mark Kenney to openly Breach their Fiduciary Duty as public servants in this instant cases - the fact of the matter remains that Defendants separately and/or collectively have benefited from the failures of the UST's personnel, as police, lying under oath, and/ or engaging in willful blindness for whatever benefit. Including the possibility that discovery may need

to seek the off shore accounting in Bermuda and/or Aruba tied to the stalwarts!

However, each and every time that a federal agent and/or agency proffered a mail/email lie, it was timed!

Putting it all together above, including each and every part as stated herein again, the Defendants have benefited in illegal fashion, violating many State and/ or Federal Felony Statutes at specific/ <u>particular</u> days and times that are readily documentable through the various state and federal cases filings.

What has assisted the Racketeers to get away with their schemes and artifices to defraud in multiple decades, includes state and federal frauds assisted by autocratic parties with veiled agendas in massive billions of dollars of schemes, destruction of public and private companies, shareholders, investors, parties of interest, creditors, lenders, landlords, state and federal tax authorities and/or more.

As such, once just one (JUST 1) single, honorable public servant does their job and looks at mountains of evidences in this case (including confessions by MNAT and Traub's TBF of lying under oath more than 33 times) - then the "untenable" house of cards stacked high will come crashing down upon Defendants (who are benefiting of the throwing out plaintiff) !

Haas v Romney "2nd Amended Complaint" - January 30, 2014 - Page 144

1

Compliance with Fed.R.Civ.P 9(b) is readily done in transcendent fashion - unquestionably! XIV CIVIL RIGHT OF PLAINTIFF TO PROCEED AS PRIVATE ATTORNEY GENERAL It is held by the Supreme Court of United States ("US Sup Ct"), in Sedima, S.P.R.L. v. Imrex Co., Inc., 73 U.S. 479 (1985) that an actionable RICO injury must be caused by alleged specific "predicate acts". 9 Plaintiff alleges harms to his business as direct,

proximate results of specific violations of United States
Code ("USC") Title 18, Section 1961 (also known as {"a/k/a"}
"predicate acts" defilements).

Whereas the essence of a RICO claim is commission of *predicate acts* within the conduct of an *enterprise*. (See Sedima Id. at 497 "[a]*ny recoverable damages occurring* by reason of a violation of Section 1962(c) will flow from the commission of the predicate acts").

It's also a requisite of Law that a plaintiff needs to document *proximate* harm as a result of racketeering patterns from specifically named *predicate acts* violations, to substantiate a claim of harm under 18 USC \$ 1962(c).

Haas v Romney " 2^{nd} Amended Complaint" - January 30, 2014 - Page 145

23

24

25

26

27

Whereas plaintiff alleges, and is able to provide proof at trial that <u>his business</u> and other *interstate commerce* was/is directly harmed by Defendants who are *culpable* parties, engaging in *patterns* of *racketeering* by *enterprising* (*Bankruptcy Ring*) crimes of State and/or Federal 18 U.S.C. & 1961 (*predicate act*) felony violations.

Today, many civil RICO claims do not involve simple "*single*" entity *enterprises* such as an individual, or a "partnership" and/or "corporation."

Instead RICO claims often involve many *enterprises* consisting of an "*association in fact*" of various individuals and entities, often including some if not all of the defendants (*whose roles may vary and/or abstain*).

In 1981, in the case of United States v. Turkette, 452 U.S. 576 the United States Supreme Court concluded that the members of an "association in fact" enterprise must associate together for a common purpose of engaging in a particular "course of conduct".

In this case there's on visible enterprise that 8 Congress already named as a "*Bankruptcy Ring"*.

Whereas it's possible, also even probable, that 1 2 there are various RICO groups/factions doing separate 3 schemes and artifices to defraud; and that they come 4 together as a hub via Defendant Romney's many quests. 5 6 It is not necessary that the Defendants have any 7 previous convictions (Sedima). 8 9 Continuity exists of the possible "closed" end 10 schemes (such as Romney's POTUS quest). Arguably, there is 11 12 also evidence that there continues to be efforts to 13 obtain high politico office by someone connected to 14 Romney. Such as Romney's wife, brother and more. 15 16 Of the various "open" end plots and ploys there are 17 issues beyond this "Bankruptcy Ring" (see 3rd Circuit case 18 19 of In re Arkansas 798 F.2d 645) - like Stage Stores, 20 Kay Bee, Polaroid, FAO Schwartz, eToys and more. 21 22 There are also examples of schemes & artifices to 23 defraud interstate commerce private & public companies 24 outside of the bankruptcy court. Such as 'The Learning 25 26 Company', Stage Stores, Toys R Us, Kay Bee, Burlington 27 Coat Factory, Sports Authority - just to name a few.

Haas v Romney "2nd Amended Complaint" - January 30, 2014 - Page 147

Additionally, there's no question of - whether or not - law breaking has occurred.

Whereas Defendants MNAT, Barry Gold and Paul Traub have already confessed to a surfeit of statutory violations in eToys (though the bad faith parties cheekily claim such were single aberrant acts of behavior).

All of the above mentioned issues are compounded by the fact that the scope & breadth of Romney's RICO does include the ability to corrupt federal agents/agencies. Whereas, Defendant Colm Connolly was a partner of the MNAT law firm from 1999 to August 2001.

Reportedly, when plaintiff turned down a Bribe from Defendants of cash, advance of career; reporting such to the Department of Justice ("DOJ") in Delaware. Then Romney (claims) to have "*retroactively*" retired as CEO of Bain Capital in August 2001 - back to February 1999.

It is no minor coincidence that Defendant Romney is claiming to have resigned his Bain Capital CEO position during the organized crime spree eras of 1999 to August 27 28 2001; concurrent with Connolly's MNAT partner tenure.

Reported Reported Defendants of to the Depar Romney (clai Bain Capital It is no claiming to during the o 2001; concur

On August 2, 2001, Defendant Colm Connolly was 1 2 nominated - and did become - the United States Attorney 3 in Wilmington, Delaware; who corrupted his office. 4 For his entire seven (7) years as head federal 5 6 prosecutor in Delaware, Colm Connolly malevolently did 7 keep his direct links to "targets" of a federal inquest. 8 9 While the above mentioned concerns are, in and of 10 themselves, enough happenstance to validate plaintiff's 11 contention that there are gaps in remedy. The fact of 12 13 the matter remains that the aforementioned dynamics are 14 just the tip of the proverbial iceberg. 15 16 The statistic that these issues are "directly" related 17 to each other demonstrates this case is extraordinary. 18 19 When a plaintiff can document the fact that there 20 "Prosecutorial Gaps" assisting culpable persons, unjustly 21 22 enriching themselves, by enterprising patterns of 23 racketeering. With the additional caveat that more than 24 one felony violation occurred over a protracted period 25 26 of time (and in this instant case there are a plethora 27 of crimes). Then, irrefutably, "Prosecutorial Gaps" exists. 28

Capone would never have been allowed to get away by 1 2 a perverse license and benefit from federal corruption 3 via having possession of his very own U.S. Attorney. 4 Nor would Capone be able to utilize a Colm Connolly 5 6 type plant to assault the Constitution of the United 7 States; and then be allowed to evade prosecution under "retroactive" pretense or claim Statute of Limitations. The purpose of Civil RICO is to utilize private civil actions by citizens "to fill prosecutorial gaps" in the unusual circumstances where law breaking is occurring; but no prosecutor, state and/or federal, seems to have any desire or ability to pursue the case. This includes cases where federal authorities seek civil fines and choose to forgo criminal prosecutions. Congress did provide the RICO Act, with treble damages incentive, for case exactly like this one, With the Law being broken openly, due to corruption of the process (Sedima 473 U.S. at 493, 105 S. Ct. at 3283). The US Sup. Ct in Sedima, characterized Congress'

language as "self-consciously expansive"; accord H.J. Inc. v.

Northwestern Bell Telephone Co., 492 U.S. 229, 249, 109 S. Ct. 2893, 2905 (1989) [that Legitimate businesses] "enjoy neither an inherent incapacity for criminal activity nor immunity from its consequences. The fact that § 1964(c) is used against respected businesses allegedly engaged in a pattern of specifically identified criminal conduct is hardly a sufficient reason for assuming that the provision is being misconstrued." Sedima, 473 U.S. at 499, 105 S. Ct. at 3286. The Supreme Court has been unsympathetic to moans that civil RICO has been used against "respected and legitimate enterprises" rather than "mobsters and organized criminals." See Sedima, 473 U.S. at 499, 105 S. Ct. at 3286. "RICO is an aggressive initiative to supplement old remedies and develop new methods of fighting crime" Sedima, S.P.R.L. v. Inrex Co., Inc., 105 S. Ct. 3275, 3286 (1985) (Justice White) citing Russello v. United States, 464 U.S. 16, 26-29, 104 S. Ct. 296, 302-303 (1983). RICO organization's must have "some function wholly unrelated to the racketeering activity." Chang v. Chen, 80 F.3d 1293, 1299 (9th Cir. 1996) (citing United States v. Riccobene, 709 F.2d 214, 222-223 (3d Cir. 1983)).

In this case Defendants Goldman Sachs, Bain, Traub, 1 2 MNAT/ Werkheiser/ Colm Connolly, Barry Gold, Glazer, 3 Romney and Johann Hamerski all had legitimate function. 4 However, those same parties, for the sake of unjust 5 6 enrichment, career advancements, political gains and/or 7 other veiled agendas, did corrupt their legitimate 9 efforts via patterns of racketeering enterprisingly. 10 It has held that "Congress wanted to reach both 11 'legitimate' and 'illegitimate' enterprises. "[T]he civil 12 13 sanctions provided under RICO are dramatic .. but .. such dramatic consequences 14 are necessary incidents of the deliberately broad swath Congress chose to cut in 15 16 order to reach the evil it sought ..." Schacht v. Brown, 711 F.2d 17 1343, 1353 (7th Cir. 1983), citing U.S. v. Turkette, 18 19 452 U.S. 576, 587, 101 S. Ct. 2524, 2531 (1981). 20 Whereas plaintiff alleges and assures the court 21 22 that it can readily provide evidence Defendants are 23 benefiting from RICO organized crimes. 24 The Defendants are *culpable* persons with continuous 25 26 patterns of "Racketeering", over many years, with many 27 schemes & artifices to defraud being readily apparent. 28

Haas v Romney "2nd Amended Complaint" - January 30, 2014 - Page 152

This instant case also has "*Prosecutorial Gaps"* that are self-evident due to the betrayal of the public's trust by former MNAT partner, Defendant Colm Connolly.

Additionally, Colm Connolly issues are not the only visible hitches of "Prosecutorial Gaps"; as the federal DOJ's Public Corruption Task Force was SHUT DOWN in March 2008 - AFTER - being informed of the corruption by Colm Connolly. Furthermore, in March 2008, the Los Angeles Times reported in the story "Shake roils federal prosecutors" - that federal agents were actually threatened to keep silent of the reasons for dismantling. (Actually, this event did initiate the first time - EVER - that the FBI did call this litigant, about the issues at hand. Plaintiff still has the phone numbers (including cell #s) and dates, times and names of the FBI Special Agents). This autocratic threatening of public servants is intolerable! Unfortunately/fortunately, the wretched acts despicable and inexplicable also blesses this specific case with additional proof of the strength,

scope, depth and power of the RICO.

As affirmed per *Sedima*, when racketeering dynamics are being compounded by "*Prosecutorial Gaps"*; a citizen [plaintiff] may become a "*Private Attorney General"*.

Including the recent issues of the DE BK Ct order to expunge plaintiff permanently from pursing justice in that prior controlling realm of jurisdiction.

There's also another more recent bad faith DOJ EOUST letter delivered by email to plaintiff December 18, 2013 (coincidently the very same day that this court put forth an order while stipulating the court had read the 1st Amended Complaint and preliminary RICO Case Statement).

The UST's letter claims there's no merits to the case (though we're armed with confessions to crimes). Any average high school student can grasp the fact that there is merits to plaintiff's allegations of bad faith dealings in these cases (even without being given the "confessions" to lying under oath to a chief fed justice and admittances that such fraud on the court by the officers approved before it was deliberate).

The crimes in this case are readily apparent as the nose on one's face!

1

One would be extremely hard pressed to even imagine a more quintessential poster-child type case worthy of prosecution for conflicts of interest and racketeering. This court is burdened with excruciating decisions that it must make of getting involved in dynamics of another realm of jurisdiction. However, it is not this plaintiff's fault that the Defendants are being placed on pedestals "Above the Law" in the other (prior) venues. Such is due to the fact that the prior *controlling* venues, federal agents and federal agencies heretofore (apparently) find the Code & Rule of Law to be dispensable for federal "targets" such as the Defendants herein. Whereas, Defendants Barry Gold, Paul Traub and MNAT have already *confessed* lying under oath to a federal justice. Doing so more than thirty-three (33) times. Enigmatically, "that" court said (in a 2005 opine) that it was "too late" to remove the MNAT law firm. Additionally, the United States Trustee testified in a February 2005 "UNITED STATES TRUSTEE'S MOTION FOR ENTRY OF

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

ORDER DIRECTING DISGORGEMENT OF FEES PAID TO TRAUB BONACQUIST & 1 FOX LLP FOR SERVICES RENDERED AS COUNSEL TO OFFICIAL COMMITTEE OF 2 3 UNSECURED CREDITORS" - that Paul Traub's law firm confessed 4 to "deliberately" perpetrating a fraud on the court. 5 That prior realm simply, utterly, ignored the 6 7 paramount issues of premeditated plans of contempt of 8 the *integrity* of the *judicial* process; and the assaults 9 10 (immense) upon the Constitution of the United States. 11 Bankruptcy justices aren't empowered as Article III 12 realms, to adjudicate upon merits of criminality! 13 14 The question that begs is - Why? What is the motive 15 of federal agents, agencies and judges to be so openly 16 17 blatant and flagrantly inept in the application of Law? 18 With the answer being an unequivocal inference, 19 obviously, that Goldman Sachs, Bain Capital & Mitt 20 21 Romney, are THE most powerful persons/entities on the 22 planet and KNOW they have DOJ "Get out of jail Free Cards". 23 24 This is not only an inexplicable and intolerable 25 state of affairs; it is clearly an act of CIVIL WAR! 26 As has been long established, by the United States 27 28 Supreme Court in its decision of COOPER v AARON 358, US

1 (1958) pages 18 - parts 9 & 10 - that; "No state legislator or executive or judicial officer can war against the Constitution; without violating his solemn oath to support it" (P. 19 *10).

How can it be that no one seems to care about the Code & Rule of Law; and that the Constitution of the United States is being assaulted openly?

Why is Laser the Liquidator the only one who cares? Aren't there any decent and honorable servants of the public who upkeep their oaths of office?

Is it a fact, *inexorable*, that Goldman Sachs, Bain Capital and Mitt Romney are abnormally "untouchable"?

We may never know why G-d almighty chose a lowly amoeba such as this plaintiff to battle hordes of contemptible Goliaths; but this pursuer of justice will always battle until death do us part or remedy comes!

Plaintiff is encouraged by the fact that this good court has not yet been subjected to the powers elite. Her Honor Colleen McMahon of the Southern District of New York ("SDNY") recently made remarks, apropos to this instant case, in Her Honor's decision of the Host

1

Hotels opinion/order on October 31, 2013. It is almost as if Her Honor McMahon were presiding over this case.

Whereas Her Honor Colleen McMahon punished the Boies, Schiller and Flexner law firm for a serious conflict of interest in SDNY case 1:13-cv-291 (D.I. 99 thereof); as Her Honor stated of the Host Hotels case an "on-point" that "A clearer conflict of interest cannot be imagined. A first year law student on day one of an ethics course should be able to spot it". Obviously, Her Honor has yet to hear about the vast conflicts of interest in these cases.

Lies under oath is "Lying Under Oath" (remarks of the 11th Circuit court in the case of Walker v Walden). As iterated in the eToys bankruptcy case (DE Bankr 01-706 {2001}), by the Delaware Bankruptcy Court's "Opinion" of October 4, 2005 - it is wrong to reward conflicted attorneys and punish a plaintiff.

In its **Opinion**, the Delaware Bankruptcy Court did reference the US Sup Ct case of *In re Hazel Atlas*.

Also referencing Hazel-Atlas Glass Co. v. Hartford Empire Co., - is the aforementioned U.S. Trustee's

Haas v Romney "2nd Amended Complaint" - January 30, 2014 - Page 158

Motion to Disgorge Paul Traub's firm for \$1.6 million; 1 2 and the detailed remarks about Hazel Atlas. 3 Whereas, the U.S. Trustee remarks of Hazel-Atlas at 4 5 322 U.S. 238, 64. S.Ct. 997 (1944), in "Disgorge Motion" 6 part 29 - that; 7 " [T] ampering with the administration of justice in the manner 8 9 indisputably shown here [counsel fraudulently created evidence and 10 introduced it at trail] *involves far more than an injury to a single litigant.* 11 12 13 It is a wrong against the institutions set up to protect and safeguard 14 15 the public, institutions in which fraud cannot complacently be tolerated 16 consistently with the good order of society". 17 18 19 The U.S. Trustee Traub Bonacquist & Fox ("TBF") 20 21 does then continue on point of this instant case, with 22 reiteration of the Supreme Court of Hazel-Atlas that; 23 24 "Surely it cannot be that preservation of the integrity of the judicial 25 process must always await upon the diligence of litigants. The public 26 27 welfare demands that the agencies of public justice be not so impotent that 28 they must always be mute and helpless victims of deception and fraud".

In the Host Hotels case Her Honor Colleen McMahon 1 2 further concluded (as if specifically written for this 3 case) that the Conflict issues were straightforwardly 4 apparent, with the remarking: "This is not ethical rocket science"! 5 6 Plaintiff is merely a victim of an extremely urbane 7 Racketeering enterprise that benefited a POTUS wannabe. 8 9 Litigant is not after the politico Romney; but this 10 case is about "boss" Romney who boasted that receiving 11 12 millions of dollars each year from Bain Capital while 13 benefiting from organized crimes - openly. 14 It is already public knowledge that Romney had his 15 16 Olympic records and Massachusetts Governor Computer 17 hard drives destroyed. Akin to MNAT abolishing its 18 19 eToys client books & records (remains a perplexity). 20 It is a given that the Racketeers now realize that 21 22 their belief that "all" records of Romney as CEO of Bain 23 Capital in 2001 were destroyed - is wrong. Thus it is 24 logical their efforts of destruction are now upped. 25 26 Be that as it may, this is a "Civil RICO" case, and 27 as such, plaintiff is only required to provide evidence 28

that Romney "*indirectly*" benefited to the standard of proof of the "preponderance of the evidence".

As it is that Romney bragged (often) of his getting millions of dollars each year from Bain Capital; hence it is only necessary that litigant provide good proof that Bain Capital benefited from fraud.

Not only is the eToys courts punishing plaintiff and rewarding conflicted attorneys (who have confessed to part of their crimes already) the DE BK Ct and the clerk of court became duplicitous in the efforts to cover it all up at direct material adverse harm to the federal election process.

Whereas, the Delaware Bankruptcy Court and Clerk thereof unethically withheld complainant's Motion of October 24, 2012 - naming Romney - from being inserted into the PACER online records. Doing so in an apparent clear effort to protect Romney's POTUS quest. <u>Possibly</u> <u>due to the issues of mayhem and homicides therein</u>! Whereas plaintiff's Motion was (finally) docketed (speciously) on Election day November 6, 2012.

28

1

2

3

4

5

Subsequently, "that" court did continue to violate plaintiff's Civil Rights. An order now stands in the record commanding the Clerk to permanently bar this litigant's redress of grievances.

If new law students were asked to get intoxicated and make up stuff, they couldn't make a better posterchild case of Racketeering victims entitled to become a "Private Attorney General" to address vast, unconscionable, inexplicable and also intolerable "Prosecutorial Gaps".

In this instant case our nation came too dang close to electing a RICO boss as POTUS; doing so by his gang openly breaking the law, benefiting from corruption.

The <u>evidence speaks for itself</u> arising from public records that are profuse, overwhelming and irrefutable!

The Delaware bankruptcy court disregarded the Law mandating the disqualification of the transgressors.

It also defies Precedents such as the US Sup Ct cases of *In re Brady* and *In re Giglio*. Whereas, once an officer of the court admits to having lied under oath, then all further testimony is not worth a salt's grain.

1

2

1

Willful blindness to the rackets spawned other crime spree tentacles. Whereas Paul Traub also became partners of fraudster Marc Dreier (doing 20 years) and was named (June 2012) as the "controller" of Petters Ponzi. However, that case too, of Paul Traub/ Tom Petters is wretched. Whereas there are several issues of mayhem and homicides directly linked to that and this case. Just a few months prior to the FBI raid of Tom Petters and his many companies acquired by fraudulent

monies, including Sun Country Airlines, Polaroid and
Fingerhut - were tampered with by Paul Traub.

Upon the success of Polaroid scheme, Traub moved in as Polaroid co-principal owner with Gordon Brothers. One tidbit is the fact that Ed Land, the original founder of Polaroid, just so happens to be the seed money man for Gordon Brothers liquidations.

Tom Petters Ponzi was connected to other national fraud schemes such as Lancelot in Illinois, Palm Beach Links ("PBL") Capital in Texas and Palm Beach Florida. There's also the issues of Frank Vennes, Jim Fry, Bruce Prevost and David Harrold.

28

1

2

3

4

One of the unaddressed issues of federal fraud, is that of fact that Steve Cammack was co-owner/founder of PBL, with the help of millionaire Bill Cawley.

Whereas, purportedly, Bill Cawley inserted into PBL \$50 million, as Bruce Prevost and David Harrold went to Frank Vennes's house to specifically set up the PBL entity as a feeder fund into Tom Petters Ponzi.

Plaintiff forwarded this tip on PBL that was received through litigant's Petters-Fraud dot com website. Whereas, Bill Cawley supposedly deposited \$50 million with Steve Cammack/PBL; but (in return) Bill Cawley was "loaned" back \$52 million. Also, Mr. Cawley purportedly took manager fees from the PBL fund.

Compounding those issues further, as if to go full circle, is the fact that Steve Cammack came from the Finova entity.

Plaintiff's Smoking Gun evidence that did force MNAT to confess the Goldman Sachs deception, was a result of a PACER typo.

Whereas eToys case is 01-706 and Finova is 01-705.

There's additional crime sprees and RICO adaptation 1 2 issues such as "judicial immunity" being unethically and 3 illegally handed out like candy Get out of Jail Cards. 4 5 Whereas, Douglas Kelley's law firm of Kelley Wolter 6 was - initially - Tom Petters attorney. 7 When Polaroid lender Thane Ritchie's Capital Co., 8 9 was granted a federal receiver (Billy Procida) for the 10 Tom Petters case; Doug Kelley terminated the effort. 11 12 Bizarrely, akin to MNAT switching sides to handle 13 the Church's claim upon Howard Hughes will, Doug Kelley 14 became the Federal Receiver over the Tom Petters Ponzi 15 16 case and also bankruptcy trustee defying all logic. 17 Can Capone's man Frank Nitti be appointed as the 18 19 federal receiver over Capone's federal seized assets? 20 It is absurd that one has to even ask the question! 21 22 With the Racketeers knowing this move was way over 23 the top in violation of the Code/Rule of Law, unethical 24 and defiant of all logic/common sense; the RICO adapted 25 26 once again. Whereas the "profiteer" Douglas Kelley was 27 provided a legislation from the bench of "judicial immunity" 28

to protect him in his unseemliness. This preposterous juxtapose of the Law was necessary; because plaintiff had been after Traub/ Tom Petters issues for years.

Additionally, the Racketeers were aware that this litigant had "caught" them previously, due to their lies under oath. By the "*judicial immunity*" Douglas Kelley perversion (which grows worse and worse as the evidence at trial will detail); the bad faith parties could simply break the law in the open.

<u>Judicial Immunity</u> is - as "<u>retroactively</u>" efforts does, and the Racketeers simply doesn't care who sees what!

UCLA Law Professor Lynn LoPucki wrote a book about Bankruptcy Court Corruption titled "Courting Failure" How Competition for Big Bankruptcy Cases is Corrupting the Bankruptcy Courts. (Noting much of the DE BK Ct).

Senator John Cornyn quoted Professor LoPucki's remarks in his Legal Times article "They Owe Us".

Whereas the Texas Senator John Cornyn noted that, in essence, picking a venue for a case is akin to handpicking a verdict.

Haas v Romney "2nd Amended Complaint" - January 30, 2014 - Page 166

1

A visiting justice (Judge Judith Fitzgerald) did point out that the UST's office was duplicitous in hiding millions of dollars of Tersigni fraud from the court.

Whereas Her Honor remarked and was quoted by the Press stating "What is going on with the United States Trustee"?

A former UST Trial attorney in upstate New York -Mary F Powers, testified during 2007 Hearings before Congress, on how EOUST Director Lawrence Friedman tried to have her fabricate cases against mom & pop parties; just to make it look like the UST's office was doing its job. Mary Powers is a counter-part to that of Mark Kenney in Delaware. She testified to Congress that the EOUST's office was working as an integrity impediment.

His Honor Thomas Tucker in Michigan was pressured to go soft in the case of Matrix Technology Group; and choose, instead, to Re-Publish his decision on the finding that Fraud on the Court occurred.

Whereas His Honor Thomas Tucker, in the case of M.T.G. stipulated "FOR PUBLICATION" that it is the Duty of the court's to address issues of fraud on the court. Else, the bad faith (Fraud) is encouraged to endure & grow!

Additionally, at the same Congressional Hearing attended by former UST Mary Powers, there was also the esteemed bankruptcy justice A. Jay Cristol of Florida. His Honor A. Jay Cristol is a veteran of decades as senior justice, Professor of Law and Judge Emeritus who testified to Congress that the UST's office was Rin Tin Tin in large Chapter 11 cases; and a pack of wolves in the smaller Chapter 7 & 13.

Then His Honor A. Jay Cristol admonished the acts of former EOUST Lawrence Friedman and current EOUST Director Clifford White III.

Plaintiff can say, as a victim, what decorum and thinking by His Honor A. Jay Cristol would not be so cold as to say. That there are rotten apples in the federal bankruptcy system of justice; and the house needs to be cleansed.

Plaintiff hopes & prays that this good court sees the fact that the "good ole boys" network has gotten way too far out of control; and that remedy needs be.

Haas v Romney "2nd Amended Complaint" - January 30, 2014 - Page 168

1

Whereas plaintiff is not just hoping to get relief 1 2 from the Racketeering; but prays - on bended knee until 3 it hurts - that this court be not assuaged from its no 4 abundant good faith in having (not yet) shut-down this 5 6 case; because the Defendants are the super-rich and 7 powerful; and litigant is an irrelevant homeless guy. 8 9 Whereas the issues presented should not escape the 10 full authority and integrity of the judicial process, 11 because litigant is insignificant and undereducated in 12 13 legal prowess, writing skills and otherwise inept. 14 May it be that, in spite of many others feeling it 15 16 is okay that the RICO Defendants get off 'Scott Free'; 17 that this court still permit this plaintiff to be, as 18 is a grant by Congress and affirmed by the U.S. Supreme 19 20 Court of Sedima, to bring the Defendants to Trial with 21 plaintiff as the "Private Attorney General". 22 23 XVI **ADDRESSING RULE 11 ISSUES** 24 In an effort to save the court's time. And in 25 26 anticipation of probable Defendants plots and plots. 27 Plaintiff also now address the dreaded Rule 11 issues. 28

As is established upon the PACER; this RICO 1 2 Complaint is assigned the case number of 2:13-cv-7738. 3 Whereas the moving party (in this instant case a 4 "prose" person who did not graduate High School and had 5 6 less than stellar grades regarding "English" classes 7 {especially of sentence structures}); is possibly also 8 required, and/or will be ordered, to make a reasonable effort to comply by Rule 11 of the Federal Rules of 11 12 Civil Procedure ("Fed.R.Civ.P"), for clarity's sake. 13 As plaintiff understands, Rule 11 (a) commands that a 14 party sign all pleadings; and this litigant has done 15 16 so, with dates alongside his signatures. WHEREAS this 17 litigant also signs such - Under Penalty of Perjury -18 19 in a desire to document severity and sincerety. 20 Additionally, as per Rule 11 (b) "Representations to 21 22 the Court" the moving party is required to "Certify" 23 for the presiding that the Petitioner has "formed the 24 Complaint - AFTER - an inquiry reasonable". 25 26 WHEREAS plaintiff asserts/affirms litigant has been 27 "reasonably" inquiring for the facts for many years!

Haas v Romney "2nd Amended Complaint" - January 30, 2014 - Page 170

9 10

Furthermore, Rule 11(b)(1) requires that the [RICO] case being presented is done so, in good faith.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

WHEREAS this plaintiff asserts/affirms that this instant case is of just cause and that litigant has been seeking expedient justice for more than a decade! Of Rule 11(b)(2) a Complaint is to be of proper legal claims, defenses. Of this issues - IN FACT - plaintiff has been nonstop seeking to establish existing law.

Litigant is seeking to arrest arbitrary/capricious abuses of the judicial process and many "Color of Law" Frauds upon the Courts $vis-\dot{a}-vis$ Officers of the Court!

Furthermore, per Fed.R.Civ.P11(b)(3) this Complaint has "factual" contentions "evidentiary supported" that is chiefly corroborated by unassailable PACER docket items and undeniable Federal Archives. The proof is already in the record; a reviewer simply needs to look at it.

Finally, concerning Fed.R.Civ.P11(b)(4) - all denials of this allegations hereof by rulings of prior venues, courts, justices and/or federal agents/ agencies were Unreasonable and - IN FACT - lacked jurisprudence! As per the anticipated issue of the standing Court Order concerning the RICO *Case Statement*, [plaintiff's] shall use the caption(s) numbers and letters as specified by the court.

And (I assume) that this means once the Defendants respond (assuming the Defendants simply don't just jump up and finally confess to everything); then the RICO Case Statement must be provided within 20 days of those "Responses".

Litigants are required to state in detail and with specificity the required information.

WHEREAS, this plaintiff understands this to mean that the court seeks for Complainant to lay out his "entire" case against ALL known RICO "Defendants" and ALL know RICO co-conspirators.

Plaintiff is more than ready/willing and able to lay out the entire case; having desired his day in court for more than a decade now; and has been candid with this court about all, plus "prose" inadequacies.

Plaintiff prays this court has patience with him and his lack of formal education, if, but for no other 1 reason, the issues of materially adversity great, 2 mayhem, federal venality and homicides hereof.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Whereas, the issues presented are consequential of high magnitude that shouldn't be cavalierly ignored.

Litigant, apologizes in advance to the court for the profuse, burdensome and even intrusive upon other venue issues that this case is about to present to His Honor.

Plaintiff believes the FACTS are worth the court's time; and prays this court concurs.

Whereas, this case has issues vast, of national important and significant issues, as pertains to the civil needs of maintaining a good order of society.

Racketeering, even of the rich, can't be allowed!

Plaintiff, again, does stipulates the information herein is affirmed as true - Under Penalty of Perjury!

Litigant again apologizes to the court for the many inadequacies; and prays that the court see the facts and evidences are all - chiefly - public docket records or/and federal archives undeniable.

It is not this plaintiff's fault that everyone who swore an oath to treat rich and poor equally and/or to defend the Constitution of the United States from all enemies foreign and DOMESTIC; have either chosen to be willfully blind, tuck tail and run - and/or - join the bad faith Racketeers via acts duplicitous.

As it is patently obvious that the proverbial "FIX" for the "good ole boys" club - is definitely "IN". Romney and his RICO Gang, with Paul Traub as one of his Nitti's; went all in to place a RICO boss in the White House. By G-d's grace - Romney Didn't Make It! Whereas, I, Steven Haas, (more commonly known as "Laser") does state that the above mentioned issues are true and correct; and that plaintiff is simply seeking the court to adjudicate upon the merits.

Litigant pray the court instruct him as a "pro se" party, if there is anything overlooked; and that the court agrees about the significance and importance of the issues at hand.

Plaintiff prays this court agrees that there is enough RICO evidence to be recognized as *prima facie*!

1	
2	First C
3	
4	(Violations of
5	(Agair
6	Plaintiff reall
7	
8	reference, every an
9	"2 nd Amended" Civil
10	
11	set forth here full
12	During all rele
13	plaintiff is a pers
14	
15	\$\$ 1961(3) and 1962
16	At all times re
17	
18	including John/Jane
19	person within the m
20	1962(c).
21	
22	Romney's Gang(s
23	 `` <i>Corporate Raiding'</i> ′ and/
24	
25	types of "Federal Corru
26	venality by "Color of I
27	
28	"enterprisingly" ha

XVII CLAIMS FOR RELIEF

First Claim for Relief - COUNT I

(Violations of the RICO Act 18 USC \$ 1962(c))

(Against ALL RICO Defendants)

Plaintiff realleges and incorporates herein by reference, every and each foregoing paragraph of this "2nd Amended" Civil RICO Complaint, as if all above is set forth here fully and completely.

During all relevant times pertaining to this case, plaintiff is a person within the meaning of 18 U.S.C. \$\$ 1961(3) and 1962(c).

At all times relevant, each/every RICO Defendant, including John/Jane Doe's to be named later, are a person within the meaning of 18 U.S.C. \$\$ 1961(3) & 1962(c).

Romney's Gang(s) engage in "Bankruptcy Ring" and/or "Corporate Raiding" and/or "Political Election Ring" and/or various types of "Federal Corruption" (including Civil Rights Fed venality by "Color of Law") are "association in fact" units "enterprisingly" harming interest commerce. 1

Romney and his co-Defendants are employed and/or "associated" with the "enterprise" that is harming, for many years, "interstate commerce", with Defendants being the "culpable" persons who are doing "patterns" of organized crimes; which are visibly and secretly in violation of multiple state and federal laws, with at least 1 year of prison time, including "predicate acts" "patterns" of "racketeering".

Romney and his co-Defendants abused legitimate positions, entities and victims and have harmed this plaintiff's business.

Specifically, Defendants have separately and/or collectively, lied, cheated, stole, schemed, extorted, perjured, corrupted, colluded, retaliated, bribed, did benefit from federal corruption, of state and federal frauds, bankruptcy fraud and/or other wrongdoings for the sake of unjust enrichment; and harmed plaintiff's business, property and other victims - as Defendants continue to benefit lying, cheating and stealing by criminal designs that obtain fraudulent judgments. Through these actions in such instances as TLCo, Kay Bee, Stage Stores, FAO Schwartz, eToys.com and/or more, while prosecuting each other in sham fashion and/ or prosecuting victims (such as eToys shareholder Robert Alber and this plaintiff/his business [including CLI]), Defendants have unjustly benefited.

Romney's Gang of co-Defendants and co-conspirators have succeeded (thus far) through lies under oath in multiple jurisdictions state and federal, fraudulent conveyances, manufacturing erroneous evidence, scheming to fix federal case fees, tampering with proofs, giving misleading statements to state and federal courts, the public, utilizing U.S. Government authority positions, including arranging for one of their (Colm Connolly) to become the Delaware United States Attorney as a corrupt federal prosecutor over the cases, intentionally using venal persons currently within and/or formerly from positions of public trust to further the RICO. Such as Colm Connolly, Douglas Kelley, J. Lackner, Roberta DeAngelis, Judge Mary F Walrath, Mark Kenney, Lawrence Friedman and/or Tom O'Brien.

Haas v Romney "2nd Amended Complaint" - January 30, 2014 - Page 177

Plaintiff's business has been harmed and destroyed 1 2 both temporarily and permanently to assure current and/ 3 or future success of the racketeering. 4 Harms upon victims and plaintiff by the RICO is 5 6 direct, proximate and reasonably plausibly foreseeable 7 as a result of Defendants intentional interference with 8 9 plaintiff's business, funds/compensation and goodwill. 10 Romney's gang and/or co-Defendants/co-conspirators 11 did violate various state and the federal laws of 12 13 Bribery, Conspiracy, Mail Fraud, Intimidation of Victim 14 /Witness, Wire Fraud, Money Laundering, Bankruptcy 15 16 Frauds, Retaliation Against Victim/Witness, Obstruct of 17 a state and federal investigations (including frauds, 18 corruption and possibly mayhem and murders), plus the 19 20 Obstruction of Justice by False Oaths/Declarations and/ 21 or Affidavits in State and Federal Proceedings, Civil 22 23 Rights violations scheming via "Color of Law", Aiding 24 and Abetting, before, during and after facts, and/or 25 Grand Larceny and/or federal corruptions. 26 27 These acts here and above mentioned constitute a

These acts here and above mentioned constitute a pattern of racketeering as defined 18 U.S.C. \$ 1961.

28

Hereof the RICO and their cohorts/cronies and/or co-conspirators, are a group of people/entities also known as "persons" who have formed various "associations in fact" for the common purpose of organized crimes. The Defendants, separately and/or collectively do carry out their ongoing criminal "enterprise" in the

manner described in the above, foregoing, paragraphs of this 2nd Amended Complaint.

Whereas, RICO occurs via multidimensional efforts of lies, frauds, threats, retaliations, fed venality and/or other schemes and artifices to defraud for their sakes of unjust enrichments and/or career advancements at the direct material adverse harm of many victims, persons, interstate commerce entities, both public and private and including plaintiff's business.

Whereas the RICO Defendants & their co-conspirators have organized their *enterprise* into interconnected groups with specific and assigned responsibilities and

a command structure that operates both in and outside of the bankruptcy courts.

Over a decade plus, the RICO Defendants have been able to adapt their schemes to various dynamics and changing circumstances. Recruiting new members (such as Johann Hamerski) and juxtaposing roles of current bad faith parties as a current situation mandates.

Such as Romney owning Stage Stores, Michael Glazer as the Director thereof, with Barry Gold as director's assistant who hired Traub's TBF.

Then, in eToys, those same parties do a merry-goround etch-a-sketch of their role playing and pretend to be opposing parties to one another.

While the RICO enterprising has changed its designs and criminal exploits to vary from time to time, this RICO has generally been structured as an interrelated unit in order to accomplish many open ended and some closed ended organized criminal schemes.

Including what may now be the closed end scheme for Romney to become POTUS; while the "Bankruptcy Ring" scams demonstrate no indication of ever relenting.

Defendant Romney has always been the top dog of the 1 RICO. He is one slick character who openly destroys and /or makes sure any incriminating evidences are gone. However, Mitt Romney is (obviously) not the perfect schemer he thinks he is; and has left evidences trails that result in proof to the contrary of his lying Under Oath - even to the entire country in Elections Forms. It is the goals of Romney and the other Defendants to gain as much money, power and influence as possible. Regardless of how many crimes are perpetrated and/or how much federal corruption is needed to assure accomplishment of any particular organized crime. Defendants All, have benefited both directly and/or indirectly from the enterprising of interstate commerce and have great incentives to continue to do so as it is readily apparent that the RICO is expanding in scope, depth and unseemly efforts of their power to gain undue influence over the integrity of the judicial process. Including being able to make attorneys for some of the co-conspirators (such as Douglas Kelley once a lawyer for Tom Petters) to then become Federal Receiver

of the Tom Petters [Traub] Ponzi cases. Who also unlawfully became bankruptcy trustee of some of Tom Petters cases; whilst his crony/cohort law firm of Lindquist & Vennum made sure Traub's cohort/ crony Michael O'Shaughnessy is never brought to justice while there are bankruptcy cases of O'Shaughnessy's that are over in less than a year (while eToys 10 yrs.).

Defendants Goldman Sachs and Bain are legitimate business corporations who corruptively enterprise vast *unjust enrichment* via their attorneys, cohorts/ cronies perverting the justice process via lies under oath and concealing their direct links. Do so by gaining court ordered approval to be counsel for parties that are actual victims of Goldman Sachs and Bain.

Such as the Tom Petters Ponzi scheme and Fingerhut and/or the Polaroid issues. Whilst Defendants MNAT, Barry Gold and Paul Traub assist Goldman Sachs and Bain Capital and Mitt Romney and Michael Glazer to benefit from plethora of schemes to destroy the eToys public company, fleece the eToys bankruptcy estate; and make a

total mockery of justice concerning the NY Sup. Ct case of eToys v Goldman Sachs (case# 601805/2002).

Then, the Defendants (nearly all of them except for any visible direct benefit to Johann Hamerski) all go into more merry-go-round role playing in the Kay Bee bankruptcy case, with all gaining expressed benefit.

Bain and Glazer getting \$100 million, Traub and Barry Gold with ADA; and MNAT/Traub [conflicted] billables.

Meanwhile Defendant Colm Connolly had the career advancement looming of his becoming the DE US Attorney. Colm came from MNAT and refused to investigate and/or prosecute his former partners at MNAT (failing to reveal Connolly's direct links to "targets" of federal investigations. And/or also failing to stop the crimes – even when armed with confessions).

Thankfully, due to then Senator Joe Biden's stand tall as much as the Law would allow, against Connolly; Senator Biden (who lost the backing of the DE number one newspaper the Wilmington News Journal during the Presidential Election) refused to sign the requisite slip so that Colm Connolly could then be promoted/

28

1

2

rewarded to the post of becoming District Court Federal Judge in Delaware.

Traub's attorney James Garrity has now become a partner of Connolly's at Morgan Lewis. Connolly still seeks direct/indirect benefit from the RICO. He also remains closed lipped about his duplicity and may even be possibly connected to the John "Jack" Wheeler homicide in an effort to cover it all up.

Obviously all the Defendants and co-conspirators have benefited from the RICO and "associations in fact" that varies enterprisingly, doing "predicate act" felony crimes that are patterns of racketeering. Thus this RICO and the Defendants and co-conspirators are most assuredly an "enterprise" within the meaning of 18 U.S.C. 1961(4) and 1962(c), hereafter and heretofore referred to as the "Enterprise".

Each and every Defendant and/or co-conspirator has participated in the operations and/or management of the Enterprise and/or has benefited directly/indirectly of!

28

1

At any and all times relevant from years ago and even to this very day, the Enterprise was engaged in, and its activities afflicted interstate commerce and harmed this plaintiff's business within the meaning of 18 U.S.C. \$ 1962(c).

Pattern of Racketeering Activity

Each & every RICO Defendants and/or co-conspirators conducted and/or participated and/or benefited directly and/or indirectly in/from the conduct, managing and/or operation of the Enterprise's affairs through "patterns of racketeering" activity within the meaning of 18 U.S.C.\$ 1961(5) and in violation of 18 USC \$ 1962(c), of state and federal law breaks that carry at least one (1) year of prison time. Including, but not limited to, the list of "predicate acts" determined by 18 U.S.C. \$ 1961 that includes;

Bankruptcy Ring violations of 11 U.S.C. \$\$ 152 **Concealment** of assets, false oaths and claims; and bribery and/or Section 153 Embezzlement against estate and/or Section 154 Adverse interest and conduct of

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

officers and/or many violations of Section 155 Scheme to Fix Fees and/or Knowing disregard of bankruptcy law/rule per Bankruptcy Fraud Section 156.

As detail above - throughout - the RICO Defendants often lie under oath to every federal agent/agency and/ or many courts, doing so by Mail and/or Wire Fraud.

Additionally there are violations of 18 U.S.C. \$\$ 1510 Obstruction of Criminal Investigations, and then t Section 1512 Tampering/Intimidation against the victims and/or witness and Section 1513 Retaliating Against Witnesses.

Also Defendants and/or their co-conspirators are guilty of directly and/or indirectly benefiting from violations of Section **1503 Obstruction**, plus violations of Sections **1341 Mail Fraud** and Section **1343 Wire Fraud**.

Additionally there are many state laws violated in New York, Pennsylvania, Delaware, Texas and California, plus issues of Hobbs Act and/or Sarbanes Oxley; and defendant reserves his lawful right to Amend this Complaint within 21 days of serving Defendants - in order to correctly designate additional issues, Codes,

States and such that further education of this "pro se"
party who is not an attorney at law.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

These "patterns" of Racketeering also include items of money laundering. Though some of the duplicitous parties are already serving time in prison because they did already plead guilty to money laundering, such does not let the RICO Co-Defendants off the hook.

Furthermore, there are issues of Civil Rights crimes by "Color of Law" and Federal Corruption issues massive.

Each and every time one Defendant and/or any of their co-conspirators lied under oath, retaliated, did obstruct, schemed to fix fees, intimidated, corrupted the integrity of the judicial process, and/or did engage directly/indirectly and/or benefited directly/ indirectly from profuse, multiple predicate acts as described by 18 U.S.C. \$ 1961, constituted a "pattern" of racketeering activity within the meaning of 18 USC & 1961(5). Many victims and plaintiff's business and property, profit was harmed by the RICO Defendants violations of 18 U.S.C. \$ 1962(c).

The injuries to plaintiff's business, caused by the culpable defendants engaging patterns of racketeering by the RICO Enterprise caused, by the violations of 18 U.S.C. \$ 1962, damages to plaintiff's business, his reputation, goodwill and impaired litigant's interest and ability to do business, gain employment (especially in the Toys industry) or to do contracts, including any with Kay Bee initial cases and/or Kay Bee and eToys subsequent cases.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Furthermore all the injuries were direct/Proximate and readily seeable as a direct result of violations of 18 U.S.C. % 1962. Plaintiff is unquestionably a victim of the RICO Defendants' illegitimate Enterprise.

As a matter of fact litigants own attorney emailed a direct threat from Susan Balaschak, a partner of Traub's TBF and most definitively a co-conspirator who did promise to destroy plaintiff's career after he turned down multiple offers of Bribery (also predicate act); and did report the crimes to the DOJ and UST's office that had been corrupted by the RICO.

2 entitled to recover treble damages, estimated to be at 3 least \$100 million above fees and costs from the RICO 4 Defendants collectively and separately. 5 6 Litigant is further entitled to, and should be 7 fully awarded, a preliminary and permanent injunction 8 9 that prevents and enjoins Defendants, their assigns, and /or anyone else accounting in concert with them (such as Channel Communication engaging in a campaign to destroy this victim /witness by its medias).

Additionally, Defendants, their law firms, friends, relatives, backers, associates known and unknown in the Department of Justice should be restrained and here and forever more, from breaking the law and/or breaching their fiduciary duties to assist covering up the RICO crimes, and/or protect the RICO Defendants and/or attack, retaliate and/or assault defendants and the other RICO victims (such as Robert Alber) in any way whatsoever.

Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. \$ 1964(c), plaintiff is now

Additionally, rogue elements inside the federal agencies, should be restrained and/or removed!

Haas v Romney "2nd Amended Complaint" - January 30, 2014 - Page 189

1	<u>SECOND Claim for Relief</u> - COUNT II
2	(Utilization of RICO Funds to Expand the Enterprising
3	In Violation of 18 USC \$ 1962(a))
4 5	(Against ALL RICO Defendants)
6	
7	Plaintiff realleges and incorporates herein by
8	reference, every and each foregoing paragraph of this
9	"2 nd Amended" Civil RICO Complaint, as if all above is
10	set forth here fully and completely.
11 12	During all relevant times pertaining to this case,
13	plaintiff is a person within the meaning of 18 U.S.C.
14	\$\$ 1961(3) and 1962(c).
15 16	At all times relevant, each/every RICO Defendant,
17	including John/Jane Doe's to be named later, are a
18	
19	person within the meaning of 18 U.S.C. \$\$ 1961(3) &
20	1962(c).
21 22	Romney ' s Gang(s) engage in " <i>Bankruptcy Ring"</i> and/or
23	" <i>Corporate Raiding"</i> and/or " <i>Political Election Ring"</i> and/or various
24	
25	types of " <i>Federal Corruption"</i> (including Civil Rights Fed
26	venality by " <i>Color of Law"</i>) are "association in fact" units
27 28	"enterprisingly" harming interest commerce.

1

Romney and his co-Defendants are employed and/or "associated" with the "enterprise" that is harming, for many years, "interstate commerce", with Defendants being the "culpable" persons who are doing "patterns" of organized crimes; which are visibly and secretly in violation of multiple state and federal laws, with at least 1 year of prison time, including "predicate acts" "patterns" of "racketeering".

Romney and his co-Defendants abused legitimate positions, entities and victims and have harmed this plaintiff's business.

Specifically, Defendants have separately and/or collectively, lied, cheated, stole, schemed, extorted, perjured, corrupted, colluded, retaliated, bribed, did benefit from federal corruption, of state and federal frauds, bankruptcy fraud and/or other wrongdoings for the sake of unjust enrichment; and harmed plaintiff's business, property and other victims - as Defendants continue to benefit lying, cheating and stealing by criminal designs that obtain fraudulent judgments. Romney's Gang(s) and/or co-Defendants and/or coconspirators used and invested income that was derived from a pattern of racketeering activity by interstate enterprise, to expand the strength, scope, powers and/ or undue influence of the RICO Enterprises. Specifically, moneys gained from TLCo and/or Stage

Stores and/or Kay Bee and/or eToys and/or other scams known and unknown were utilized in separate and/or collective manners by the RICO Defendants from unjust enrichments and subject profits of the businesses who were seeded by monies derived from a pattern of racketeering activity in an interstate enterprise.

Such entities acquired in part and/or in full as a result of the RICO enterprising includes, but is not limited to, various Bain Capital entities (such as "BCIP"s and/or Sankaty), Liquidity Solutions/ Madison Liquidity, Kay Bee, eToys, Toys R Us, Burlington Coat Factory, Guitar Centers, HCA, Dunkin Donuts, Clear Channel Communications, the Boston Celtics, Babies R Us and many, many more. Including Fingerhut, Goldman Sachs and/or interests Off Shore/international.

Haas v Romney "2nd Amended Complaint" - January 30, 2014 - Page 192

Defendants many schemes and artifices to defraud 1 2 include arranging for some of their own employees and/ 3 or attorneys to become federal agents, heads of federal 4 agencies and/or watchdogs (like SEC, DOJ, maybe FBI) 5 6 and/or federal prosecutors (such as Colm Connolly). 7 As a proximate/direct result of the Defendant(s) 8 9 and/or their co-conspirators and/or their corrupt rogue 10 public servants as federal agents, prosecutors and/or 11 heads of federal agencies, task forces and/or divisions 12 13 as part of racketeering activities and violations of 14 Title 18 United States Code Section 1962(a), plaintiff 15 16 has been injured in his business and/or property in 17 that: specifically, Barry Gold was a paid Romney/ Stage 18 Stores employee and paid Traub' TBF employee, partner 19 20 with Traub in ADA. Whereas, as a result of separate and 21 /or collective acts thereof, including the four (4) 22 23 payments of \$30,000 each by Traub's TBF firm to Barry 24 Gold before MNAT and Traub unlawfully inserted Mr. Gold 25 inside eToys as a post-bankruptcy petition President 26 27 and CEO, after being forewarned by the UST NOT to do 28 that very crime. Then plaintiff was assaulted vastly!

Including MNAT, Traub and Barry Gold collectively and/or separately scheming to destroy plaintiff's work, career and business, as was iterated by TBF's Susan Balaschak threats emailed to plaintiff by his very own attorney Henry Heiman - promising that plaintiff/CLI would not get paid, plaintiff's career would totally be destroyed and worse would happen if complainant didn't "back off" from his pursuits of justice and/or payment. This destruction of plaintiff's career/business is a continuous part of the Enterprising as a result of the patterns of racketeering. Including state/federal statutory violations such as False Oath/Declarations, Schemes to Fix Fees (for Defendants and/or against the plaintiff). With, MNAT, Traub/TBF and Barry Gold all failing to disclose (lying under oath/deceiving state and federal courts) about their systemic/incestuous relationships with one another and/or Goldman Sachs and /or Bain Capital and/or other parties, entities and/or persons known and unknown. Such as Liquidity Solutions, Madison Liquidity, Michael Glazer, Bain/ Kay Bee.

1

2

3

4

Whereas plaintiff is entitled to judgments against the Defendants, declaratory and injunctive relief as mentioned above (and as discovery at trial may further demonstrate the need of).

Including, but not limited to, actual damages of the estimated \$3.7 million that was stolen from plaintiff/CLI in 2001, with subsequent penalties and interests thereof, including treble damages, plus attorney fees paid to counsels, even those that betrayed their clients; and any other relief that the court deems appropriate to instruct the jury.

With the additional caveat that the Racketeers can NOT be permitted success over Kay Bee and eToys; both of which have been in bankruptcy cases multiple times handled by Paul Traub - winding back at Bain Capital.

Toys R Us is owned by Bain and currently holds the stolen property of Kay Bee and eToys federal estates; and this manifest injustice must be rectified.

Though it is a conundrum and undesirable state of affairs that one federal court must reach out and do admonishment of another; in this case it must be done!

eToys immediately.

Currently, Michael Glazer and Barry Gold sit in high glorious happiness of getting away with being RICO bandits; placed there in part as a result of federal corruption of Colm Connolly, Roberta DeAngelis and the henchman/betrayer of the public's trust - Mark Kenney. Roberta DeAngelis and Mark Kenney must be removed from their positions of trust over Kay Bee and eToys. Judge Walrath should be recused! Even if they can't be permanently removed by this court; then certainly - obviously - will continue to retaliate against plaintiff for pointing out their woeful ineptitude, willful blindness and consistent Breach of Fiduciary Duty. Plaintiff should be placed back in his chair over

There's no justification to allow the Defendants to continue to maintain their positions of public trust, as approved officers of the court; when it is so far and beyond the preponderance of the evidence, even well beyond clear and convincing that they are betraying their court approved clients for self enrichment.

No one else can be placed within the Confirmed PLAN Administrator's chair that Barry Gold sits in over the eToys Post Effective Date Committee ("PEDC") chair.

Whereas, the Toy industry is duplicitous in these affairs; and should be litigated against for the sake of all victims accordingly.

Even the bond holders can't be trusted. Larry Durant who worked for R.R. Donnelly & Sons was removed and vanished when plaintiff pointed the issues out to the general counsel of R.R. Donnelly. Larry Durant had worked with Paul Traub and Barry Gold in one of the cases mentioned by Traub's TBF Stage Stores Supplement Rule 2014/2016 Affidavit.

Furthermore, R. R. Donnelly had two Goldman Sachs members upon their board. When plaintiff pointed this out to R. R. Donnelly's general counsel, the joint deal with Goldman Sachs and R. R. Donnelly, to the tune of over \$300 million - was dissolved within 2 weeks.

Compounding this even further is the other Bond Holder of eToys Fir Tree Value Fund and its person most knowledgeable of Scott Henkin.

1

Back in 2004 and 2005, Scott Henkin confessed to plaintiff, on more than one occasion that Fir Tree was part of an "off the record approval of the conflict of interest of Barry Gold and Paul Traub".

When plaintiff informed the Department of Justice about Scott Henkin's email remarks; Mr. Henkin both emailed and phoned litigant complaining that the fact was disclosed to plaintiff because it was "off the record".

Resultantly, the RICO expanded by filing bankruptcy of Kay Bee and selling eToys to D E Shaw; who then did hire Scott Henkin.

Then eToys was placed into bankruptcy once again, after other frauds with The Parent Company; and eToys wound back up with Bain at Toys R Us and Scott Henkin bounces around Bain deals now purportedly at KKR.

Removing Barry Gold is not a complicated matter as the DE BK Ct has already given up protecting the eToys good faith creditors and shareholders, perversely after giving approval to the recent MNAT, Barry Gold and Paul Traub settlement with Goldman Sachs for \$7.5 million.

Haas v Romney "2nd Amended Complaint" - January 30, 2014 - Page 198

1

This is after the DE BK Ct knows Barry Gold is a 1 2 partner with Paul Traub and MNAT was (purportedly) laid 3 upon a sanction/fine for Goldman Sachs issues. 4 However, the one and same RICO "Bankruptcy Ring" 5 6 "association-in-fact" believed they had gotten away 7 with their Racketeering of eToys so well - that Barry 8 9 Gold, as PLAN Administrator was granted G-dlike powers 10 of the eToys estate and PEDC. 11 Whereas those "extensive" arm's length parties did 12 13 (for pretense) did place into the eToys Confirmed PLAN 14 - **Part 5.2 REMOVAL** - that the Administrator (Barry Gold) 15 16 could be removed for "cause". With "cause" defined by 17 the DE BK Ct approved Confirmed PLAN in 2002, as [any] 18 act of fraud, embezzlement or theft, the intentional 19 20 wrongful damage to property, neglect by Administrator, 21 the failure of the Administrator [Barry Gold] to act in 22 23 accordance with the - PLAN or [PLAN] Agreement; and the 24 failure of the PLAN Administrator to continue to serve 25 as sole, director, "sole shareholder" (another motive 26 27 of the RICO to destroy eToys shareholders); and the 28 gross negligence [willful misconduct] of Barry Gold.

Whereas any successor PLAN Administrator shall be vested in all the powers, rights, duties and also be made the "sole" director and officer of the Reorganized [eToys] Debtor.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Furthermore, plaintiff's CLI contracts Indemnify CLI, its officers, agents and assigns from any willful misconduct and/or gross negligence of eToys, its then and current, officers, agents and assigns.

Inside eToys PLAN Administrator chair, plaintiff would then easily fire MNAT, Traub/TBF, Dreier, TBF's local counsel, Xroads LLC and/or any other Romney RICO stalwart such as Scott Henkin, Glazer etc.!

Justice could also then readily be accomplished as the heretofore cohorts/cronies, including Epstein Becker and Green, Pomerantz, Wachtel & Masyr, Richard Cartoon and/or other agents known and unknown, includes the PEDC Committee of Mattel's assign to Fisher Price, Lego and Fir Tree, to come up and replace those many duplicitous, willfully blind parties, as allowed by Bankruptcy Law under 510(c) Equitable Subordination to be placed at the back and/or expunged entirely.

Whereas the bogus settlement with Goldman Sachs can also be handled by a good faith party; where the RICO rogue elements within Goldman Sachs and Bain Capital can be expunged from their ranks and a good and just settlement can occur.

Otherwise, a clear and convincing message will be sent that you can get away with organized crimes if you do so large enough, stealing billions, granting firms like MNAT their belief that entrenched powers of undue influence in the system of justices can succeed in the bigger schemes in arranging one of your own to become the United States Attorney and others as Senior Judges upon Circuit Courts' who was utilized as Colm Connolly way of getting to his position of public trust he did abuse so easily and steadfastly.

Whereas there's also the additional issues of the Deferred Prosecution Agreements and/or Judicial granted immunities to profiteers, who allow counsels of Ponzi Schemers to become the Federal Receiver over the very Ponzi case. Like Goldman Sachs suing Goldman Sachs, Bain selling eToys to Bain; and Traub getting Polaroid!

Haas v Romney "2nd Amended Complaint" - January 30, 2014 - Page 201

1

Romney's Racketeers did their organized crimes so 1 2 well and got away with it due to federal corruption 3 that was so profuse, with Clear Channel Communications 4 being acquired by the RICO unjust enrichments; which, 5 6 in conjuncture with Bain paying off parties like the 7 Democrats research arm American Bridge, to not discuss 8 9 Bain/Romney issues (such as TLCo and eToys that were 10 NEVER discussed by main stream during the POTUS 11 Election race); whereas this nation was deprived of a 12 13 good faith chance of a clean election process. And our 14 country came too dang close to getting a RICO "boss" as 15 16 the President of the United States. 17 Third Claim for Relief - COUNT III 18 (Maintaining an Interest and Control of the RICO 19 20 In Violation of 18 USC \$\$ 1962(b)) 21 (Against ALL RICO Defendants) 22 23 Plaintiff realleges and incorporates herein by 24 reference, every and each foregoing paragraph of this 25 "2nd Amended" Civil RICO Complaint, including the 26 27 information within the Claims for Relief, as if all 28 above is set forth here fully and completely.

Litigant alleges that the RICO Defendants illegally and 1 2 knowingly/willfully conspired, schemed, colluded by separate 3 and collective agreements to violate 18 USC \$ 1962(c) as 4 5 described above, in violation of 18 USC \$ 1962(d); and 6 plaintiff reserves his right to Amend within 21 days. 7 8 During all relevant times pertaining to this case, 9 plaintiff is a person within the meaning of 18 U.S.C. 10 \$\$ 1961(3) and 1962(c). 11 12 At all times relevant, each/every RICO Defendant, 13 including John/Jane Doe's to be named later, are a 14 15 person within the meaning of 18 U.S.C. \$\$ 1961(3) & 16 1962(c). 17 As is evident by the docket records and federal 18 19 archives, the vast amount of evidences overwhelming, 20 profuse and undeniable, the Defendants obviously knew 21 they were engaged in law breaking by "predicate acts" 22 23 and that these many statutory violations were part of 24 racketeering activity that included participations, 25 26 collusions, agreements, implied and expressed by each 27 one of them to further organized criminal goals that 28

were necessary to allow the commission of the patterns 1 of racketeering activity to achieve success.

This conduct constitutes a conspiracy to violate 18 U.S.C. \$ 1962(c), in violation of 18 U.S.C. \$ 1962(d). 6 Whereas the RICO Defendants, including Mitt Romney, 7 Paul Traub, as well as MNAT and its current partner 8 9 Greg Werkheiser, along with MNAT's former associate 10 Colm Connolly, Bain Capital and Goldman Sachs, Michael 11 Glazer, Barry Gold and henchman Johann Hamerski, and 12 13 their co-conspirators (that can be named as John/Jane 14 Doe's 1-10), including Scott Henkin, Fir Tree Value 15 16 Fund, D E Shaw, R.R. Donnelly and Sons, Stage Stores, 17 Liquidity Solutions/ Madison Liquidity, Dreier LLP, 18 Epstein Becker and Green, Pomerantz, Wachtel, Frederick 19 20 Rosner (and the various firms he worked with during the 21 eToys case). Also including as possible co-Defendant 22 23 Jane/John Doe's of Henry Heiman, Heiman Aber Goldust 24 and Baker, Michael Weiss, Fox Rothschild, Gary Ramsey, 25 Brad Brook/ Bayard Firm and Michael Kennedy. And the 26 27 autocrats Lawrence Friedman, Roberta DeAngelis and Mark 28 Kenney (if the court can see a clear was to do so).

Haas v Romney "2nd Amended Complaint" - January 30, 2014 - Page 204

Whereas the scope and breadth and power, plus the undue influence of this RICO enterprise is one of the largest (if not indeed THE most) Racketeering Enterprises of all time. Which includes "associationsin-fact" of Corporate Raiders, "Bankruptcy Rings" and national/international money launders (and possible off shore Tax have frauds - including Lawrence Friedman of Bader Company); and/or federal corruption and/or state and national election rings.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Whereas Defendant(s) and/or their co-conspirators acquired, collectively and/or separately, and managed/ maintained interests in and/or control the Enterprise through patterns of racketeering.

Specifically doing purchases of various company, entities, partnerships in law firms, associates in fact in bankruptcy cases and entities outside of bankruptcy such as Kay Bee, Fingerhut, Polaroid and/or eToys. Whereas the Romney's Gang, Co-Defendants and/or coconspirators did engage in and/or benefit from state

and federal statutory law breaking as mentioned above; but not limited to (as discovery at trial may reveal).

Defendants have directly and/or indirectly been 1 2 able (could afford by the massive unjust enrichment 3 that this RICO has provided in the millions, tens of 4 millions, hundreds of millions and possibly Billions of 5 6 dollars in Racketeering monies) to acquire and maintain 7 interests in and/or control of the Enterprise through 8 9 patterns of racketeering (many) as described above, in 10 violation of 18 U.S.C. \$ 1962(b). 11 As a direct/proximate result of the Count III 12 13 violations, by Defendant(s) racketeering patterns and 14 state(s) and/or federal statutory violations, including 15 16 18 U.S.C. \$ 1961 "predicate act" crimes and violations 17 of 18 U.S.C. \$ 1962(b), plaintiff and other victims 18 have been injured over a protracted time period. 19 20 Whereas the harms are continuous to plaintiff's 21 business and property in that multifaceted schemes by 22 23 the Defendant(s), in multidimensional ways harmed this 24 plaintiff's business that was competitor to Defendants 25 in the industry of buying companies, buying claims, 26 27 doing turn arounds and bankruptcies/liquidations. 28

Whereas, even as recent as within a year of the filing of the initial RICO Complaint of October 18, 2013, Defendants did continue to lie, deceive, cheat, engage in grand larceny, Perjury, Retaliation and/or many other state and federal crimes to assure the continued success of the RICO.

Whereas the DE BK Ct, with the abject silence by the Delaware Department of Justice and/or US Trustee's office, did permit the confessed lying MNAT and its partner Werkheiser to continue to prosper in their organized crimes, while concealing massive bankruptcy frauds (specifically the fact that MNAT, Traub/TBF and Barry Gold all have undisclosed connections to Bain/ Kay Bee and sold eToys to Bain/Kay Bee for reduced prices while also having undisclosed connections to Goldman Sachs, while suing Goldman Sachs and now doing a perversion of justice settlement of hundreds of millions of dollars in schemes and artifices to defraud a public company and bankruptcy estates - while acting as if in good faith and settling with Goldman Sachs for only \$7.5 million and giving some of that to Traub)!

Haas v Romney "2nd Amended Complaint" - January 30, 2014 - Page 207

Whereas the Defendant(s) and/or their coconspirators did succeed in having plaintiff unlawfully and permanently expunged from the DE BK Ct to seek any redress of grievances.

Doing such expunging after the surreptitious way in which plaintiff's Motion of October 24, 2012 was by the DE BK Ct Clerk withheld from the public docket record to make sure the information in there did not inform the media and the public that Romney was a RICO "boss" who sought to be President of the United States. So that the Racketeers might get the "friendly" United States Attorney General they needed to assure the full and complete - many decades of success - of the RICO. Wherefore plaintiff requests that this court do enter judgments and/or declaratory/injunctive relief by a trial by jury and as deemed necessary "sua sponte" by the court to effectuate justice.

This includes plaintiff's prayers for relief as mentioned above, including requests for actual damages of the estimated \$3.7 million stolen in 2001, plus the penalties and interest thereof = tripled.

Whereas that amount in the tens of millions also be separate of the money, salaries and/or business profits of plaintiff's business in subsequent years over eToys, including the monies plaintiff's business would be entitled to, treble, for the continued growth of this plaintiff's business.

Whereas litigant did halt plans to defraud ToyTime in Ohio in 2000; and - even with racketeers all around plaintiff/CLI, litigant was still able to compel Bain/ while Romney was still CEO, to pay tens of millions of dollars.

This continued success to get greater returns for Creditors against such powerhouses would have obviously continued to grow and rise plaintiff's business in the demand of creditors to get greater returns.

Especially if the Racketeering Defendants hadn't used their unjust enrichments to get inside companies like Mattel - via the TLCo massive loss merger - where the Defendant(s) undue influences was also able to force the early retirement of the Chairman of the Creditors Committee from Mattel.

Haas v Romney "2nd Amended Complaint" - January 30, 2014 - Page 209

1

May it please the court that justice be done in a manner equal to poor and rich alike; and that the RICO Defendant(s) learn that there is no one above the Law!

This court could start today, by removing Barry Gold from his criminal throne chair over eToys and placing plaintiff back where the DE BK Ct originally court ordered him to be. That would be the beginning and would also send a clear message that the heretofore federal corruption has no way to exist any longer.

It would also send a message to the communities of the legal profession that "good ole boys" groups can't back a court into permanent duplicity by perpetration of frauds on the court (claims that an OOPs transpired as a single aberrant act of bad faith behavior) - to where every legal firm, scholar and mind become too afraid of being retaliated upon by the strength and power and undue influence reach of the RICO.

Plaintiff's position in this instant case is one of legal superiority and Defendant(s) positions are that of RICO criminals' house of cards stacked too high and has become untenable! If the Law is too be applied!

Haas v Romney "2nd Amended Complaint" - January 30, 2014 - Page 210

FOURTH Claim for Relief - COUNT IV 1 2 (Conspiracy to Expand the RICO Enterprising 3 In Violation of 18 USC \$ 1962(d)) 4 (Against ALL RICO Defendants) 5 6 Plaintiff realleges and incorporates herein by 7 reference, every and each foregoing paragraph of this 8 9 "2nd Amended" Civil RICO Complaint, as if all above is 10 set forth here fully and completely. 11 During all relevant times pertaining to this case, 12 13 plaintiff is a person within the meaning of 18 U.S.C. 14 \$\$ 1961(3) and 1962(c). 15 16 At all times relevant, each/every RICO Defendant, 17 including John/Jane Doe's to be named later, are a 18 person within the meaning of 18 U.S.C. \$\$ 1961(3) & 19 20 1962(c). 21 Romney's Gang(s) engage in "Bankruptcy Ring" and/or 22 23 "Corporate Raiding" and/or "Political Election Ring" and/or various 24 types of "Federal Corruption" (including Civil Rights Fed 25 26 venality by "Color of Law") with various "association in fact" 27 units "enterprisingly" harming interest commerce. 28

1

Romney and his co-Defendants are employed and/or "associated" with the "enterprise" that is harming, for many years, "interstate commerce", with Defendants being the "culpable" persons who are doing "patterns" of organized crimes; which are visibly and secretly in violation of multiple state and federal laws, with at least 1 year of prison time, including "predicate acts" "patterns" of "racketeering".

Romney and his co-Defendants were of legitimate positions, entities that have harmed victims and this plaintiff's business.

Specifically, Defendants have in pairs and/or all collectively, lied, cheated, stole, schemed, extorted, perjured, corrupted, colluded, retaliated, bribed, did benefit from federal corruption, of state and federal frauds, bankruptcy fraud and/or other wrongdoings for the sake of unjust enrichment; and harmed plaintiff's business, property and other victims - as Defendants continue to benefit lying, cheating and stealing by criminal designs that obtain fraudulent judgments. Whereas the RICO Defendants have knowingly and willfully, combined, conspired, confederated, schemed by artifices to defraud and agreed together with each other and others to violate 18 U.S.C. \$\$ 1962(a) (b) and (c) as described above, in direct violation of Title 18 United States Code 1962(d).

Specifically, evidence clearly shows, including confessions already within the public docket record of the eToys bankruptcy case (DE Bankr. 01-706 {2001}) that the RICO Defendants knew they were in violation of the Law; and that they have engaged in continued Breaks of Code & Rule of Law to escape their culpability and accountability of gaining unjust enrichments resultant of their law breaking.

Whereas Paul Traub's TBF has already confessed, via his firms "Response" of January 25, 2005 - that TBF did know they were exposed to failure to disclose conflicts of interests concerning the lies under oath about Barry Gold; and that a conscious decision was made by the parties to continue to deceive the Delaware Bankruptcy Court; because their PLAN had succeeded already.

Haas v Romney "2nd Amended Complaint" - January 30, 2014 - Page 213

1

28

1

2

3

4

On February 15, 2005, the United States Trustee, via its Motion to Disgorge (the "Disgorge Motion") did confirm the confessions to "intentional" fraud on the court by Paul Traub's TBF law firm (eToys D.I. 2195 – parts 18, 19 and 35).

Since that time of organized crimes, various RICO Defendants engaged in massive acts of False Oaths/ Declarations, acts of Perjury and further Frauds upon the Court collusive to Obstruct Justice, Retaliate Against Victim/Witness, in many Scheme to Fix Fees and/ or many other "predicate act" 18 U.S.C. \$ 1961 Code & Rule of Law violations to continue their conspiracy for succeeding in their schemes & artifices to defraud.

Additionally, the RICO Defendants, in many schemes separate and collective, have conspired to escape their culpability and accountability in the cases of Stage Stores, TLCO, Kay Bee, FAO Schwartz and eToys, as well as Fingerhut, Tom Petters Ponzi and the schemes to destroy eToys shareholder Robert Alber and plaintiff. As the additional schemes to destroy the eToys public company, fleece the estate and rig the NY Sup Ct case.

Participation by the Defendants separate acts and 1 2 collective acts are part of the RICO's agreed plan to 3 assure continued success of their conspiracy, including 4 federal corruption and the quest for Romney to become 5 6 President of the United States in order to be able to 7 handpick a "friendly" United States Attorney General. 8 9 So elaborate and pervasive are the conspiracies of 10 the RICO Defendants that Defendant Romney brazen and 11 flagrantly had the unmitigated gall to put forth a bold 12 13 face lie to the entire nation, during his POTUS quest, 14 via Romney's Official (signed under Penalty of Perjury) 15 16 Office of Government Ethics 278 Election Campaign 17 Finance Form ("OGE Form 278"). Whereas Romney did state 18 that Mitt had nothing to do with Bain Capital in any 19 20 way whatsoever, after February 11, 1999. In an effort 21 to dodge Romney's culpability and accountability for 22 23 Mitt being the CEO of Bain Capital until August 2001. 24 Then, (akin to Defendants Paul Traub, Barry Gold and MNAT being) 25 26 caught by their own affidavits in one federal issue directly being fully contradicted 27 by their own words in another fed proceeding), with Defendant Romney 28

being "caught" red-handed by documents at the Securities and Exchanges Commission ("SEC") clearly showing Mitt Romney was active as CEO of Bain Capital until (at least) August 2001. Whereas Defendants erroneously did believe that their conspiracy had worked in their plan to destroy all evidence to the contrary (as Romney had done with his Olympic records, Massachusetts Governor computer hard drives and the many co-Defendants schemes to destroy federal estate Books & Records - such as those of eToys).

Defendant Romney then efforts to color over his lies under oath with Bain Capital's Clear Channel Communications 800 radio stations with more than 100 million listeners/audiences (where Bain was able, in part, to acquire Clear Channel by RICO profiteering), doing a planned obfuscation under less direct language of being a "flip flopper" "etch-a-sketcher" and/or "retroactively" retired.

Doing such juxtapose of positions on the issues, as most criminals do, as continuous conspiracy by lies under oath - seeking to dodge culpability for felonies!

Haas v Romney ``2nd Amended Complaint" - January 30, 2014 - Page 216

1

2

Additionally, Romney is also hiding the fact that he was indeed CEO of Bain Capital during the massive organized crimes spree era of 1999 to 2001, where the TLCo, Kay Bee, Stage Stores and eToys frauds beginning. Furthermore, Romney and his co-Defendants have all conspired to benefit from federal corruption by MNAT being able to arrange for one of its partner's (Colm Connolly) to become the Delaware United States Attorney - where Defendant Colm Connolly abused his position of public's trust - to aid and abet the organized crimes! Defendants have collectively and/or separately benefited from agreed to conduct to participate direct and indirect, in the conduct, management, or operation of the Enterprising efforts and affairs through many patterns of racketeering activity in violation of Title 18 United States Code Section 1962(a) (b) and (c). Doing such conspiratorial schemes and artifices to defraud by also reinvesting the RICO profits in efforts to expand the scope, breadth and power of the RICO. This also includes many Defendants utilizing money to back Romney's POTUS quest.

Haas v Romney "2nd Amended Complaint" - January 30, 2014 - Page 217

2 3 4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

28

Whereas, each RICO Defendant knew about and agreed to facilitate the Enterprise's scheme to obtain more property, profit, undue influences and power to assure continued success of the Racketeers Enterprise.

Defendants have intentionally conspired and agreed to directly and indirectly utilize, use, invest their unjust enrichment income, derived from a pattern of racketeering, over protracted periods of time, into interstate corruptive enterprising to acquire and/or maintain interests in the enterprise through a pattern of racketeering activeness, and conduct and participate in the conduct of the affairs of the enterprise through conspired patterns of "predicate act" law breaking.

Defendants know that their felony violations are a part of a collusive plan of activity and agreed to do anything necessary to assure their ability to Obstruct Justice, Destroy Evidence, Retaliated Against Victim/ Witnesses and further acts/commissions that will be clearly evident and convincingly documented at trial. These acts, including time/date stamped Mail/Wire Fraud lies constitute a conspiracy.

24

25

26

27

28

(including the Dept. of Justice's Office of Legal Policy Resume of Colm F Connolly) - that provides proof that the RICO conspiracy is in violation of 18 U.S.C. \$\$ 1961, 1962 fully and 1964(c), serving as proof of the RICO Defendants being in violation of 18 USC \$ 1962(d). As a direct and proximate result of the Defendants

RICO Enterprise efforts of conspiracy, their overt acts taken in furtherance of that conspiracy; and violations of 18 U.S.C. \$ 1962(d), many victims and plaintiff have been injured. Including damage, material adverse harm of litigant's business, property and career. Including damage to plaintiff's reputation, goodwill, impairing of complainant's ability to do business, execute any contracts (especially in the toy industry and/or the bankruptcy court's) and the Scheme to Fix Fees by many of the Defendants to pay each other unjust enrichments while also Retaliating against plaintiff as a victim/ witness and whistleblower, by stealing CLI fees.

No one of good faith believes the Defendants bogus and wholly absurd premise that plaintiff simply and totally "waived" CLI's fees and expenses in eToys (estimated to be \$3.7 million).

Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. \$ 1964(c), plaintiff is then allowed to recover treble damages above fees and cost from the RICO Defendants.

Plaintiff is also further entitled to, and does hereby request, a Jury Trial, to determine the amounts almost incalculable that litigant is entitled to as a result of the RICO harm of plaintiff's business.

Litigant also prayers for relief, including actual damages, plus penalties and interest, tripled, above fees and cost, against the RICO Defendants; and their various accounts, assigns, Off Shore and domestic holdings; including "Blind Trusts" and/or other things. Whereas plaintiff is also entitled to, and should be awarded, preliminary and permanent injunctions that enjoins the RICO Defendants and/or any co-conspirators,

and/or their assigns, agents, radio stations and/or anyone else (including rogue fed agents) from acting in

Haas v Romney "2nd Amended Complaint" - January 30, 2014 - Page 220

1

concert with Defendants schemes and artifices that seek to defraud plaintiff and other parties. Including the law firms of Ropes & Gray, Morgan Lewis, Epstein Becker and Green, Pomerantz, Wachtel & Masyr, Frederick Rosner, Saul Ewing, Sherman Sterling, Howard Elman and/ or parties working in concert like (possibly) Johann Hamerski, Adam Bronin and/or any other person. To make sure that such parties, including John/Jane Doe's 1 thru 10, and/or Roberta DeAngelis, Mark Kenney, Colm Connolly plus other parties from engaging in acts that assist, aid, abed the efforts to stop this case info from being seen by the public, the courts and/or any parties of interest.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Furthermore, all those named parties, plus the RICO Defendants and/or any other co-conspirator known and/or unknown, from commencing, prosecuting, or advancing in any way whatsoever - other than coming to trial at this court - including commencing actions in the Delaware Bankruptcy Court, any attempt directly and/or indirect - for any attempt to stymie, obstruct, thwart and/or try this case elsewhere by attacks upon plaintiff.

1	<u>FIFTH Claim for Relief</u> - COUNT V
2	(FRAUD)
3	(Against ALL RICO Defendants)
4	(
5	Plaintiff realleges and incorporates herein by
6 7	reference, every and each foregoing paragraph of this
8	` 2^{nd} Amended" Civil RICO Complaint, as if all above is
9	set forth here fully and completely.
10 11	During all relevant times pertaining to this case,
12	plaintiff is a person within the meaning of 18 U.S.C.
13 14	\$\$ 1961(3) and 1962(c).
15	At all times relevant, each/every RICO Defendant,
16	including John/Jane Doe's to be named later, are a
17 18	person within the meaning of 18 U.S.C. $\$$ 1961(3) &
19	1962(c).
20 21	Romney's Gang(s) engage in " <i>Bankruptcy Ring"</i> and/or
22	"Corporate Raiding" and/or "Political Election Ring" and/or various
23 24	types of "Federal Corruption" (including Civil Rights Fed
25	venality by "Color of Law") with various "association in fact"
26 27	units " <i>enterprisingly"</i> harming this plaintiff's business and
28	interest commerce.

Romney and his co-Defendants are employed and/or "associated" with the "enterprise" that is harming, for many years, "interstate commerce", with Defendants being the "culpable" persons who are doing "patterns" of organized crimes; which are visibly and secretly in violation of multiple state and federal laws, with at least 1 year of prison time, including "predicate acts" "patterns" of "racketeering".

Romney and his co-Defendants were of legitimate positions, entities that have harmed victims and this plaintiff's business.

Specifically, Defendants have in pairs and/or all collectively, lied, cheated, stole, schemed, extorted, perjured, corrupted, colluded, retaliated, bribed, did benefit from federal corruption, of state and federal frauds, bankruptcy fraud and/or other wrongdoings for the sake of unjust enrichment; and harmed plaintiff's business, property and other victims - as Defendants continue to benefit lying, cheating and stealing by criminal designs that obtain fraudulent judgments.

Defendants and their agents and/or assigns and/or co-conspirators have knowingly misrepresented, omitted, and/or concealed material facts in their pleadings and representations before various State and/or United States Federal Courts. Whereas their communications and /or filings to state and/or federal government agents, agencies, judges and officials were materially false, deceptive, obstructive and more.

RICO Defendants have fostered schemes & artifices to defraud private and/or public companies and/or many federal bankruptcy estates; plus the SEC.

Patently false and/or misleading/deceptive items and issues were presented to official bodies for the purposes of unjust enrichment, veiled agendas, grand larceny, Schemes to Fix Fees, obstruction of justice, Retaliation to do such things as hide conflicts of interests and perpetrate frauds upon the court - whilst doing frauds against parties of interests.

Victims include U.S. Post Office, state/federal revenue agencies, landlords, shareholders, employees and/or whistleblowers such as this plaintiff.

Each and every Defendant has personally engaged in bad faith conduct and/or knew and/or should have known that the other Defendants were breaking the law in an organized criminal fashion, corrupting interstate commerce by patterns of racketeering for many years. All the Defendants and/or co-conspirators benefit from the deceptive practices, including acts of Mail/ Wire Fraud, Bribery and Federal Corruption. Defendants false representations are detailed much, throughout this "2nd Amended Complaint" and includes vast False oaths/Declarations and/or acts of Perjury such as the many times some Defendants schemed to make sure that eToys shareholders (like Robert Alber) were denied their Civil Right to have an equity committee and counsel, as permitted by Law; due to the lies and babbling banter obfuscating that those innocent parties of interest had their rights protected by the RICO Defendants who had lied to a Chief Federal Justice in order to become court approved counsels.

Upon the success of the acts of Perjury obtaining court orders for approval; clients were betrayed!

Haas v Romney "2nd Amended Complaint" - January 30, 2014 - Page 225

Additionally, no one one G-d's green earth would believe the incongruous premise proffered by MNAT's forgery of the "Haas Affidavit" (eToys D.I. 816) that the RICO Defendants claim was a waiver by Laser Haas (plaintiff) of all of CLI and litigant's rights to be compensated in the eToys case (estimated \$3.7 million dollars in 2001). There was NO "quid pro quo"!

Furthermore, these lies and deceits and frauds were proffered through many appeals to the Federal District Court and Third Circuit Court.

Defendants continue to make these representations that are erroneous, knowing that their falsities are materially false and/or that their omissions of certain details (such as the fact that MNAT/Werkheiser/Connolly and Barry Gold and Paul Traub having direct links to Romney/Glazer and Bain) - would proffer further adverse material harm upon many victims and plaintiff; at the direct and/or indirect benefit of the RICO Defendants at large. Including unjust enrichment of Bain, while Romney was still CEO; and he has confessed receiving millions each year from Bain.

Defendants misrepresentations and/or omissions was with the intent to gain favorable rulings and/or future benefit/rewards; and quite possible to aid/abet Romney to become President of the United States so that the corruption of the Dept. of Justice that was already working for the Defendants, via Colm Connolly - might be advanced to a much larger scale once Romney became POTUS and picked a "friendly" U.S. Attorney General (perhaps even a Colm Connolly one - and a Paul Traub and/or an associate thereof as DOJ Deputy Director over the EOUST).

Having successfully proffered the RICO Defendants misrepresentations, the bad faith parties are now able to further stymie justice under the pretense that "no one has given an ounce of attention to plaintiff's allegations thus far - and hence no one will".

Whereas every FBI, SEC, DOJ, Public Integrity Section, US Trustee, President's Corporate Fraud Task Force, OIG, SEC, OPR, OSC, OGE and the Los Angeles, CA Public Corruption Task Force are having investigations quashed, stymied and rebuked due to Defendants Frauds!

As a direct, proximate and obvious/foreseeable result of this RICO's Defendants' frauds, plaintiff and his business have been harmed. Including significant pecuniary, reputational and other prominent damages.

Plaintiff's injuries, as a result of Defendants many acts of fraud, include cash flow depletions, and/ or goodwill harms, attorneys' fees and cost to effort to obtain justice as a result of the profuse schemes of the Defendants to destroy plaintiff's business for the sake of fraudulent gains, fraudulent conveyances and to foster the strength, scope, depth, power and undue influence of the RICO.

Defendants harm upon plaintiff's business has been willful, malicious, by many wrongful/law breaking acts and fraudulent commissions. The amount of unbridled reprehensible and outrageous nature of these acts of lies, deceits, grand larceny and federal corruption are so brazen, flagrant and blatant that Defendants know no boundaries of remorse or relent. Their schemes and artifices to defraud will obviously remain continuous, unless reigned in immediately! Plaintiff is entitled to injunctive relief, and also should be awarded punitive damages against each and every one of the Defendants.

Furthermore, litigant is entitled to preliminary and permanent injunctions against Defendants.

Complainant is also entitled to treble damages above fees and costs. Wherefore this plaintiff prays the court set forth proper judgment after the trial by jury.

SIXTH Claim for Relief - COUNT VI

(Tortious Interference With Contract)

(Against ALL RICO Defendants)

Plaintiff realleges and incorporates herein by reference, every and each foregoing paragraph of this "2nd Amended" Civil RICO Complaint, as if all above is set forth here fully and completely.

During all relevant times pertaining to this case, plaintiff is a person within the meaning of 18 U.S.C. \$\$ 1961(3) and 1962(c).

At all times relevant, each/every RICO Defendant, including John/Jane Doe's to be named later, are a

person within the meaning of 18 U.S.C. \$\$ 1961(3) & 1962(c).

Romney's Gang(s) engage in "Bankruptcy Ring" and/or "Corporate Raiding" and/or "Political Election Ring" and/or various types of "Federal Corruption" (including Civil Rights Fed venality by "Color of Law") with various "association in fact" units "enterprisingly" harming this plaintiff's business and interest commerce.

Romney and his co-Defendants are employed and/or "associated" with the "enterprise" that is harming, for many years, "interstate commerce", with Defendants being the "culpable" persons who are doing "patterns" of organized crimes; which are visibly and secretly in violation of multiple state and federal laws, with at least 1 year of prison time, including "predicate acts" "patterns" of "racketeerina".

Romney and his co-Defendants were of and in place in legitimate positions and entities that became corrupt Enterprise and have harmed victims and this plaintiff's business.

Haas v Romney "2nd Amended Complaint" - January 30, 2014 - Page 230

1

2

Specifically, Defendants have in pairs and/or all collectively, lied, cheated, stole, schemed, extorted, perjured, corrupted, colluded, retaliated, bribed, did benefit from federal corruption, of state and federal frauds, bankruptcy fraud and/or other wrongdoings for the sake of unjust enrichment; and harmed plaintiff's business, property and other victims - as Defendants continue to benefit lying, cheating and stealing by criminal designs that obtain fraudulent judgments.

Defendants and their agents and/or assigns and/or co-conspirators have knowingly misrepresented, omitted, and/or concealed material facts in their pleadings and representations before various State and/or United States Federal Courts. Whereas their communications and /or filings to state and/or federal government agents, agencies, judges and officials were materially false, deceptive, obstructive and more.

Defendants are aware, being that MNAT/Werkheiser, Traub's TBF and Barry Gold drafted them, of the two (2) CLI contracts in the eToys case; which guarantees legal fees and Indemnifies plaintiff from Defendants frauds.

Haas v Romney "2nd Amended Complaint" - January 30, 2014 - Page 231

Whereas MNAT, Werkheiser as a partner of, along with Paul Traub and his TBF firm, and local counsel's Frederick Rosner in Delaware and/or Howard Steinberg of the eToys Irell & Manella firm in California, along with Barry Gold and Xroads LLC Ellen Gordon and their co-conspirator Richard Cartoon were all part of the RICO Defendants benefit in drafting the two (2) CLI contracts for eToys and the two (2) DE BK Ct Orders approving CLI to be engaged as the Liquidation Consult of the eToys bankruptcy case for the sake of "maximize of returns at minimum expense". Whereas all issues of ambiguity are upon the part of the drafters, as a ubiquitous protocol of law. Whereas both CLI contracts guarantee CLI's legal fees; and did (originally) provide that MNAT (and thus Werkheiser) would be the DE BK Ct approved "assistance of [eToys] Debtor's counsel" to supplicate plaintiff's/ CLI paperwork to the DE BK Ct for payment processing.

Whereas, it is now obvious that the cajole of the eToys Creditors Chairman and plaintiff to have MNAT submit CLI's paperwork was a premeditated scheme.

1

WHEREAS, MNAT/Werkheiser, along with Barry Gold, Paul Traub's many firms and other co-Defendants and/or co-conspirators have proffered that plaintiff's CLI contracts were made void, as a direct result of the forgery submitted by MNAT's many attorneys who falsely and incredulously claim that a "Haas Affidavit" (eToys D.I. 816) is a complete "waiver" by plaintiff of all of CLI's fees and expenses (estimated to be \$3.7 million in 2001 alone).

Additionally, each and every time since January 2002, Defendants have lied, cheated, omitted, deceived and engaged in bad faith conduct to make sure that CLI and/or plaintiff were never further compensated.

Furthermore, Defendants never served notice of this forgery now known as the "Haas Affidavit"; which the RICO Defendants claim is a complete "waiver". A premise so utterly bogus, the very forgery in question doesn't even stipulate what the Racketeers claim it says. Where the "Haas Affidavit" is only a two (2) page document and it states in Items 10 & 11 thereof, the conditions upon which plaintiff/CLI can be compensated.

Haas v Romney "2nd Amended Complaint" - January 30, 2014 - Page 233

1

even after Romney lost his POTUS quest. Whereas this plaintiff did put forth a Motion to the DE BK Ct on October 24, 2012; which was surreptitiously withheld from the public docket record (in an obvious attempt to make sure that Romney's POTUS guest wouldn't be harmed by the facts therein) - and then docketed on November 6, 2012 (once the Romney loss

These schemes by the RICO Defendants demonstrate the profuse and overwhelming power of the Racketeers.

Including the fact that Defendants MNAT/Werkheiser then were permitted to snatch away this plaintiff's hearing in eToys and made it as if it were MNAT's own.

Then MNAT/Werkheiser lied under oath, while being deceptive/omitting the fact that MNAT, as eToys Debtor counsel, sold out its clients interest to the much more lucrative (secret) clients of Goldman Sachs and Bain.

Whereas MNAT did negotiate the sale prices of eToys bankruptcy estate to lower amounts at direct, material adverse harm of MNAT's court approved client eToys.

Even now, just in 2013, RICO Defendants Barry Gold, 1 MNAT/Werkheiser and Paul Traub have further engaged in acts of fraud, deceit, lies under oath, Breaches of Fiduciary Duty (betraying their court approved clients trusts) in the open, flagrant, brazen and totally 100% illegal settlement of the NY Sup. Ct case of eToys (renamed ebc1) v Goldman Sachs (case # 601805/2002). This most recent, unjust enrichment and totally

bogus settlement by MNAT (who has confessed it is the DE law firm for Goldman Sachs), where MNAT and Barry Gold sign a settlement for only \$7.5 million of the Goldman Sachs hundreds of millions of dollars of eToys frauds; is also further compounded with another fraud upon plaintiff in a Scheme to Fix Fee.

Whereas MNAT and Barry Gold are signing a settle agreement that is illegal for them to sign; which is giving Paul Traub monies.

MNAT/ Werkheiser can't sign and/or be involved in anything of eToys to do with Goldman Sachs.

In similar fashion, Barry Gold as PLAN Administrate is forbidden to have Transactions with Related Persons!

Haas v Romney "2nd Amended Complaint" - January 30, 2014 - Page 235

Barry Gold and Paul Traub are partners; it simply doesn't get any more RELATED than that.

Judgments against plaintiff, brought though by the courts should be expunged and stricken from the record! Defendants have almost always acted in extreme bad faith, and readily perceivable as doing so in a planned fashion (fraud upon many courts).

As a matter of fact, the RICO strength is so strong and powerful that plaintiff's own counsel (Heiman) did actually email a Traub TBF firm threat to plaintiff to "back off" or this pursuer of justice would have his career destroyed (which has happened), that the RICO Defendants could make sure plaintiff and his CLI would not get paid (and such is transpiring) and/or that worse would happen (such as abduction of daughter).

As a direct, proximate and obvious/foreseeable result of this RICO's Defendants' Tortious Interference with plaintiff's CLI contracts as further acts of frauds, plaintiff and his business have been harmed. Including significant pecuniary, reputational and other prominent damages.

Haas v Romney "2nd Amended Complaint" - January 30, 2014 - Page 236

1

Plaintiff's injuries, as a result of Defendants many acts of fraud, include cash flow depletions, and/ or goodwill harms, attorneys' fees and cost to effort to obtain justice as a result of the profuse schemes of the Defendants to destroy plaintiff's business for the sake of fraudulent gains, fraudulent conveyances and to foster the strength, scope, depth, power and undue influence of the RICO.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

28

Defendants harm upon plaintiff's business has been willful, malicious, by many wrongful/law breaking acts and fraudulent commissions. The amount of unbridled reprehensible and outrageous nature of these acts of lies, deceits, grand larceny and federal corruption are so brazen, flagrant and blatant that Defendants know no boundaries of remorse or relent. Their schemes and artifices to defraud will obviously remain continuous, unless reigned in immediately!

Plaintiff is entitled to injunctive relief, and also should be awarded punitive damages against each and every one of the Defendants. 1962(c). Haas v Romney "2nd Amended Complaint" - January 30, 2014 - Page 238

and permanent injunctions against Defendants. Complainant is also entitled to treble damages above fees and costs. Wherefore this plaintiff prays the court set forth proper judgment after the trial by jury. SEVENTH Claim for Relief - COUNT VII (Unjust Enrichment) (Against ALL RICO Defendants) Plaintiff realleges and incorporates herein by reference, every and each foregoing paragraph of this "2nd Amended" Civil RICO Complaint, as if all above is set forth here fully and completely.

During all relevant times pertaining to this case, plaintiff is a person within the meaning of 18 U.S.C. \$\$ 1961(3) and 1962(c).

At all times relevant, each/every RICO Defendant, including John/Jane Doe's to be named later, are a person within the meaning of 18 U.S.C. \$\$ 1961(3) &

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1

Furthermore, litigant is entitled to preliminary

Romney's Gang(s) engage in "Bankruptcy Ring" and/or "Corporate Raiding" and/or "Political Election Ring" and/or various types of "Federal Corruption" (including Civil Rights Fed venality by "Color of Law") with various "association in fact" units "enterprisingly" harming this plaintiff's business and interest commerce.

Romney and his co-Defendants are employed and/or "associated" with the "enterprise" that is harming, for many years, "interstate commerce", with Defendants being the "culpable" persons who are doing "patterns" of organized crimes; which are visibly and secretly in violation of multiple state and federal laws, with at least 1 year of prison time, including "predicate acts" "patterns" of "racketeering".

Romney and his co-Defendants were of and in place in legitimate positions and/or entities that became the /a *corrupt* Enterprise and have harmed victims and this plaintiff's business.

Specifically, Defendants have in pairs and/or all collectively, lied, cheated, stole, schemed, extorted,

perjured, corrupted, colluded, retaliated, bribed, did benefit from federal corruption, of state and federal frauds, bankruptcy fraud and/or other wrongdoings for the sake of unjust enrichment; and harmed plaintiff's business, property and other victims - as Defendants continue to benefit lying, cheating and stealing by criminal designs that obtain fraudulent judgments.

Defendants and their agents and/or assigns and/or co-conspirators have knowingly misrepresented, omitted, and/or concealed material facts in their pleadings and representations before various State and/or United States Federal Courts. Whereas their communications and /or filings to state and/or federal government agents, agencies, judges and officials were materially false, deceptive, obstructive and more.

Defendants have collectively and/or separately been (at least) part of billions of dollars in schemes of (at the barest of minimums) against victims of Mattel/ TLCo merger, Stage Stores, Kay Bee, FAO Schwartz and eToys.com; without showing any signs of relent.

Haas v Romney "2nd Amended Complaint" - January 30, 2014 - Page 240

1

1

It is readily apparent, as the recent erroneous pretending settlement by some of the Defendants with other Defendants (specifically the eToys case and MNAT, Barry Gold and Paul Traub settling litigation of eToys with Goldman Sachs in the New York Supreme Court) that Defendants have been and will continue to be unjustly enriched by acts, lies, deceits, omissions, Scheme to Fix Fees, many 18 U.S.C. \$ 1961 "predicate acts" in order to obtain bad faith gains, due to judgments that are approved by various courts as a result of Frauds Upon the Court by Officers of the Court.

As is iterated by the eToys.com October 4, 2005 "*Opinion"* of the DE BK Ct, it would be improper to reward conflicted attorneys and punish a plaintiff. And, yet, such is exactly what is transpiring in this case.

Any property, money, rights, powers and influences that Defendants have gained thus far, are a direct and/ or indirect result of Defendants' tortious, illegal and lies under oath, fraud on the court/fraudulent conduct, as set for above hereof, including expunging plaintiff/ CLI eToys claim for payment (as per the MNAT forgery)!

Well established is the ubiquitous standards as per In re Hazel Atlas Glass Supra and In re Middleton Arms Supra. Whereas there are NO statute of limitations of issues of fraud upon the court by officers approved by a court to practice before it; and ANY failure of an attorney at law to disclose conflicts of interests are grounds for immediate disgualification from cases.

Pervasive as principal of equity, for the sake of the good order of society and the need to arrest and/ all organized criminal efforts permeating in systemic and incestuous fashion in state and federal courts; the abundant need is established to halt the conscience shocking behavior. Whereas this court can readily, in good conscience, mandate to prevent Defendants from reaping multi-billion dollar bonanzas/dividends and/or any benefits arising out of the extensively heinous and egregious frauds upon various courts thus far.

Defendants fraudulent behavior, erroneous contends and fraudulent litigations, by and among their Schemes to Fix Fees and Retaliations gaining unjust rulings against victims and plaintiff; must be remedied.

May it please the court to put an end to all the insane and inane acts pervasive in this case? Doing so by preliminary and permanent injunctions against the Defendants that arrests the bad faith efforts by all the RICO Defendants, their assignees, agents, law firms (such as Sullivan & Cromwell, Ropes & Gray and MNAT), and/or any co-conspirator, associated party and/or all/ anyone else acting in concert with and/or for any/all of the Defendants needs for success, escape of their culpability/accountability.

Including preliminary and permanent injunctions against Region 3 UST Roberta DeAngelis and/or her trial attorney Mark Kenney.

Also, the (purported) settlement of the NY Sup Ct case of eToys (ebc1) v Goldman Sachs by MNAT, Barry Gold and/for Paul Traub should be restrained; and the NY Sup Ct should be "officially" notified of the bad faith acts transpiring within its system.

Wherefore the harm to plaintiff's business is direct and proximate as a result of the unlawful acts of the Defendants, including Romney's Campaign lies.

Litigant is entitled, as permitted part of the RICO 1 2 act and other laws, to damages treble, which are well 3 beyond \$75,000; above all fees and costs. 4 Whereas, plaintiff prays for the judgments of this 5 6 court, during and after a trial by jury, as set forth 7 above and below, in the interest of justice! 8 9 EIGTH Claim for Relief - COUNT VIII 10 (Trespass to Chattels) 11 (Against ALL RICO Defendants) 12 13 Plaintiff realleges and incorporates herein by 14 reference, every and each foregoing paragraph of this 15 "2nd Amended" Civil RICO Complaint, as if all above is 16 17 set forth here fully and completely. 18 During all relevant times pertaining to this case, 19 20 plaintiff is a person within the meaning of 18 U.S.C. 21 \$\$ 1961(3) and 1962(c). 22 23 At all times relevant, each/every RICO Defendant, 24 including John/Jane Doe's to be named later, are a 25 person within the meaning of 18 U.S.C. \$\$ 1961(3) & 26 27 1962(c). 28

Romney's Gang(s) engage in "Bankruptcy Ring" and/or "Corporate Raiding" and/or "Political Election Ring" and/or various types of "Federal Corruption" (including Civil Rights Fed venality by "Color of Law") with various "association in fact" units "enterprisingly" harming this plaintiff's business and interest commerce.

Romney and his co-Defendants are employed and/or "associated" with the "enterprise" that is harming, for many years, "interstate commerce", with Defendants being the "culpable" persons who are doing "patterns" of organized crimes; which are visibly and secretly in violation of multiple state and federal laws, with at least 1 year of prison time, including "predicate acts" "patterns" of "racketeering".

Romney and his co-Defendants were of and in place in legitimate positions and/or entities that became the /a *corrupt* Enterprise and have harmed victims and this plaintiff's business.

Specifically, Defendants have in pairs and/or all collectively, lied, cheated, stole, schemed, extorted,

perjured, corrupted, colluded, retaliated, bribed, did benefit from federal corruption, of state and federal frauds, bankruptcy fraud and/or other wrongdoings for the sake of unjust enrichment; and harmed plaintiff's business, property and other victims - as Defendants continue to benefit lying, cheating and stealing by criminal designs that obtain fraudulent judgments.

Defendants and their agents and/or assigns and/or co-conspirators have knowingly misrepresented, omitted, and/or concealed material facts in their pleadings and representations before various State and/or United States Federal Courts. Whereas their communications and /or filings to state and/or federal government agents, agencies, judges and officials were materially false, deceptive, obstructive and more.

Defendants have collectively and/or separately been (at least) part of billions of dollars in schemes of (at the barest of minimums) against victims of Mattel/ TLCo merger, Stage Stores, Kay Bee, FAO Schwartz and eToys.com; without showing any signs of relent. Doing such crimes while also destroying any competition.

Haas v Romney "2nd Amended Complaint" - January 30, 2014 - Page 246

1

Whereas, as set forth above, the RICO Defendants have engaged in patters of conspiracy, deceit, lies to the courts as officers of the court, collusion, doings of great wrongs, with the intent of unjust enrichment and premeditated and opportunistic intent to interfere with plaintiff's property.

Whereas Defendants have benefited in the continued success of their criminal enterprise, by plots, ploys, and lies upon the courts to obtain fraudulent judgments such as the MNAT forgery of the "Haas Affidavit" that many of the Defendants have rallied around and claimed was a complete waiver of plaintiff/CLI's eToys fees. Through these acts of lies under oath, frauds upon the court (by officers of the court), Defendants false prosecution of bogus litigation premises to remove plaintiff and his court approved CLI from eToys.com

bankruptcy case. Doing so by Defendants manufacture of false evidences, retaliation, extortion and intimidates of victims/witnesses, with misleading and/or omissions that disseminate erroneous contentions to the public, the courts and federal officials; results in injustice.

Haas v Romney "2nd Amended Complaint" - January 30, 2014 - Page 247

1

Whereas, Defendants have campaigned to stop this plaintiff from being a competitor and/or fiduciary that will not take Bribes to become part of the RICO (as apparently, nearly every other Jack Bush, Barry Gold and/or Michael Glazer type executive involved with Bain/Goldman Sachs has done).

As is detailed throughout this 2nd Amended Complaint the Defendants intentionally and without proper reason and/or justification and/or consent, have interfered and harmed plaintiff's business, plus his use of the funds due CLI and litigant from the eToys case; which is part of the schemes & artifices to defraud plaintiff of rights and cash flows that would have assured the continued growth of plaintiff's business/career & CLI.

Obviously, the proffer of MNAT's Haas Affidavit forgery and the incongruous claim that Laser Haas did simply "waive" CLI's rights to compensation in eToys harmed plaintiff's business (of an estimated, at least, \$3.7 million in fees & expenses in 2001).

Such bad faith harmed plaintiff's business, his ability to do contracts, reputation and goodwill.

Haas v Romney "2nd Amended Complaint" - January 30, 2014 - Page 248

Plaintiff has been harmed and the use of property interfered, usurped, upset and disturbed when litigant had his property, resources and funding necessary to be withheld by grand larceny Schemes to Fix Fees and/or Retaliations direct/indirect, as a result of Defendants many schemes and artifices to defraud.

Litigant's rise in the liquidation, Turn Around Managing/Consulting and bankruptcy business was halted as a result of the deprivation of the funds that this plaintiff and his business were rightfully entitled to.

Whereas Defendants Racketeering efforts are so strong, powerful, broad and overwhelming that plaintiff received an email threat from his own counsel (Heiman); and the subsequent counsels all, enigmatically, did then abandon their client. Doing so even when the DE BK Ct approved contracts guaranteed payment of legal fees!

Additionally, Defendants have falsely and bogusly informed the DE BK Ct that there are no issues that the court has yet to address; but MNAT, Traub and Barry Gold have all failed to tell the DE BK Ct about their connections to Bain (selling eToys assets for cheap)! Whereas these lies, schemes, omissions and so forth are done as a continuous effort to assure success of many organized crimes and the demise of plaintiff's business efforts and monies to do further business.

Including the fact that Defendants are continuous in their failure to properly have the courts address the two (2) CLI court approved contracts that not only guarantee legal fees; but also Indemnify plaintiff from the willful misconduct and negligence of Defendants (who are agents/assigns of eToys).

Whereas plaintiff's CLI court approved contracts and two (2) court orders also approve that MNAT was to be counsel to submit plaintiff's/CLI paperwork to the DE BK Ct; and that those two (2) contracts and two (2) court ordered approvals also assure that eToys would Indemnify plaintiff and provide counsel.

Harms upon plaintiff and his business are direct and proximate, and reasonably visible as results of the bad faith, <u>willful misconduct</u>/"gross" negligence of Defendants who have confessed (already) to doing acts of fraud on the court; and have intentionally harmed plaintiff!

Haas v Romney "2nd Amended Complaint" - January 30, 2014 - Page 250

1

1

Due to Defendants never ending efforts in fraud on the courts and/or their malicious, willful, consummate bad faith/fraudulent commissions of wrongful acts, and the many efforts in Retaliation, manifest injustice, omission of facts, degree of reprehensibility and full outrageous nature of their lies/fraud acts, plaintiff is entitled to, and should be awarded, damages treble, including punitive damages against each Defendant.

Litigants is further entitled to and should be awarded a preliminary and permanent injunction that enjoins Defendants, their agents, assigns and/or anyone else acting in concert with them, including the MNAT law firm, Xroads, Frederick Rosner, the Toys Industry, Paul Traub, Barry Gold, Mitt Romney, Goldman Sachs, Bain Capital, Colm Connolly, Michael Glazer and their hence man Johann Hamerski, along with rogue elements inside various federal agencies (such as Region 3 UST Roberta DeAngelis and Mark Kenney and/or Douglas Kelley and/or anyone in Minnesota involved with Fingerhut, including Ted Deikel and his son Andy) from engaging in efforts to stymie justice and assault upon plaintiff.

This also includes any media outlets owned by Bain and/or in partnership with Bain and/or its Clear Channel Communications 800 stations with an estimated audience in excess of 100 million listeners. From doing a campaign to nix the message by assault plaintiff as the messenger/whistle blower about the many acts of manifest injustice thus far pervasive in this case.

Also including an injunction against Bain from doing further acts (like it purportedly did beguiling American Bridge) and/or acts by Romney stalwarts such as attorney Adam Bronin (who has been on a campaign to assist the success of Romney, MNAT and Colm Connolly).

Plaintiff is entitled to treble damages and/or any other thing this court should desire, in the interests of justice, as a result of Trial by Jury, as set forth above and below. Whereas plaintiff prays that it does please the court to stop the insanity, willful misconduct and/or "gross" negligent acts and Breaches of Fiduciary Duties, where Defendants are betraying their court approved clients and their client's trust for the sake of secret (RICO Defendant) associates.

1	<u>NINTH Claim for Relief</u> - COUNT IX
2	(Civil Conspiracy)
3	(Against ALL RICO Defendants)
4	
5	Plaintiff realleges and incorporates herein by
6 7	reference, every and each foregoing paragraph of this
8	"2 nd Amended" Civil RICO Complaint, as if all above is
9	set forth here fully and completely.
10 11	During all relevant times pertaining to this case,
12	plaintiff is a person within the meaning of 18 U.S.C.
13 14	\$\$ 1961(3) and 1962(c).
15	At all times relevant, each/every RICO Defendant,
16	including John/Jane Doe's to be named later, are a
17 18	person within the meaning of 18 U.S.C. $\$$ 1961(3) &
19	1962(c).
20 21	Romney ' s Gang(s) engage in " <i>Bankruptcy Ring"</i> and/or
22	" <i>Corporate Raiding"</i> and/or " <i>Political Election Ring"</i> and/or various
23	types of " <i>Federal Corruption"</i> (including Civil Rights Fed
24	
25	venality by " <i>Color of Law"</i>) with various " <i>association in fact"</i>
26 27	units " <i>enterprisingly"</i> harming this plaintiff's business and
28	interest commerce.

Romney and his co-Defendants are employed and/or "associated" with the "enterprise" that is harming, for many years, "interstate commerce", with Defendants being the "culpable" persons who are doing "patterns" of organized crimes; which are visibly and secretly in violation of multiple state and federal laws, with at least 1 year of prison time, including "predicate acts" "patterns" of "racketeering".

Romney and his co-Defendants were of and in place in legitimate positions and/or entities that became the /a *corrupt* Enterprise and have harmed victims and this plaintiff's business.

Specifically, Defendants have in pairs and/or all collectively, lied, cheated, stole, schemed, extorted, perjured, corrupted, colluded, retaliated, bribed, did benefit from federal corruption, of state and federal frauds, bankruptcy fraud and/or other wrongdoings for the sake of unjust enrichment; and harmed plaintiff's business, property and other victims - as Defendants continue to benefit lying, cheating and stealing by criminal designs that obtain fraudulent judgments.

Defendants and their agents and/or assigns and/or co-conspirators have knowingly misrepresented, omitted, and/or concealed material facts in their pleadings and representations before various State and/or United States Federal Courts. Whereas their communications and /or filings to state and/or federal government agents, agencies, judges and officials were materially false, deceptive, obstructive and more.

Defendants have collectively and/or separately been (at least) part of billions of dollars in schemes of (at the barest of minimums) against victims of Mattel/ TLCo merger, Stage Stores, Kay Bee, FAO Schwartz and eToys.com; without showing any signs of relent.

As iterated above, with many items expressly in detail, the RICO Defendants have engaged in RICO Acts "predicate" and fraud, tortious interference with contract, trespass of chattels for the sake of unjust enrichment and other veiled agendas such as destruction of plaintiff's business, while harming other victims.

28

1

2

3

4

5

Defendants have agreed and/or participated in many common schemes, including the stymie/destruction of this plaintiff's business; doing so intentionally! So doing in furtherance of a plan and/or purpose to obtain property from plaintiff (such as the eToys Scheme to Fix Fees by taking monies unlawfully from eToys and depriving litigant unlawfully, including "predicate acts" of racketeering alleged herein. Doing so over many years. Whereas, Defendants acts were direct and proximate causation of material adverse harm, by conspiracy, of overt acts that are not single aberrant acts of wrong doing behavior; which are part of the torts committed to cause plaintiff's loss of business by collusion, corruption & conspiracy.

Litigant's business is harmed in overwhelming fashion, with plaintiff's property stolen by plots and ploys of unjust enrichment.

It is readily visible that Defendants have benefit by their conspiracy due to wrongful acts of fraud that are willful, including the deliberateness of omitting the fact that Defendants are linked to one another. Plaintiff, as a result of organized crimes wanton acts of brazen, flagrant and blatant unlawfulness, is entitled to be compensated treble damages for the acts of actual harm and litigant should also be awarded punitive damages as the court deems appropriate during the course and conclusion of the jury trial.

This pursuer of justice is also entitled to and should be granted preliminary and permanent injunctions barring the Defendants, their law firms, parties, their agents, assigns, from commencing, prosecuting, and/or advancing in any way - that is direct/indirect - the causes of escaping culpability/accountability by the Defendants & their quest to harm plaintiff's business. The injunctions temporary and permanent should also bar Department of Justice personnel, such as Region 3 UST Roberta DeAngelis and/or her cohort Mark Kenney and /or their cohort, cronies and associates from engaging

Whereas this court should also consider compelling the DOJ UST and/or other agencies to answer for their acts of being remiss given the profuse evidences.

in acts of further protection of the conspiracies.

Haas v Romney "2nd Amended Complaint" - January 30, 2014 - Page 257

Defendants should be compelled to publicly come 1 2 clean of their "association-in-fact", including that of 3 former U.S. Attorney Colm Connolly's failure to reveal 4 his direct links to "targets" of federal investigations 5 6 that he was a partner of and had clients involved. 7 Wherefore plaintiff seeks judgment, in a trial by 8 9 jury, against Defendants as detailed above and noted in 10 further detail below. 11 TENTH Claim for Relief - COUNT X 12 13 (Violations of State Laws With 1 year of Prison time) 14 (Against ALL RICO Defendants) 15 16 Plaintiff realleges and incorporates herein by 17 reference, every and each foregoing paragraph of this 18 "2nd Amended" Civil RICO Complaint, as if all above is 19 20 set forth here fully and completely. 21 During all relevant times pertaining to this case, 22 23 plaintiff is a person within the meaning of 18 U.S.C. 24 \$\$ 1961(3) and 1962(c). 25 At all times relevant, each/every RICO Defendant, 26 27 including John/Jane Doe's to be named later, are a 28

person within the meaning of 18 U.S.C. \$\$ 1961(3) & 1962(c).

Romney's Gang(s) engage in "Bankruptcy Ring" and/or "Corporate Raiding" and/or "Political Election Ring" and/or various types of "Federal Corruption" (including Civil Rights Fed venality by "Color of Law") with various "association in fact" units "enterprisingly" harming this plaintiff's business and interest commerce.

Romney and his co-Defendants are employed and/or "associated" with the "enterprise" that is harming, for many years, "interstate commerce", with Defendants being the "culpable" persons who are doing "patterns" of organized crimes; which are visibly and secretly in violation of multiple state and federal laws, with at least 1 year of prison time, including "predicate acts" "patterns" of "racketeerina".

Romney and his co-Defendants were of and in place in legitimate positions and/or entities that became the /a *corrupt* Enterprise and have harmed victims and this plaintiff's business.

Haas v Romney "2nd Amended Complaint" - January 30, 2014 - Page 259

1

2

Specifically, Defendants have in pairs and/or all collectively, lied, cheated, stole, schemed, extorted, perjured, corrupted, colluded, retaliated, bribed, did benefit from federal corruption, of state and federal frauds, bankruptcy fraud and/or other wrongdoings for the sake of unjust enrichment; and harmed plaintiff's business, property and other victims - as Defendants continue to benefit lying, cheating and stealing by criminal designs that obtain fraudulent judgments.

Defendants and their agents and/or assigns and/or co-conspirators have knowingly misrepresented, omitted, and/or concealed material facts in their pleadings and representations before various State and/or United States Federal Courts. Whereas their communications and /or filings to state and/or federal government agents, agencies, judges and officials were materially false, deceptive, obstructive and more.

Defendants have collectively and/or separately been (at least) part of billions of dollars in schemes of (at the barest of minimums) against victims of Mattel/

Haas v Romney "2nd Amended Complaint" - January 30, 2014 - Page 260

1

TLCo merger, Stage Stores, Kay Bee, FAO Schwartz and eToys.com; without showing any signs of relent.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

As iterated above, with many items expressly in detail, the RICO Defendants have engaged in RICO Acts "predicate" and fraud, tortious interference with contract, trespass of chattels for the sake of unjust enrichment and other veiled agendas such as destruction of plaintiff's business, while harming other victims. Defendants have agreed and/or participated in many common schemes, including the stymie/destruction of this plaintiff's business; doing so intentionally! So doing in furtherance of a plan and/or purpose to obtain property from plaintiff (such as the eToys Scheme to Fix Fees by taking monies unlawfully from eToys and depriving litigant unlawfully, including "predicate acts" of racketeering alleged herein. Doing so over many years. Whereas, Defendants acts were direct and proximate causation of material adverse harm, by conspiracy, of overt acts that are not single aberrant acts of wrong doing behavior; which are part of the torts committed

1 to cause plaintiff's loss of business by collusion, 2 corruption & conspiracy.

Litigant's business is harmed in overwhelming fashion, with plaintiff's property stolen by plots and ploys of unjust enrichment.

It is readily visible that Defendants have benefit by their conspiracy due to wrongful acts of fraud that are willful, including the deliberateness of omitting the fact that Defendants are linked to one another.

On multiple occasions various Defendants have lied, schemed, omitted, deceived, colluded, bribed, cajoled, conspired to deceive, collude, with the intents to deceive parties of interest and various states and/or federal courts for the purpose of protecting, advancing and further unjust enrichment by/of their organized crimes in violation of state and federal laws across the nation.

Including the State Courts in California concerning eToys affairs, TLCo/Mattel and others issues (such as Fingerhut, AOL and Kilroy Reality). Plus the NY Sup Ct case of eToys (ebc1) v Goldman Sachs (#601805/2002).

Haas v Romney "2nd Amended Complaint" - January 30, 2014 - Page 262

Additionally, Defendants have perpetrated many false oaths/declarations and/or affidavits to the DE BK Ct, the Delaware District Court and Third Circuit Ct. In each of those instances the Defendants were in benefit of the various schemes of the Racketeers to lie and cheat the integrity of the judicial processes. Whereas these intentional patterns of collusion, wrongdoings and law breaking, by false oaths, acts of Perjury, conspiracy, Bribery, Scheme to Fix Fees and/or schemes to rig the outcome of cases has harmed many victims and plaintiff's business at direct material adverse harms upon same and/or upon the entire nation (such as Romney's lie to the entire nation by his fed election custom Campaign Finance OGE 278 Form - and most people are not aware that Romney went to a school of law - and is well aware of what he is doing). These willful acts of misconduct, negligence acts, including gross negligence and Breach of Fiduciary Duty

in betraying court approved client's trust, also does violates state laws for fraud, perjury including such things as State Professional Codes of Conduct.

Haas v Romney "2nd Amended Complaint" - January 30, 2014 - Page 263

Whereas there are also many violations of State
 Ethics/Judiciary laws as pertains to attorneys at law.

There are even times, when it does appear that various co-conspirators did practice law in states of where they were not admitted persons of the bar. Such as Paul Traub traveling to Minnesota to work on the Tom Petters issues; and his partner (Susan Balaschak) who met with this plaintiff and Barry Gold in 2001; prior to inserting Barry Gold unlawfully in as the eToys post-bankruptcy petition eToys President/CEO. There's no records that plaintiff has found of Traub/TBF being admitted to the Minnesota and/or California Bar.

Whereas Defendants, including their direct linked lawyers/law firms (such as Bain and Goldman Sachs and Romney's firms of MNAT and Traub's TBF) did engage in unlawful acts of intentional frauds on the court (where Traub's TBF firm confessed such in the eToys case as pointed out by the US Trustee's Disgorge Motion of Feb. 15, 2005 (eToys D.I. 2195) - that is a telltale of the Traub/TBF "Response" of January 25, 2005 confessions of deliberately letting deceiving issues before a court)!

As a result of the deceit, lies, collusions, fraud and other bad faith conducts by the RICO Defendants, this plaintiff has been injured in amounts, damages and such that shall be established at trial (including, but not limited to the estimated amount of \$3.7 million dollars deprived of plaintiff/CLI in 2001).

Plaintiff is entitled to preliminary & permanent injunctive relief and monetary damages against each and every one of the Defendants, including treble damages and should also include punitive damages.

Whereas, plaintiff pray the court for judgment, in a trial by jury, as set forth above and below.

ELEVENTH Claim for Relief - COUNT XI

(Request for Declaratory Judgment that Defendants who obtained their positions of trust by court(s) approval are void "*ab initio*" and that all Judgments obtained by same against Plaintiff are also void "ab initio" and made of no effect & plaintiff be reinstated into eToys)

(Against ALL RICO Defendants)

Plaintiff realleges and incorporates herein by reference, every and each foregoing paragraph of this

"2nd Amended" Civil RICO Complaint, as if all above is 1 2 set forth here fully and completely. 3 During all relevant times pertaining to this case, 4 plaintiff is a person within the meaning of 18 U.S.C. 5 6 \$\$ 1961(3) and 1962(c). 7 At all times relevant, each/every RICO Defendant, 8 9 including John/Jane Doe's to be named later, are a 10 person within the meaning of 18 U.S.C. \$\$ 1961(3) & 11 1962(c). 12 13 Romney's Gang(s) engage in "Bankruptcy Ring" and/or 14 "Corporate Raiding" and/or "Political Election Ring" and/or various 15 16 types of "Federal Corruption" (including Civil Rights Fed 17 venality by "Color of Law") with various "association in fact" 18 19 units "enterprisingly" harming this plaintiff's business and 20 21 interest commerce. 22 Romney and his co-Defendants are employed and/or 23 "associated" with the "enterprise" that is harming, for many 24 25 years, "interstate commerce", with Defendants being the 26 "culpable" persons who are doing "patterns" of organized 27 28 crimes; which are visibly and secretly in violation of

multiple state and federal laws, with at least 1 year
of prison time, including "predicate acts" "patterns" of
"racketeering".

Romney and his co-Defendants were of and in place in legitimate positions and/or entities that became the /a *corrupt* Enterprise and have harmed victims and this plaintiff's business.

Specifically, Defendants have in pairs and/or all collectively, lied, cheated, stole, schemed, extorted, perjured, corrupted, colluded, retaliated, bribed, did benefit from federal corruption, of state and federal frauds, bankruptcy fraud and/or other wrongdoings for the sake of unjust enrichment; and harmed plaintiff's business, property and other victims - as Defendants continue to benefit lying, cheating and stealing by criminal designs that obtain fraudulent judgments.

Defendants and their agents and/or assigns and/or co-conspirators have knowingly misrepresented, omitted, and/or concealed material facts in their pleadings and representations before various State and/or United States Federal Courts. Whereas their communications and /or filings to state and/or federal government agents, agencies, judges and officials were materially false, deceptive, obstructive and more.

Defendants have collectively and/or separately been (at least) part of billions of dollars in schemes of (at the barest of minimums) against victims of Mattel/ TLCo merger, Stage Stores, Kay Bee, FAO Schwartz and eToys.com; without showing any signs of relent.

As iterated above, with many items expressly in detail, the RICO Defendants have engaged in RICO Acts "predicate" and fraud, tortious interference with contract, trespass of chattels for the sake of unjust enrichment and other veiled agendas such as destruction of plaintiff's business, while harming other victims.

Defendants have agreed and/or participated in many common schemes, including the stymie/destruction of this plaintiff's business; doing so intentionally! So doing in furtherance of a plan and/or purpose to obtain property from plaintiff (such as the eToys Scheme to Fix Fees by taking monies unlawfully from eToys and

Haas v Romney "2nd Amended Complaint" - January 30, 2014 - Page 268

depriving litigant unlawfully, including "predicate acts" of racketeering alleged herein. Doing so over many years.

Whereas, Defendants acts were direct and proximate causation of material adverse harm, by conspiracy, of overt acts that are not single aberrant acts of wrong doing behavior; which are part of the torts committed to cause plaintiff's loss of business by collusion, corruption & conspiracy.

Litigant's business is harmed in overwhelming fashion, with plaintiff's property stolen by plots and ploys of unjust enrichment.

It is readily visible that Defendants have benefit by their conspiracy due to wrongful acts of fraud that are willful, including the deliberateness of omitting the fact that Defendants are linked to one another.

Plaintiff is entitled to declaratory judgment if it is not unsuitable, will not increase burdens upon other parties unfairly; because no court heretofore enjoys the right or privilege to impose fraudulent judgments upon this plaintiff.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

Litigant also seeks declaratory judgment that the 1 2 most recent effort of Defendants wrongful settlement of 3 the eToys (ebc1) v Goldman Sachs NY Sup Ct case for a 4 mere \$7.5 million be put on hold. 5 6 Whereas MNAT has confessed that it did put forth at 7 least fifteen (15) erroneous bankruptcy Rule 2014/ 2016 8 9 Affidavits that did lie under oath and concealed the fact MNAT had direct links to Goldman Sachs. WHEREAS Goldman Sachs did take eToys public in 1999 and did push sideways most of the monies of the IPO to associated parties of Goldman Sachs in a pump-n-dump/ Spinning fraud scheme; and MNAT was Goldman Sachs law

firm at the time.

Defendant MNAT, upon the success of the ruse upon the parties of interest and the DE BK Ct, did not only seek and receive permission to Destroy eToys Books and Records; but did also nominate a co-conspirator/ fraud party (Traub's TBF) to be the firm to prosecute the Goldman Sachs entity in the New York Supreme Court.

All the while MNAT, with other Defendants did lie and prevent the eToys shareholders from having counsel.

Haas v Romney "2nd Amended Complaint" - January 30, 2014 - Page 270

Hence, Goldman Sachs sued Goldman Sachs and the loser of the case from the beginning, during and end is the eToys public company and bankruptcy estate and its court approved agent (this plaintiff/CLI).

Defendants schemes have caused lack of impartial tribunals, lack of proper jurisdiction, contravene of public policy, fraud upon the court, failure to act in due process, Breach of Fiduciary Duty, judgments that conflicts with sound logic/reason, including the bad faith adjudication upon the merits.

Whereas the damage to victims and plaintiff is readily visible; and plaintiff is entitled to various multifaceted reliefs, including, but not limited to the Declaratory Judgment, as well as both preliminary and permanent injunctions against Defendants.

Due to the degree of reprehensibility and the vast schemes and artifices to defraud, lie, cheat, steal and conspiracies of the Defendants, plaintiff is entitled to every available remedy, including treble damages and should be granted punitive damages, in accordance with the rules of equitable justice.

Haas v Romney "2nd Amended Complaint" - January 30, 2014 - Page 271

Most importantly, this court can begin the pathway to justice and halting of the organized crimes (at least as far as eToys federal estate is concerned) by doing a proviso that is already federally court okayed as part of the eToys Delaware bankruptcy "Confirmed PLAN" of reorganization.

WHEREAS Barry Gold did sign his Confirmed PLAN Administrator's Declaration to the federal bankruptcy court in Delaware; and Barry Gold stated "Under Penalty Of Perjury" that the PLAN was negotiated in "extensive" arm's length between eToys Debtor and eToys Creditors. Being that such was signed in the fall of 2002 when the Defendants believed they had totally gotten away 100% 'Scot Free' with their organized crimes; but were - INSTEAD - "caught red-handed" in lies under oath to the DE BK Ct and parties of interests in 2004 and did confess to the scheme of Traub's TBF inserting Barry Gold inside eToys - AFTER - the United States Trustee had forewarned them NOT to do that very crime. Where such is not in doubt with the confessions of Responses, Depositions and the March 1, 2005 Traub testimony.

Haas v Romney "2nd Amended Complaint" - January 30, 2014 - Page 272

1

With the further FACT that the UST Disgorge Motion does reiterate the irrefutable issue that Paul Traub's January 25, 2005 Response does admit that TBF was well aware it had exposure do to the conflicting affidavit in the Bonus Stores case; but that Traub's TBF firm consciously decided to allow the lies to stand before the court (thereby confessing to Fraud upon the Court)! Whereas, no court can cavalierly permit deliberate fracturing of the integrity of the judicial process to enjoy liberty and slap on the wrist.

With the additionally compounding issues that Barry Gold's January 25, 2005 Response provided his previous hidden "Hiring Letter" that documents he received pay of \$40,000 at a time as a burden upon eToys; and Traub testified during direct examination by the court during the March 1, 2005 evidence hearing - that his TBF firm paid Barry Gold four (4) payments of \$30,000 each from January 2001 to May 2001. Then those payments stopped once Barry Gold was illegitimately placed inside the eToys estate as post-bankruptcy petition President/CEO.

Hence, it is clearly obvious that the diametric 1 opposed requisite lines mandated by Congress became moot by much schemes, lies and fraud on the court; and those schemes and lies continue where MNAT, Barry Gold and Paul Traub continue to conceal, by many more acts of lies, deceits, omissions, Retaliations and other such Frauds on the Court - their connections to Bain. With the additional fact that the Confirmed PLAN under the ludicrous ruse by the RICO Defendants that Barry Gold is "extensively" arm's length from Traub. A feat that is impossible to accomplish, due to their incestuous relationships many (including the partnering of Traub and Barry Gold in ADA); has clauses in it that allows the Confirmed PLAN Administrator (Barry Gold) settle all claims under \$1 million by only needing the approval of the Creditors (counseled by Paul Traub). Whereas the Confirmed PLAN has the provisos that the Administrator is Forbidden to have Transactions with Related persons.

Thus, as per eToys Confirmed PLAN part 5.2, the PLAN Administrator can be removed for cause!

Haas v Romney "2nd Amended Complaint" - January 30, 2014 - Page 274

Whereas the RICO Defendants "believed" they had gotten totally away 'Scot Free'; and therefore made the eToys PLAN Administrator the sole, 100%, nearly fully autonomous authority over all of eToys issues.

Additionally, most of the toy industry was informed of these skullduggery schemes and artifices to defraud and remained in abject silence due to the fact that Romney's Bain wound up as the number one customer that owns Kay Bee, FAO Schwartz, eToys and more - now all placed under the Toys R Us umbrella. Therefore, almost any and all of the creditors remaining who would vote on Barry Gold's replacement are potentially duplicitous parties culpable and probably to be held accountable.

With the undue influence and power of the RICO Defendants over the DE BK Ct, this case is actually (somewhat) blessed that eToys is a California housed entity of origin; and ebc1 is still here in Southern California. In the same manner that the RICO was able to expunge good faith parties from Minnesota and Doug Kelley then switched to become the Fed Receiver over Petters; that can juxtapose justice back into form. Whereas, the DE BK Ct originally approved this plaintiff and his CLI to be the Liquidation Consultant of eToys; but that effort was usurped by the Racketeers and the insertion of Barry Gold as "wind-down coordinator". Whereas extraordinary steps are also necessary to make sure that the manifest injustice that has been (thus far) prevailing over decency in the Delaware realm of justice, doesn't continue to punish plaintiff - in order to send an underhanded message for persons to not to dare question tyrannical authority assisting manifest injustice to succeed.

Whereas plaintiff prays this court see that the issues at hand are of extraordinary gravity and that the court grant reliefs requested above and below.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

Whereas it is readily established, by confessions already within the federal court docket record that some of the Defendants confessed lying under oath by Bankruptcy Rule 2014/2016 Affidavits (at least thirtythree {33} times) concerning various conflicts of interest that are material.

Haas v Romney "2nd Amended Complaint" - January 30, 2014 - Page 276

Additionally, Traub's TBF admittance included the confession of intentionally deceiving the DE BK Ct.

This serious confession was then further compounded by the UST Disgorge Motion detailing the fact that the parties were forewarned not to do the conflicting act of replacing key executives of eToys with anyone who was connected to the retained professionals of eToys.

Not only did the parties (MNAT, Traub & Barry Gold) ignore the federal police (UST) authoritative forewarn; but they went ahead and did it in secret. Defendants, MNAT, Barry Gold and Traub only came partially clean on the issues; but continue to conceal the massive law breaking issues of their direct links to Bain Capital. Whereas MNAT as Debtor's counsel of eToys, along with Traub as eToys Creditors attorney, both did gain their court approval by these bogus affidavits; and did then usurp this plaintiff's court approved executive position in eToys - with the unlawful insertion of Barry Gold inside eToys as the post-bankruptcy petition filing President and CEO of Debtor eToys. Defendants

then ramped up their schemes & artifices to defraud.

Haas v Romney "2nd Amended Complaint" - January 30, 2014 - Page 277

Bribes were offered and taken, such as Barry Gold getting \$10,000 extra above the \$30,000 at a time that Traub's TBF was paying Barry Gold as he transitioned from Defendant Romney's Stage Stores, working as the director's assistant, where Defendant Glazer actually was a Director. Doing so after Traub was already caught for his failure to disclose conflicts of interest in the Houston bankruptcy case of Stage Stores.

With MNAT already secretly working for Bain and Goldman Sachs, and getting permission to Destroy the eToys Books & Records, the Defendants then tried to Bribe this plaintiff and failed.

Scrambling to protect their many schemes to destroy private and public companies, while also fleecing the federal bankruptcy estate of eToys, the Defendants then ramp up their plots & ploys to include fed corruption; by making one of their own (MNAT's partner Connolly) to become the United States Attorney in Delaware on August 2, 2001 - at the same time Defendant Romney claims to have "retroactively" retired from Bain. Then Connolly refuses to investigate his former partners & clients.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

1

2

Meanwhile the original massive unjust enrichments from the TLCo merger with Mattel and Stage Stores being funded by junk bond fraudster Michael Milken's money; as the presiding judge over that case own wife is an executive who is part of the Stage Stores formation.

Gaining more strength, power and undue influence in the scope and depth of the RICO, the Defendants then continue their organized crime sprees, while Traub's TBF is purportedly being punished for his conflict of interest violations in eToys.

Whereas Defendant Glazer, after gaining eToys by deception, with Romney's Bain getting unlawful, fraud by bankruptcy scheme, reduction of prices; did then pay himself an \$18 million dollar bribe as he paid Bain \$83 million - before filing bankruptcy of Kay Bee Toys.

However, these obvious crimes aren't punished, even after MNAT, Traub and Barry Gold confess lying under oath in eToys; because MNAT is defending Bain in the \$83 million preferential (likely fraudulent conveyance) and Traub's TBF is the firm asking the DE BK Ct for the permission to be the prosecutor of Glazer and Bain.

Doing so when this plaintiff is pointing out the 1 2 crimes to the Department of Justice in Delaware; but 3 being totally unaware (until 2007) that the US Attorney 4 in Delaware (Colm Connolly) is a direct link to the 5 6 "targets" of the (never happening) federal case. 7 As a result of the strength, undue influence and 8 9 power of the RICO, Assistant UST Frank Perch, who did 10 put forth the Disgorge Motion, vanishes from the DOJ. 11 Additionally, the DOJ Deputy Director, Lawrence 12 13 Friedman, who is head administrator of the EOUST in 14 Washington, D.C., after emailing promises to plaintiff 15 16 that his staff is on top of the case; chose discretion 17 over valor and resigns the EOUST upon being informed of 18 the additional skullduggery by the Defendants in the 19 20 Kay Bee case - as the RICO stalwart Mark Kenney puts 21 forth a Stipulation to Settle the Disgorge Motion. 22 23 Meanwhile, removed Region 3 UST Roberta DeAngelis 24 is surreptitiously promoted to the post (in secret) of 25 Acting General Counsel of the EOUST. Resultantly, this 26 27 litigant is handing evidences to EOUST/ US Attorney and 28 asking those parties to investigate themselves.

When plaintiff learns of these issues of federal corruption and reports them to the DOJ, OPR, OSC, OGE, FBI, Public Integrity Unit, SEC and a Public Corruption Task Force in Los Angeles; the Task Force is Shut Down and career federal prosecutors are threatened to keep their mouths shut.

Encouraged by the facts that there is no federal agent or agency potent enough to tackle Racketeering, the organized crime spree continues and grows.

Defendant Romney has his Bain entity, armed with massive unjust enrichment, acquire holdings creating an empire great, including Toys R Us and Clear Channel Communications (after losing a bid for the Wall Street Journal). This gives Defendants the belief that their boss Romney can actually become the President of the United States. As it is during Romney's recent NetFlix released video and interviews thereof that Defendant Romney admitted that "they" stole the Republican Party Nomination. Thus Defendants believed they could also totally steal the national POTUS election. Especially with Bain bribing American Bridge to bury Bain issues.

Haas v Romney "2nd Amended Complaint" - January 30, 2014 - Page 281

All of this empirical evidence that Romney's group of Racketeers are so powerful that they are Above the Law, due to federal corruption that - even when Colm Connolly is going out of office - had the undue power and influence to compel the SHUT DOWN of the Public Corruption Task Force clear across the country. Such did then encourage parties like Sheldon Adelson to give vast tens of millions of dollars to support Romney's POTUS quest. Including the mutual desire for a friendly United States Attorney General.

This mutual effort included the 'Red Herring' film of Newt Gingrich of the "King of Bain" that partially pointed out the ruthlessness of Romney in the cases of Stage Stores and Kay Bee.

Whereas the "King of Bain" film came from Gingrich, who was funded by the same Sheldon Adelson; and the film itself was produced by a former Romney aid.

But Romney Didn't Make It!

Even with that multi-billion dollar gamble/loss, the Racketeering Gang continue to go forward and openly do more crimes (such as Goldman Sachs settlement).

Of Counts I through IV Claim for Relief: 1 2 For general damages according to proof at trial, 1. 3 trebled as per statute 18 U.S.C. \$ 1964(c); 4 2. For pre-judgment interest and penalties according 5 6 to statute; and 7 For fees and costs, including attorney fees, in 3. 8 9 accordance with statute 18 U.S.C. \$ 1964(c). 10 Of Counts I through IX Claims for Relief: 11 For general damages according to proof at trial; 4. 12 13 5. And for relief, in the court of equity, by a Trial 14 by Jury, as appropriate by Law, including, but not 15 16 limited to temporary restraining orders to halt the 17 Goldman Sachs settlement, any efforts to close the 18 eToys case, preliminary and permanent injunctions of 19 20 same, and an order barring the Defendants, their 21 agents, assigns, assignees, including the roque 22 23 elements in various federal agencies (such as Roberta 24 DeAngelis and Mark Kenney), and/or anyone else, acting 25 in concert with the Racketeering Defendants, including 26 27 Goldman Sachs, Bain, the MNAT law firm, Ropes & Gray, 28

MNAT and/or any other person known (Gary Ramsey & Johann Hamerski) unknown!

6. All such parties should be barred, injunctive, both preliminarily and permanently, from commencing, doings, engaging, prosecuting, or advancing their efforts in any way, indirect and/or direct, to continue to prevent plaintiff from getting back in control of eToys and getting back what the Defendants have gained by unjust enrichment acts of Obstruction, Bribery, False Oaths/ Declarations, Schemes to Fix Fees, Color of Law, Grand Larceny, Collusion, Mail/Wire Fraud, Extortion, and/or Perjury, Fraud on the Court, Conspiracy, Retaliation and/or Intimidation Against Victim/Witnesses and/or any other State and/or Federal Law Breaking Acts, including those acts of federal corruption.

On Counts V through IX Claim For Relief:

7. For the Fifth, Sixth, Seventh, Eighth and Ninth claims for relief, punitive damages, plus penalties and interest, in the amounts proven at trial by jury, above fees and costs.

28

1

2

3

4

5

On Count X Claim for Relief:

8. For general damages according to proof at trial,
 plus treble, according to statute, under state laws of
 CA, Penn., DE and NY (such as NY Judiciary Law \$ 487).
 9. For General cost and fees reasonable, according to
 the Law, as documented at trial, including attorney
 fees.

Of Count XI Claim for Relief:

10. For Declaratory Judgment against the Defendants and/or their agents, assigns, assignees, cohorts, cronies, co-conspirators, law firms and/or rogue persons inside various federal agencies, from being ever more prevented from acts, contrary to law and/or decency, in preventing plaintiff and his businesses, including CLI from being compensated appropriately, that the heretofore unjust judgments obtained by acts of deceit, lies, omissions and/or any other thing, against this plaintiff, by the RICO Defendants and/or their agents, assigns and/or co-conspirators is to be made void and of non-effect; and -

11. For just relief, equitable, as is permitted by statute of appropriate/applicable law, including, but not limited to, issuing of temporary restraining orders, and preliminary and permanent injunctions including the removal of the bad faith Barry Gold as Administrator of the eToys confirmed PLAN; and reinstating plaintiff!

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

12. Whereas Defendants in their court approved places of trust, including MNAT, Greg Werkheiser, Traub's many firms, plus Frederick Rosner, Xroads LLC, Barry Gold, Richard Cartoon, Michael Glazer and/or any other firm, whether law firm or otherwise (such as Howard Elman's, Sullivan and Cromwell, Pomerantz, Wachtel & Masyr) and/ or Irell & Manella, Ropes & Gray and/or any other agent, agency, known or unknown, are now and forever more barred from continuing their corruption of legit, interstate commerce, by their patterns of Racketeering. As to All Counts, Causes of Actions, Claims for Relief: 13. Plaintiff reserves his right to amend complaint as

27
evidence at trial may deem appropriate and seeks legal/
28
equitable relief as the court deems fit.

1	XVIII CLOSING REMARKS
2	As is noticeable by the fact of who the Defendants
3 4	are, named in this Complaint; this Racketeering case is
5	astonishingly unusual.
6 7	No one citizen can bring down such Machiavellian
8	entrenched empires; without exceptional authority,
9	unity and devotion to the serious issues at hand.
10	Res Ipsa Loquitur
11 12	As everything appears to sound more important when
13 14	<pre>said in Latin, "These thing(s) itself speaks" that;</pre>
15	There's no denying crimes were committed as MNAT,
16 17	Traub and Barry Gold have already confessed to lies
18	under oath to a chief federal justice. Including the
19	fact that Traub/TBF firm admitted to intentional fraud
20 21	on the court. It is also a fact that Traub's TBF paid
22	Barry Gold four (4) payments of \$30,000 prior to Traub
23 24	(having been warned by the Federal Police/UST against)
25	inserting Barry Gold inside eToys (unlawfully) as a
26	post-bankruptcy petition CEO. The Defendants did this
27 28	to usurp plaintiff for the sake of unjust enrichment.

26

27

28

1

2

3

4

What is most important about the "association in fact" is the fact that the continuity of the "Bankruptcy Ring" RICO pertains to Bain/Goldman Sachs eToys/Kay Bee frauds.

Whereas the UST, NY Sup. Ct, DE BK Ct and Appeals Courts have all turned dubious blind eyes to the facts undeniable about Defendants direct links to Bain and Goldman Sachs schemes to destroy the eToys public company and their conspiracy to devour the Kay Bee and eToys bankruptcy estates.

Additionally, the Racketeers are testing new, but obviously unethical, boundaries of the RICO, by expands of federal venality in such cases as Petters Ponzi, eToys, Kay Bee, Fingerhut and/or Polaroid vis-à-vis inexplicable and intolerable *conscience shocking* plots and ploys inanely bizarre.

There's no Constitutional provisos for willful blindness of fed agents, agencies, justices. Nor are there Deferred Prosecution Agreements Codes & Rules of Law granting profiteering \$50 million No Bid contracts to former Attorney Generals by current U.S. Attorneys.

These troubling matters are not just issues germane to this plaintiff's instant case. They are issues of reprehensible "*Color of Law*" assaults upon the spirit and integrity of justice harmful to the good order of society, by an assault upon the Constitution of the United States, from enemies domestic and despotic.

These various by-products of the RICO are the result of public servants betraying their public oaths, quite probably, to do a favor for a POTUS wannabe (in obvious hopes that their careers would advance).

Romney lied by a *flip flop* concerning his public oath falsity concerning the fact that Mitt "technically" was the CEO of Bain Capital, until (at least) August 2001.

Whereas Mitt is totally erroneous in his contention upon his Federal Election Campaign Finance OGE 278 Form (submitted Under Penalty of Perjury) and his claims he had nothing to do - whatsoever - with Bain Capital in any way, after February 11, 1999. <u>Capone never would</u> <u>have been allowed</u> the defensive maneuver of claiming he was "*retroactively*" retired from his organized crime spree.

Has our nation become more, or less advanced? 1 2 Defendant Need Prove Indirect Benefit by the Preponderance of the Evidences 3 Federal records detail the fact that Romney owned 4 Stage Stores and that Michael Glazer worked there. 5 6 It is also irrefutable that Barry Gold was the 7 director's assistant at Stage Stores who hired Traub. 8 9 Inexplicably, no one has ever been prosecuted (and 10 probably never even investigated) for \$3 Billion swindle of the 11 12 Mattel investors, in The Learning Company saga. 13 Enigmatically, it is not until 2013 that the New 14 15 York Times "Rigging the IPO Game" detailed the fact that 16 eToys NY Sup. Ct case v Goldman Sachs docket record is 17 placed "entirely" under SEAL. 18 19 Also, the NY Times article revealed the fact that 20 Goldman Sachs "did know" that the eToys.com stock price 21 22 would hit \$80 or more; and made bets about such; but 23 that eToys only received less than \$20 in a classic 24 "pump-n-dump" "Spinning" stock fraud scheme. 25 26 Michael Glazer paid himself \$18 million and Bain 27 \$83 million, before he filed Kay Bee's bankruptcy. 28

This crime was perpetrate, continuously to this very day - AFTER - Traub, MNAT & Barry Gold had fleeced the federal estate, and destroyed the public company of eToys.com, by seizing the estate through Perjury and fraud on the court; assisted by the federal corruption of the placing of MNAT's Colm Connolly inside the DOJ's U.S. Attorney's office in Wilmington, DE.

Romney admits he was "technically" CEO of Bain at the time of these billions of dollars in fraud began (in TLCo, Stage Stores, Kay Bee/eToys); but Mitt seeks to escape his culpability and accountability due to his purported "*retroactivity*".

Whereas the RICO Defendants are comfortable in their schemes and artifices to defraud; which includes patterns of Obstruction of Justice, by Destruction of Evidences. Such as Romney's obliteration of his Olympic Records and his Governor of Massachusetts hard drives. During the interim between those two "cases", the Defendants also conspired to Destroy eToys Books and Records very early during the DE BK Ct case; which helped both Goldman Sachs and Bain Capital's schemes. During that same period of time (midyear-1999 to August 2001 that Romney claims to be "retroactive", his MNAT law firm had a partner named Colm Connolly.

This datum is irrefutable, being part of federal archives at the DOJ's Office of Legal Policy website; which is a Resume of Colm Connolly's MNAT and Delaware U.S. Attorney tenures.

Romney claims to be retroactively retired from August 2001 - back to February 11, 1999; and Connolly's DOJ archived resume details the fact that Colm was an Assist U.S. Attorney until 1999 (after clerking for MNAT's former partner turned Senior Third Circuit Justice Walter K Stapleton). Where Connolly then did become an MNAT partner from 1999 until August 2001.

The things speaks for itself!

These items are inescapable. Connolly's Wilmington, Delaware Dept. of Justice Prosecutors office never -EVER - once informed this plaintiff that this pursuer of justice was asking Colom Connolly and his staff to review evidences, investigate and/or prosecute Colm's former law firm partners and their clients.

Haas v Romney "2nd Amended Complaint" - January 30, 2014 - Page 292

1

2

For his entire seven (7) years as head prosecutor over the cases submitted to Colm's office by plaintiff, Connolly declined to investigate and/or prosecute.

Not only did the Defendants utilize the unjust and undue influence and power of the RICO to arrange for Connolly to become the head federal prosecutor as the U.S. Attorney in Delaware on August 2, 2001. The RICO conspirators also managed to arrange for the Chairman of the eToys creditors committee and plaintiff (and his CLI entity) to be forced out of their fiduciary posts.

Doing so after the Defendants unlawful insertion of Barry Gold inside eToys as a post-bankruptcy filing President and CEO.

This series of law breaks are extensively heinous and egregious as documented by the fact that the U.S. Trustee testified within the *Disgorge Motion* (parts 18, 19 & 35) that the parties were cautioned - in advance -NOT to DO the very thing (insertion of any party that is connected to the retained professions of the eToys estate, to replace eToys fiduciary's) that the RICO Defendants then went and did clandestinely!

Haas v Romney "2nd Amended Complaint" - January 30, 2014 - Page 293

2

3

4

5

6

Even though Defendants have now, most likely, did a ramp of their destruction of all the evidences; the fact of the matter remains that plaintiff's burden of proof is to the "preponderance of the evidence" standard.

It doesn't matter how many bad public servants, DOJ personnel, federal agents and/or justices that the RICO Defendants are able to line up. Not only are the facts undeniable, they're already indestructible.

Plaintiff is thankful for the brazen and flagrant evidence trails and sheer stupidity of the Defendants, due to their hubris, concerning their belief that their RICO enterprising is so strong that they could lie to the entire nation, under oath.

Defendants believe that their Racketeering power will always be able to arrange for autocrats to line up and stipulate that there's no merits to this case.

Whereas, each and every act of corruption will stand as empirical evidence against the Racketeers and their despots, once this case goes to trial.

There remains NO REASONABLE DOUBT that crimes HAVE transpired, AS confessions are in the public record.

What remains to be seen, is how many more times will others (now that Romney's POTUS hopes appear to have been in vain) will be willing to so brazenly and flagrantly get behind a Capone type boss with a John Gotti hubris "I can't ever be convicted" mentality. Sooner or later - the extensively heinous and egregious blanket of unjust cover ups will no longer be able to handle the Mount Everest high house of cards. Being that Defendant Romney has bragged much about the fact that his empire of wealth chiefly comes from Bain Capital. Hence, as Bain has obviously profited from profuse schemes & artifices to defraud (predicate acts); there thus remains no doubt that Romney benefited from fraud! Merry-Go-Round of Pretense Prosecutors Pretending to Prosecute Each Other MNAT has confessed the firm lied about its links to

GE and Goldman Sachs, in order to become the DE BK Ct approved attorney at law for eToys.

Paul Traub and Barry Gold confessed that they are partners with each other in ADA; and that TBF paid Barry Gold four (4) payments of \$30,000 each in 2001.

Haas v Romney "2nd Amended Complaint" - January 30, 2014 - Page 295

To this very day, in spite of the DE BK Ct's Opine of October 4, 2004 (eToys D.I. 2319) stipulating that any further acts of conflicts of interests may result in sanctions; it remains a fact - irrefutable - that MNAT, Barry Gold and Traub all have relationships with Bain, Kay Bee, Romney and Glazer.

MNAT is required by Law & Professional Codes of Conduct/Ethics, to defend eToys against Barry Gold and Traub.

Barry Gold is to defend eToys and equity holders from Traub and MNAT.

TBF, owned by Traub, is required by Law and ethics, to protect his clients (usually all the creditors) from the bad faith acts of Barry Gold, MNAT, Werkheiser and Colm Connolly.

Instead, the conspiring RICO Defendants circle the wagons and pretend they are opponents of one another; whilst they also feign that they are prosecuting each other. Doing these conspiracies to benefit from many Plots to Fix Fees, Retaliations, via profuse lies under oath (Perjuries) against clients, Victims & Witnesses.

28

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

MNAT and Barry Gold then nominated Traub's TBF firm to prosecute their secret client - Goldman Sachs - in the NY Sup Ct case of eToys (renamed ebc1).

Resultantly, Goldman Sachs is - *in essence* - suing Goldman Sachs.

During the same period of time, MNAT represents Bain of the \$83 million Kay Bee pre-bankruptcy petition filing preferential (probable fraudulent conveyance).

At the same time Traub (via TBF) petitions the DE BK Ct visiting justice for permission to have Traub's firm prosecute Glazer and Bain. And, as usual, failing to disclose the facts about Traub's direct conflict of interest to Barry Gold, Glazer and Romney.

Meanwhile, Barry Gold signs the absolutely inane Confirmed PLAN Administrator's Declaration, stipulating fallaciously that the eToys PLAN was negotiated in "extensive" arm's length between Debtor (Barry Gold) and Creditors (Paul Traub).

Defendants, obviously drunk upon high, of their success, actually draft an Order for the DE BK Ct to sign that also contains the "extensive" premise.

Inside the schemes and plots are more artifices and ploys to get back to Romney and his Bain, the tens of millions of dollars that plaintiff/CLI did compel them to cough up and pay, in order to outbid good faith eToys bankrupt asset bidders.

Whereas Stage Stores was Co-Debtor with Liquidity Solutions. Upon the success of the illegal insertion of Barry Gold inside eToys (1st as President/CEO and then as Confirmed PLAN Administrator), Defendants MNAT and Traub arranged for Liquidity Solutions and its cohort Madison Liquidity to be able to acquire eToys claims without disclosing the "insider" connections.

Then the Defendants drafted a proviso for Barry Gold as the Confirmed PLAN Administrator, never needing the DE BK Ct permission to settle claims of \$1 million.

As a (scheme) protocol, the Defendants arranged that the PLAN Administrator (Barry Gold) need only to receive the eToys Creditors permission (represented by Barry Gold's secret partner Mr. Traub), to settle the Liquidity Solutions acquired claims of less than \$1 million actual cash, without any proper court review.

Haas v Romney "2nd Amended Complaint" - January 30, 2014 - Page 298

At the same time, the eToys shareholders were being plotted against by the profuse acts of skullduggery as each and every time the equity holders did seek a lawful committee and estate paid for counsel - the Defendants firms, agents and/or their co-conspirators Objected to eToys shareholders lawful right of protection; under the pretense that those parties were being protected by the RICO Defendants! Not only is the most recent frauds upon multiple courts causing additional material adverse harms; but our nation suffered a bogus Presidential Election, due to the fact that racketeering reinvested monies made it easy for Bain to acquire Clear Channel stations. Whereas those 800 stations, with 100 million plus listening/audiences, includes such powerhouse/influence of Glenn Beck, Sean Hannity and Rush Limbaugh. Those parties would have lost millions in income if they dared to publicize the facts of Romney's unjust gains. Additionally, it is publicized that Bain also paid the Democrat research arm of American Bridge, to make sure certain issues of Bain & Romney remained a secret.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

26

27

28

1

Whereas it is telltale enough that no entity was willing to report the eToys saga. Including the King of Bain documentary film by Newt Gingrich probably being a 'Red Herring' scheme to "test" the RICO's ability, in the beginning of Romney's POTUS quest (which was funded by Sheldon Adelson and produce by a Romney aid).

Inside the September 2012 Rolling Stone cover story of "Greed and Debt" The True Story of Mitt Romney and Bain Capital did detail the more serious issues of the Stage Stores and Kay Bee cases; but dared not to speak out concerning the condemning evidences in the eToys/TLCo cases.

Even after Romney lost his POTUS quest, he now continues to seek to get back into the political arena and has put forth a new film documentary making himself out to be a "nice guy. Doing so with the propaganda machinations of Mitt's Bain Capital 800 Clear Channel Communications stations stating Romney is okay.

Defendants collaborated with the DE BK Ct and Clerk 25 to join the fray; which had, in essence, engaged in the protecting of the RICO's schemes for a decade already.

Whereas, upon reception of this plaintiff's Motion from this naming Romney as the boss of the "Bankruptcy Ring" gang and detailing the issues of mayhem; the DE BK Ct and Clerk of Court simply withheld the October 24, 2012 received Motion - out of the public docket record (and eyes of press/public) - until November 6, 2012 (the very day of the POTUS election).

Though Romney's billion dollar gamble failed, the RICO Defendants remained undaunted. Whereas, the DE BK Ct held a hearing concerning plaintiff's Motion; but the court turned control of the hearing over to MNAT. Then, MNAT proceeded to falsely stipulate (as a continuous fraud upon the court) that there were NO issues new for the DE BK Ct to address (whilst MNAT, Frederick Rosner, Barry Gold and the corrupt persons in the DE DOJ sat in abject silence about the fact that MNAT, Barry Gold and Paul Traub have never - EVER - revealed the fact that they are all connected to Bain/ Kay Bee {purchaser of the eToys.com bankruptcy estate assets}). This is irrefutable proof of the RICO's continuity!

Then the DE BK Ct endeavored to punish plaintiff and assure further success of the RICO; by permanently expunging plaintiff from the eToys case.

Whereas, in fact, this act of bad faith actually liberated this pursuer of justice from the bondage of having to go to the same bully that has been beating upon plaintiff and other victims for a decade plus.

Further emboldened that the RICO Enterprise would never be brought to justice, though MNAT is forbidden by Law, the firm has (once again) engaged in conflict of interest "*Bankruptcy Ring"* ("*predicate act"*) felony/ crimes concerning MNAT's other client Goldman Sachs.

Whereas, MNAT (belatedly) revealed the fact that the MNAT law firm is connected to Goldman Sachs.

Therefore, MNAT is forbidden by law to sign a Barry Gold Confirmed PLAN Administrator approval of a paltry \$7.5 million settlement of the NY Sup. Ct case of eToys (ebc1) v Goldman Sachs (case # 601805/2002).

And - at the same time - Barry Gold is Forbidden to have Transactions with Related Persons.

As the Confirmed PLAN Administrator Barry Gold is 1 2 *illicitly* giving some of the \$7.5 million Goldman Sachs 3 settlement to his now known partner Paul Traub. The 4 5 RICO Defendants are well aware how many laws they broke 6 and simply don't care how many more they break! Whereas, it is a fact now, in the public docket record, that the \$7.5 million, plus \$1.1 million that was there, is now reduced to less than \$5 million. In TLCo, MNAT was on the same side as Romney, Bain and Mattel; but in eToys MNAT pretends to be opposite. Of the Stage Stores saga, crimes therein in need of its own federal investigation (starting with the fact that Romney funded it from Michael Milken's judge whose wife was a partner in the deal); Romney owned it, Mr. Michael Glazer was (then) a co-director (now its CEO), and Barry Gold was the director's assistant (who did hire Traub/TBF). Then, all those parties run over to eToys and make a big show of pretending to be opponents of one another until they get "caught red-handed" in their many acts of Perjury in 2004/2005, by plaintiff's Smoking Guns.

Since a Power Point Presentation of connections, 1 2 laid page after page, upon one another - would already 3 appear to be opaquely black, Defendants Traub, MNAT and 4 Barry Gold reduce the sales price of eToys assets to 5 6 Romney/Bain - Glazer/Kay Bee. Doing so while Barry Gold 7 and MNAT nominated Traub's TBF firm to prosecute the 8 9 Goldman Sachs case in NY Supreme Court. 10 Even after the US Trustee's Disgorge Motion seeks to 11 punish (only Traub's) TBF for \$1.6 million; and points 12 13 out the fact that the parties were "forewarned" NOT to 14 replace key personnel of eToys with anyone connected to 15 16 them. Issues compounded by the fact that Traub's TBF 17 firm actually confessed to the deliberate scheme of 18 19 leaving the lies stand before the court; and the UST's 20 Disgorge Motion concluded this was enough proof (given 21 the vast experience of TBF in complex bankruptcies 22 23 prior to eToys in 2001) of Fraud upon the Court. 24 Whereas, any reviewer should bear in mind the fact 25 26 that the UST's TBF Disgorge Motion came to a conclusion 27 of fraud on the court, without proof of other crimes. 28

During that time of Traub's TBF reportedly being "punished" for the eToys failure to disclose a serious conflict of interest (about Barry Gold only); the RICO Defendants were so secure (having the ace in the hole of Colm Connolly as head federal prosecutor in DE) that they continued perpetrating a fraud on the court and massive grand larceny to the tune of \$100 million upon the Kay Bee bankruptcy case.

Whereas it is an open case docket record that MNAT represents Bain concerning the \$83 million that Glazer shelled out when Michael Glazer paid (Bribed) himself \$18 million. Doing so while Traub and Barry Gold's ADA firm is also double dipping the case; and Traub's TBF has the unmitigated gall to ask that DE BK Ct presiding over the Kay Bee case, for permission to have Traub's TBF prosecute (his cohorts) Glazer and Bain.

Capone is rocking in his grave jubilantly at how much our nation has advanced to the point of organized crime being able to steal tens of millions, hundreds of millions and billions; simply by the parties pretending to be opponents and prosecutors being a pretender!

Haas v Romney "2nd Amended Complaint" - January 30, 2014 - Page 305

Profuse Efforts in *Nolle Prosequi*

Intolerably, there are manifest injustice efforts by federal agents, agencies and justices, consummate in willful blindness/"Color of Law" refusals to prosecute; which fostered a nationwide expansion of racketeering Enterprising and its colossal organized crime sprees.

It was the one and same Third Circuit Court over the DE BK Ct that reiterated Congress's intent to put an end to the detrimental practices of Bankruptcy Law firms becoming "*Bankruptcy Rings"*, at the materially adverse harm of their court approved clients.

As noted of the case of *Inre Arkansas* (that was most recently cited by the UST's "expert" Roberta DeAngelis, {as twice Region 3 US Trustee and also General Counsel of the EOUST)) - heretofore, Congress made it mandatory for attorneys at law to disclose their conflicts of interests to the courts.

Whereas, attorneys at law now may only gain their lucrative bankruptcy cases after getting approval by the court's to become an officer thereof, as counsel.

Haas v Romney "2nd Amended Complaint" - January 30, 2014 - Page 306

Roberta DeAngelis obviously has motivation to harm this plaintiff; and continues, fiendishly, to cover up her own failures to perform her fiduciary duty.

On Dec. 22, 2004 - Roberta DeAngelis was removed as Region 3 UST.

That is the very same day as the eToys Emergency Hearing (documented by transcript as eToys D.I. 2000); which was to address the *Smoking Gun* evidences that this plaintiff did ferret out to provide ironclad proof of MNAT, Traub and Barry Gold's deceits.

Plaintiff had engaged in discussions with EOUST Director Lawrence Friedman, about the fact of Roberta DeAngelis was the UST's expert who had gone before Congress, earlier in 2004, concerning the issues of bankruptcy fraud and bad faith of counsels in cases.

Unfortunately, this litigant had hired Michael Weiss as California counsel, who did hire the Delaware local firm of Rothschild, to pursue issues of Roberta DeAngelis.

Whereas, no one informed plaintiff that Roberta DeAngelis had been an associate of the Rothschild firm.

Haas v Romney "2nd Amended Complaint" - January 30, 2014 - Page 307

1

Then, as if that wasn't enough, plaintiff replaced Weiss and Rothschild with Brad Brook & the Bayard Firm.

Complainant learned later that the Bayard Firm was representing Back Bay Capital.

Barry Gold was illegally working the eToys and Kay Bee case; and also worked with Back Bay Capital.

It is also telltale that all of plaintiff's law firms, including Henry Heiman, did threaten this litigant of Traub's TBF firm warnings to "*back off"* from any further pursuits of justice; - or Else!

Outside of the mayhem and worse issues, it is greatly illuminating that the UST *Disgorge Motion* is at the direction of former Assistant UST Frank Perch, who mysteriously vanished after detailing the facts that the Defendants were *forewarned* NOT to replace any key executives of eToys with anyone connected to the DE BK Ct approved law firms.

Mr. Perch had concluded in the Disgorge Motion (without having the details of the hundreds of millions of dollars and profuse "predicate act" crimes now known to anyone willing to look) - that Paul Traub's illicit insertion of Barry Gold was a premeditated act of Fraud upon the Court.

Thereafter, efforts in nolle prosequi (refusal to prosecute) transpired. UST's trial attorney Mark Kenney upped the ante with Traub/TBF "*Stipulation to Settle*".

When this plaintiff quickly ferreted out the \$100 million Kay Bee case frauds and the alarming fact that MNAT, Traub/TBF and Barry Gold all had undisclosed links to Bain/Kay Bee; DOJ Deputy Director Lawrence Friedman of the EOUST in Washington, D.C. then took the discretion over valor pathway and resigned (and he too joined the dark side engaging in Bader Co. Off Shore/IRS frauds).

Mark Kenney had already worked arduously to make sure MNAT, Traub and Barry Gold weren't brought before a federal investigation. Mr. Kenney's Breaches of his Fiduciary Duty is unrelenting.

In the Kay Bee case, Mr. Kenney had the DE BK Ct strike and expunge plaintiff's efforts to inform "that" court of the frauds on the court. (Quite possibly due to the fact that the RICO Defendants and autocrats were

already aware that Colm Connolly was a corrupt federal prosecutor whom plaintiff was giving all the evidences to - at the same time that litigant was giving copies of the proofs to the "Acting General Counsel" of the EOUST in Washington, D.C. {the one and only Roberta DeAngelis}).

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

It is also telltale that there's NO UST Press Release on DeAngelis promotion until 2007!

Meanwhile, the EOUST has sent a couple of letters to plaintiff. One such communique surreptitiously is void of any notes of the fact that Roberta DeAngelis is "Acting General Counsel" of the EOUST.

The EOUST stipulates, via its Office of General Counsel, that the UST can neither confirm, nor deny any official federal investigation of the matter.

At the same time, the SEC's Bankruptcy Fraud Task Force in Atlanta, along with the NY AG's office, called this plaintiff. As if they were both reading from the exact same script; the Eliot Spitzer's NY AG's office informed complainant that their offices can be of no assistance.

Then the SEC's Bankruptcy Fraud Division in Atlanta 1 2 stated that Mark Kenney informed them there was no need 3 to send an "Official Intergovernmental Letter Recommending an Official 4 5 Investigation" (under the purported guise that Mark Kenney 6 hadn't realized all the crimes were transpiring at the 7 same time - and that Mr. Kenney would take action). 8 9 Proof of intentional fraud on the court is already 10 a permanent part of the public docket record. Made so 11 12 during the March 1, 2005 evidence hearing. 13 Then the DE BK Ct then takes more than six (6) 14 months contriving the **Opinion** of October 4, 2005 (eToys 15 16 D.I. 2319). The DE BK Ct stipulates therein that No 17 Perjury transpired (though already confessed). 18 19 In spite of the DE BK Ct's own **Opinion** stipulating 20 that it would be wrong to punish a plaintiff and reward 21 22 conflicted attorneys; the DE BK CT does such, religiously. 23 Taking abuse of discretion to a whole new level of 24 expertise, the DE BK Ct also stipulated in its Opinion 25 26 that it was "too late" to disqualify the MNAT law firm; 27 because the eToys case was over. 28

And yet, here we are in already in 2014, nine years later, with the same cases still open.

Complicating the efforts in refusal to prosecute further, the DE BK Ct affirms the MNAT forgery as valid (after MNAT had already confessed lying under oath) - and the DE BK Ct absurdly rules that plaintiff did put forth a "Haas Affidavit".

Ridiculously, the DE BK Ct concludes this litigant "waived" plaintiff/CLI's rights to compensation.

When this complainant endeavors to inform the DE BK Ct of the fact that the "Haas Affidavit" is a forgery and that it doesn't even state (in only 2 pages) what MNAT claims it does. (Please see eToys D.I. 816 items 10 & 11 thereof - stating CLI is entitled to "success fees/ commissions" as per the DE BK Ct approved contracts -{upon receiving the {bogus} permission of extensive" arm's length/good faith negotiations between the Debtor (Barry) and Creditors (Traub)).

Regardless of the glaring facts, the DE BK Ct still rules that CLI is not entitled to compensation.

Haas v Romney "2nd Amended Complaint" - January 30, 2014 - Page 312

1

2

Then, when this plaintiff and the eToys shareholder 1 Robert Alber appeals these abuses of discretion; the DE BK Ct actually holds a December 1, 2005 Hearing on -4 whether or not - the appeal of the DE BK Ct's decision/ 5 6 Opinion/ corresponding order, will be allowed. 7 Various, timely, appeals occur; but are thwarted by 8 9 one of THE most telltale revelations of the DOJ's UST's 10 office. 11 12 Whereas, in the Third Circuit appeal case of eToys 13 shareholder (after DE Dist. Court Justice KAJ dismissed 14 plaintiff's appeal with a two sentence opine that gave 15 16 no valid reasoning), Robert Alber case (# 07-2360); the 17 UST's very 1st footnote therein sums up this whole case 18 19 entirely; stating the *nolle prosequi* maxim. 20 It takes four United States Trustee experts to 21 defeat the appeal. Whereas Mark Kenney, along with his 22 23 co-counsel Mr. Sutko and Assistant U.S. Trustee Andy 24 Vara (the specialist who proffered the In re Cold Metal 25 26 Aarque case on which parties may be approved as a 27 Professional Person under Section 327(a)); and the one 28

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

At the same time, this plaintiff did become aware (in 2007) of the fact that Robert DeAngelis was the GC of EOUST - while this litigant was sending evidences to her office.

Plaintiff also learned of the fact that Colm F Connolly, the US Attorney in Delaware (who plaintiff had been sending files to for years), was actually an MNAT partner.

Upon the proof of Connolly's failure to disclose one of THE most serious conflict of interests issues; litigant filed a 18 U.S.C. & 3057(a) Complaint on December 7, 2007, with the Los Angeles U.S. Attorney (head of the Public Corruption Task Force).

Twelve (12) weeks later, when the answer was due and hadn't been received; plaintiff began to complain loudly to various federal agents/agencies.

At the direct detriment to the entire nation and the federal systems of justice, the Los Angeles Times reported in March 2008, in the story that is titled "Shake-up roils federal prosecutors"; that the DOJ's Los Angeles <u>Public Corruption Task Force was SHUT DOWN</u> and career federal prosecution staff were actually "threatened" to keep their mouths shut as to the reasons why.

As a result of that clearly consequential event, with the incongruous excuse by <u>the Los Angeles U.S.</u> <u>Attorney stating there were "NO" public corruption</u> <u>cases to prosecute</u>. This was the first time - EVER that FBI agents actually contacted this plaintiff.

Senator Feinstein's office had discussions of the subject and did send "Acting" U.S. Attorney General Mike Mukasey a publicized letter of her concerns about the dismantling of such an integral part of protection.

There's NO publicly published response by USAG Mukasey; and no publication of any fed investigation into these massive, organized, crimes sprees.

But there is a letter of December 18, 2013, by the EOUST in Washington, D.C. (responding to plaintiff's

fax in the fall of 2012). Whereas the EOUST does state that it made the [yearlong] inquiry of the matter to the (very) persons being investigated.

Whereas, the very temporary - (in need of annual approval of renewal) US Trustee agency in charge of, on average, policing 1.5 million bankruptcies; stipulates that its extensive research concludes there's no merit to this plaintiff's contentions - whatsoever.

Obviously that extensive, year-long investigation failed to look up the Confessions of more than thirtythree lies under oath (after doing a premeditated scheme of fraud on the court that RICO Defendants were told - in advance - NOT to do; but went ahead in secret and did anyway).

Whereas, the eToys Debtor's counsel, creditors law firm and their post-bankruptcy petition filing inserted (but consciously choosing NOT to apply to the DE BK Ct) President/CEO of eToys, all having direct, public court documented record proof of connections to the buyer of the eToys federal estate assets; has no merit/or worth for the Executive Office of United States Trustees!

Haas v Romney "2nd Amended Complaint" - January 30, 2014 - Page 316

1

Whereas the Executive Office of United States Trustees are now upon the public record stating that a bankruptcy petition filer like Michael Glazer can pay himself \$18 million and his controlling company Bain Capital \$83 million. Because the UST have no opinion on the matter that such was done before the bankruptcy filing of Kay Bee.

Nor does it matter to the extremely experienced and extensively brilliant geniuses of the Executive Offices of United States Trustees that Paul Traub's TBF firm confessed to deliberately leaving the eToys bankruptcy Rule 2014/2016 (at least seventeen {17}) erroneous affidavits in the record (that TBF confessed of during its "Response" of January 2005 {eToys D.I. 2171}).

Because the United States Trustees find it of no consequence that the Defendants confessed intentionally leaving lies to stand before the DE BK Ct. Deliberate acts of fraud upon a court have NO Merit/or worth to the Executive Offices of the United States Trustee. Whereas, the abduction of plaintiff's daughter, the "judicial immunity" of Petters counsel (turned Fed Receiver

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

and then turned bankruptcy Trustee). During the period of time when the feds and receiver never did seize Fingerhut (*funded by Goldman Sachs and Bain*); but did nab Polaroid (and then sold it in a sham process to the 2nd highest bidders of Gordon Brothers/Hilco who are both Traub's client and immediate gave him back owning part of Polaroid as co-principal of Gordon Brothers). All of which doesn't have ANY Merit/or worth to the geniuses at the Executive Offices of United States Trustee's.

Marty Lackner being involved in the Tom Petters Ponzi, is of no merit or worth either. Especially given the fact that there's no report of Marty ever being considered a suspect in the case.

A federal debacle, by the way, that is reported as being just \$3.7 billion (though Mike Catain said he laundered \$10 billion, the Witness Protection Program person Larry Reynolds stated he laundered \$12 billion – while in Las Vegas; and the Polaroid Trustee Stobner has gone upon the public record stipulating the Tom Petters Ponzi is more than \$40 Billion).

All such doesn't warrant any Merit/Worth to the Executive Offices of United States Trustee's.

Nor is it of any merit or worth to any unit of the 1 2 Department of Justice; in the age where many wonder how 3 in the heck Madoff and Petters could get away with it 4 all for so long. Where the simple answer could be the 5 6 obvious fact that Marty Lackner was the brother of 7 Minnesota Assistant U.S. Attorney J. Lackner {former 8 9 head of Criminal Division}). 10 Because the Mandatory Victims Restitution Act 11 ("MVRA") doesn't apply - so why should anything else! 12 13 Whereas, we'll never know what Marty Lackner knew; 14 because he purportedly committed suicide and John/Jack 15

while Robert Alber shoot and killed a ghost.

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Because all such issues of consequence to any good, decent, moral, and ethical - PLAIN COMMON SENSE person - is of NO MERIT/WORTH to the Executive Offices of United States Trustee's in Washington D.C.

Wheeler hit his own head and threw himself in the dump

After all, the United States Trustee's, all 21 of them and their EOUST office/GC's can't really know what is going on; <u>because they Have Not</u>, <u>Did Not and WILL</u>

NOT Address any issues of the Lords of the Realm – of the Above the Law, Goldman Sachs, Bain Romney <u>and MNAT</u>! Venerates of America Speak Out Against Federal Venality

Plaintiff is not the only person to complain that the DOJ's efforts in justice in the Delaware realm are a phantom.

Her Honor Judith Fitzgerald stated that there was a fraud on the court (of Tersigni affairs) and that the Department of Justice aided and abetted that fraud upon the court's success for more than a year.

How can any good faith party sit still when Mitt Romney's RICO group is able to arrange for one of their own (Colm Connolly) to become the Federal Prosecutor over the very case an indictment is being sought of?

As if it was written for this very case, Frederic Bastiat is quoted as stating that "When plunder becomes a way of life for a group of men in a society, over the course of time they create for themselves a legal system that authorizes it and a moral code that glorifies it". It is the DE BK Ct's own words, in its *Opinion* of

October 4, 2005 (eToys D.I. 2139) that, to ignore the

Haas v Romney "2nd Amended Complaint" - January 30, 2014 - Page 320

1

intentional effort of frauds on the court; would serve to punish [plaintiff] and reward conflicted attorneys.

His Honor Justice Tucker stipulated in the case of Matrix Technologies Group (In re M.T.G.) that courts have a responsibility (**indeed a duty**) to address issues of Fraud upon the Court by its officers.

Would Capone have ever been allowed to arrange for Nitti to become the Federal Receiver? Or for Nitti to become the US Attorney to investigate Capone cases?

Is it not sinful to allow public servants profit motives?

Lying under oath, is Lying Under Oath (so says Her Honor Kravitch of the 11th Cir. In Walker v Walden). Has the contemporary paradigm of justice become that of the venal emblematical?

Is plaintiff the only one who cares about the utter BS - that the SEC stated it has been a practice of it agents and agency to destroy case files? Whereas the SEC has declined intervention here, many a time! When the SEC's OIG David Weber began to investigate bad faith in the upper management of the SEC, he knew

Haas v Romney "2nd Amended Complaint" - January 30, 2014 - Page 321

1

his life was in jeopardy and (as any federal agent can) packed a gun on his hip - openly.

They retaliated and fired him; but he stood tall, sued the despots - winning his case as the 3rd largest whistleblower settlement in the federal government.

Meanwhile, disturbingly, Office of Special Counsel in D.C. (the whistleblower division of the government) had its leader, Scott Bloch, raided by the FBI in both his home and office. Then the betrayer of the public's trust actually plead guilty in the obliteration of case files.

But Scott Bloch knows there's a new paradigm that venality is no longer verboten. So he flatly refused to do even one month in jail!

When the Constitution of the United States is openly being warred upon by enemies domestic; and those enemies are actually the very persons who swore an oath to defend our country. Then the cry for justice is insatiably loud.

One has to wonder if the public corruption task force is permanently gone in Los Angeles?

Haas v Romney "2nd Amended Complaint" - January 30, 2014 - Page 322

1

Romney just confessed that his group stole the 1 2 Republican Party's nomination. Are our elections to now 3 openly be rigged and no federal agency seem to care? 4 If this case gets taken away from this Honorable 5 6 Court; will Romney run - AGAIN - for President? 7 How is it that the eToys case has confessions; but 8 9 the DE BK Ct and all federal agencies act as if they 10 are in kindergarten and can't see what any uneducated 11 high school dropout can comprehend? 12 13 Doesn't it alarm anyone else but this plaintiff 14 that the Chief Justice of the premier bankruptcy court 15 16 of the nation, does actually stipulates that there is 17 no proof of Perjury and that this plaintiff case has no 18 merits; even though the parties confessed to lies? 19 20 Surely a team of the best legal minds on the planet 21 will come forth with an array of excuses and reasons 22 23 that their clients Goldman Sachs, Bain and Romney can't 24 be culpable; much less accountable. 25 Such manifest injustices demand steadfast attention 26 27 and a swift hammer of justice for remedial purposes. 28

Not many will care if this case goes away into the night and plaintiff is told - once again - that the Law doesn't apply (such as the court's ruling in the Alber case Circuit case 07-2360 stating the Federal Rules of Appellate Procedure doesn't apply to bankruptcy cases).

Are the lives of this plaintiff, his daughter, the eToys shareholder Robert Alber, so inconsequential that the Constitution of the United States can be attacked, in a Civil War styled fashion, so that elitists may get away 'Scot Free'?

As a matter of fact, each and every night when this plaintiff checks PACER, he's terribly vexed.

But if this court could continue upon the noble path that is already established. Then disheartened parties might gain a renewed hope that justice might come; and that our country is not going into eternal abyss of being upon a permanent corruptive pathway. As noted by the recent Ninth Circuit ruling in the Anwar case, *Inre Middleton Arms* prevails.

1

2

3

4

Any lawyer who fails to disclose their conflicts of interests, must be disqualified; as courts are not permitted to ignore clear and unambiguous statutory language.

When the RICO Defendants counsels try as they may to thwart the pursuits of justice in this case. Doing so by the self-serving and incongruous claim that the statute of limitations is far gone by. This court can, at that time, remark upon the issues of, Connolly, In re Hazel Atlas-Glass and/or the fact that plaintiff has never been remiss in his diligence for justice.

Heretofore, the former 9th Circuit Chief Justice Peckham proffered an example – hypothetical – in the case of U.S. v Benny that: "Jones devises a plan to take control of a shopping center. Acting alone, he extorts money from three shopowners, murders a fourth shopowner and burns down a fifth shopowner's store. In addition, Jones rents an office from which he operates a security service and begins to operate several of the stores he has taken over".

His Honor concluded that Congress surely never intended such a person would be immunized. How is this case that much different than His Honor Peckham's extensively heinous premise?

John "Jack" Wheeler was a very important man who worked for 3 President Administrations and served his country with distinction. <u>He wound up dead in a dump</u> after visiting Colm Connolly's Nemours building.

It doesn't matter what the names of the various parties are. Connolly is, **beyond ALL reasonable doubt**, a very corrupt federal prosecutor. As such, he should be a primary suspect.

Robert Alber is an eToys shareholder who had to shoot/kill the career criminal Michael Sesseyoff after Johann Hamerski's bribe attempt failed and Robert was told that "people like you who turn down a bribe - Wakes up Dead".

Traub has bragged often how he is "connected" and plaintiff is scared enough about that, where I've never seen, nor held my children/grand-children after my daughter's abduction on my birthday in 2004. No one cares what happens to this litigant; but does anyone care what that the RICO is waging a civil war upon the entire nation and federal system of justice?

Haas v Romney "2nd Amended Complaint" - January 30, 2014 - Page 326

Instead, litigant is now a complete indigent too; afraid of what may happen to others.

These issues of mayhem and homicide are facts. How can plaintiff, in good conscience, put any entity, home, company, or persons at risk with a RICO as powerful as this one actually is?

It is quite possible that one of the things that has preserved this pursuer of justice (thus far) from a similar fate such as Robert Alber; is the fact that this litigant isn't stationary.

Can the thousands of attorneys at law that this plaintiff has contacted over the years, be blamed?

Is it not prudent to avoid the wrath of parties powerful enough to arrange for one of their own to become the U.S. Attorney over their cases?

Defendants have succeeded in destroying the eToys shareholder Robert Alber; and have also succeeded in the destruction (as promised by this plaintiff's own attorney, Henry Heiman) of complainant's career and business.

25

26

27

28

1

2

3

4

5

6

Whereas the Defendants have proved that their promises of having enough power and undue influence over the DE BK Ct. Where Defendants threat to guarantee plaintiff/CLI wouldn't get paid and litigant's business would end; have all proven to be valid.

No longer is an oath of loyalty to a client to be considered sacrosanct (at least not as far as these Defendants are concerned).

Plaintiff's CLI entity had a Chief Justice's court ordered approval of contract; but that didn't stop court authorized counsels from their betrayals of their clients trust, for the sake of their Enterprise!

More than a dozen attorneys/law firms, have sold out their court approved clients, for the sake of many secret patrons; which, obviously are, more lucrative.

Traub received his eToys DOJ "Get out of Jail Free Card" and marched right into the Kay Bee \$100 million fraud doing the very crime he was (at the very same time) being punished for in the eToys case. Doing so with such impunity that the DOJ Deputy Director over the EOUST (Friedman) simply gave up the ghost and quit.

Haas v Romney "2nd Amended Complaint" - January 30, 2014 - Page 328

Paul Traub also was partners of both fraudster Marc 1 2 Dreier (whose firm also worked the cases) along with 3 Tom Petters Ponzi; because he knows he's above the law. 4 However, that is what persons like Spiro Agnew and 5 6 Bernie Madoff and Richard Nixon also believed. 7 Seeing the writing on the wall, Federal Receiver 8 9 Douglas Kelley realized Romney probably wasn't going to 10 become POTUS; so he endeavored to protect himself by 11 going upon the public docket record in June 2012 and 12 13 stated Paul Traub was "controller" of Petters Ponzi! 14 Litigant is "pro se" party, woefully inadequate as an 15 16 amoeba, against a horde of ruthless Goliath's. 17 But, all this court need do, to stop the insanity 18 and power of the Racketeers over nearly every part of 19 20 the federal system of justice; is to risk a stroke of 21 pen and send a clear and convincing message that 22 23 plaintiff has made a case prima facie. 24 If the Defendants knew beyond all doubt that they 25 were going to trial; then everything would heat up 26 27 rather quickly. 28

Haas v Romney $``2^{nd}$ Amended Complaint" - January 30, 2014 - Page 329

If the Law was being clearly reestablished as, once again, to be paramount, then counsels for plaintiff might arise.

At such a time of frugality, this nationally significant and important battle could come to a good and just end by people worthy of the task!

As documented by the esteemed parties quoted above, it is not only this plaintiff who has accused federal agents and agencies (especially the EOUST) of not doing their job properly.

Former UST Trial attorney Mary F Powers stated to a 2007 Congressional Hearing that EOUST Director Friedman actually hampered justice.

It was the Third Circuit, in the case of *In re Arkansas* - reiterating Congress's concerns about the existence of "*Bankruptcy Rings"*.

His Honor A. Jay Cristol went before the very same Congressional panel and stipulated that EOUST Director Friedman and his subsequent Clifford White III, did treat Chapter 11 cases like Lassie; and behaved as if

Haas v Romney "2nd Amended Complaint" - January 30, 2014 - Page 330

they were Rin Tin Tin. But, in mom and pop Chapter 7 & 13 cases, the UST system was a pack of wolves.

Director Friedman emailed a promise direct to this complaint that his EOUST staff was perfectly able to handle the eToys case; but, when Friedman was informed of the Kay Bee \$100 million in fraud transpiring whilst Traub was purportedly being punished in the eToys case - Mr. Friedman did tuck tail and run (resigned)!

Plaintiff prays the court forgive him for his many inadequacies and redundant issues of points. Obviously, heretofore, plaintiff has failed to properly convey to previous parties, the glaring and undeniable evidences of this case.

Evidently, no one else in the country, within our federal agencies, cares about the fact that it is this plaintiff and a few eToys shareholders, who point out what is going on with these "Above the Law" Racketeers.

As if the remarks of US Attorney General Eric Holder and NY US Attorney Preet Bharara that NO ONE is Too Big to Jail, is just grandstanding/placating of the masses.

1

2

In the hopes that the court is able to grasp the fact that this case is not just about harms to a single litigant. That these troubling matters have far reaching consequences well beyond this case; plaintiff prays this court sees that this saga is of matters and wrongs against the entire nation.

Plaintiff prays this court understand how hopeless and disheartening it is to endure all this slaughter of innocents and attack of the nation's soul! As a matter of fact, Therapist Karin Huffer has coined a phrase of the post-traumatic stress disorders citizens suffer as a result of Legal Abuse Syndrome.

As iterated of *In re Hazel Atlas*, fraud on the court cannot be complacently tolerated. And, as stated by Her Honor Coleen McMahon of the Host Hotels case -

"This case is not ethical [nor Racketeer] rocket science"!

Defendants and the DE BK Ct claim that lying under oath 33 times, is really not proof of Perjury. What would Martha Stewart have to say about that? It is a fact as plain as the nose on anyone's face that the allegations herein have merit.

Haas v Romney "2nd Amended Complaint" - January 30, 2014 - Page 332

Defendants can't ever risk being compelled to 1 2 answer; much less be forced to stand trial. The RICO's 3 continued success mandates this case goes away. But 4 plaintiff won't accept a Bribe settlement from the 5 6 Defendants. Therefore, something must be done. 7 On the very day (December 18, 2013) that this court 8 9 issued a ruling on a request for U.S. Marshals service, 10 the DOJ's EOUST office emailed a backdated letter to 11 plaintiff, stating there are no merits to the case. 12 13 Obviously, it is the intent of the Defendants to 14

provide such a fallacious DOJ proffering as their answer to this RICO Complaint.

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Any such efforts and all such schemes and artifices to keep the racketeering aloft should be halted. Where the RICO can be arrested, simply by the courts stroke of pen.

What should be important here, is the harm of letting these gangsters continue to believe that they are above the law. If this Enterprising virus is not arrested now, it will become a plague.

Haas v Romney "2nd Amended Complaint" - January 30, 2014 - Page 333

Previously, then Senator Biden comprehended the fact that something was amiss. Senator Biden halted the obvious effort to reward Colm Connolly's despotism.

During the Senator's quest to be POTUS, Senator Biden refused to sign a requisite Senate SLIP so that Colm Connolly's nomination for the Federal District Court would fail.

UCLA Law Professor Lynn LoPucki wrote the book of "Courting Failure" of How Competition for Big bankruptcy cases are corrupting our courts. It was Senator John Cornyn who quoted LoPucki's issues in stating that picking a venue is akin to picking a verdict.

Former United States Attorney General John Ashcroft was credited by Francis C P Knize, during the Public Hearings on RULES GOVERNING JUDICIAL CONFUCT, Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. \$\$ 351-364, as part of the DOJ's Government in Sunshine Act (Pub. L. 94-409) [5 U.S.C. Section 552(b)], that there are corrupt federal judges in collusion with high ranking members of the UST's office.

1

2

Though Romney's stalwarts like Adam Bronin claim John Ashcroft never made such remarks (as if the USAG not pointing out the obvious were a good thing); the fact of the matter remains is that Francis C P Knize "Testified" of this in a public forum and there was NO outcry, for many years, that John Ashcroft didn't make the statements.

And now - that Mr. Ashcroft is working for the man (Red McCombs) whom Romney's Bain paid billions to buy Clear Channel from (and the current firm owned by Mr. McCombs is Blackwater (renamed "Xe" then "Academi"); hence, Mr. Ashcroft would not have too much credence in denial thereof.

Mr. Ashcroft is credited with stating what is apropos – spot on hereof – that: "[Bankruptcy] Cases are intentionally, unreasonably kept open for years. Parties in cases are sanctioned to discourage them from pursuing justice. Contempt of court powers are misused to coerce litigants into agreeing with extortion demands. This does not ensure integrity and restore public confidence. The American public, victimized and held hostage by bankruptcy court corruption, have no where to turn".

1

2

3

4

Congress knew that "Prosecutorial Gaps" concerning these very types of refusal to prosecute, would be extremely harmful to the public good and devastating to victims. Not only did Congress intend for a wide brush in efforts of the RICO Act; our nation's law makers felt it prudent to give great incentive by providing the motive of "treble damages".

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

At the same time, Congress was prudent enough to include much of the Bankruptcy Code, as "predicate" acts.

Plaintiff is encouraged by the fact that this court has stood bold against massive bad faith efforts; and complainant prays the court understands that he has turned here by an extraordinary sequence of events.

May it be that plaintiff believing in the Code & Rule of Law, to seek remedy of issues far beyond the norm, be not in vain; simply because this litigant is inconsequential!

Defendant Romney is now prancing around the nation, as if he has no care in the world, with his power and influence nixing publication after publication of the

Haas v Romney "2nd Amended Complaint" - January 30, 2014 - Page 336

very fact that this case has even been filed. Mitt's
Netflix documentary is being praised by his stations at
Bain Capital Clear Channel Communication, as proof of
how nice and well-rounded a guy Romney is.

It's axiomatic that "if there is no justice, there can be No peace" and though the spirit of the law is always willing; the flesh has been extremely weak!

Herein sits a chance of a lifetime, to actually make a difference far-reaching.

7

8

9

10

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

In the spirit of His Honor Judge Rakoff saying NO to the SEC daring to push forth settlements as slaps on the wrist with no remarks as to the reasons why; this plaintiff promises this court that no matter how many millions of dollars may come as a settlement offer -I'm not going to take it from them.

The logic of Brad Brook as counsel is sound; even if his betrayal of trust was great.

You simply can't take a check from the parties who have confessed lies under oath; after their admissions of frauds upon the court! Plaintiff may as well have taken the Racketeers bribery offers back in 2001!

Instead, this litigant is all in, seeking that all the victims get their chance for justice; and that this Racketeering Gang find out their reign of organized crimes and fed corruption - has come to its final end.

Concerning the potential, additional, Defendants (John/Jane Doe's 1 - 10), the list is long and almost as distinguished. There's Gary Ramsey, Frederick Rosner and Michael Fox, Susan Balaschak, Cindy Williams, Nancy A Valente, Mattel, Hasbro, Richard Cartoon, Judy Smith, Ronald Sussman, Fir Tree Value Fund (and its hopping around Scott Henkin).

Also there's the various firms, including those (purported) local co-counsels that Mr. Rosner hopped around to and this plaintiff's attorneys like Henry Heiman, Brad Brook, Michael Weiss, Bayard Firm and Rothschild. There's also Xroads LLC and many others. Recently, there's the most recent 7th Circuit

decision that prosecutors can, most certainly, be held accountable for their bad faith acts.

Haas v Romney "2nd Amended Complaint" - January 30, 2014 - Page 338

Hence, plaintiff will seek this court's approval to 1 2 name the despotic persons sitting inside fed agencies, 3 of (at least) Roberta DeAngelis and Mark Kenney. 4 All the evidences hereof are concrete and can be 5 6 filed; if the court will just permit this plaintiff to put it where it belongs -7 into a full and completely open public trial record! 8 9 Whether one calls this RICO a "Bankruptcy Ring" 10 mixed with "Shadows" inside and outside of bankruptcy; 11 and other any other thing. The fact of the matter does 12 13 remain that the Defendants are not just eating off of 14 someone else's table; they're eating off of everyone 15 16 else's table they can and damn any Code or Rule of Law! 17 What should happen here, if legitimacy were to 18 fully return; is that the feds would take honorable 19 20 control and criminal RICO the parties. Surely, if they 21 did so, at the barest of minimums, billions of dollars 22 23 in fines could be levied against Goldman Sachs & Bain. 24 Defendants are being served up on a silver platter 25 to any honorable federal prosecutor. Due to both the 26 27 haughtier of the Defendants and plaintiff's diligence. 28

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 Again, plaintiff apologizes for his not being able to write like Mark Twain; and/or being anything near an attorney at law to present this case properly. If this court will take this nefarious RICO Bull by its horns then counsels might likely jump at the extraordinary.

Plaintiff hopes and prays that his court sees how alarming and devastating these RICO issues are, far and above the parties involved. And that this court clearly sees the remarkably rare opportunity to call attention to troubling matters of national significance.

PLAINTIFF DEMANDS A JURY TRIAL!

Date_____

Signed _____

Steve ("Laser") Haas Appearing "Pro Se" Private Attorney General

Plaintiff doesn't care if anyone goes to jail for the grand larceny schemes; but insists they stop and mayhem/homicides need a task force of "clean" persons.

Litigant reserves his right to amend this Complaint and/or add Defendants as permitted by law and as the interests of justice deems necessary and appropriate.